Summary Landscape Assessment – West of Stevenage

Stevenage West site is located mainly within LCA 209 - Almshoe Plateau characterised by open and exposed, gently undulating plateau landscape with views out in all directions. Large arable fields with remnant sections of hedgerow and small deciduous woodland copses. There are groups of hedgerow trees, remnant hedgerows and small copses and the area would be vulnerable to the removal of these features.

Overall Almshoe Plateau is considered to be of **low sensitivity**. The gently undulating plateau and rural character have been degraded by a number of detractors to the east of the character area. (LCA209)

In visual terms, Almshoe Plateau is considered to be of **moderate to low sensitivity** however, long distance views would be sensitive to the introduction of additional development or urbanizing features. (LCA209)

Overall Almshoe Plateau is considered to be of **low landscape value**. The rural character and sense of remoteness are of particular value within Almshoe Plateau. However the landscape character has been degraded and diluted by a range of detractors, particularly in the east of the character area. (LCA209)

Date	Area name – S 40 field landscape west of Norton Green Farm
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: No	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flat / undulating Landcover: Crops Tree cover: Along boundaries and small field copses Settlement form and pattern: Norton Green on the eastern boundary.	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Large open field
dge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: Slight
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From public right of way Short views out: To boundary trees Long views out: To undulating farmland to the west + north west Long views in: From the above	Presence & size of populations: Rear gardens of Norton green eastern boundary Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: See Stevenage District Plan

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High			
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people			

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal	Good	Marginal	Good
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable

Landuse

Continuity matrix	(High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)
-------------------	---

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

2 1 2 Varied U / VLand use 1 1 2 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	х

Total 5 (southern boundary of site in flood plain)

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	4	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
Scenic	AHB, GCN, ECO, BAD	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date	Area name – S 41 – Field east of Chadwell Road
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Stevenage District LC1 – Landscape conservation area – Policy 12	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flatish uneven Landcover: Ruderal grass / scrub Tree cover: Perimeter hedgerow trees Settlement form and pattern: Small settlement in northern corner.	Land Use: Not in use Enclosure & pattern: Enclosed by boundary bunds ant boundary vegetation.
Edge of settlement Completeness of edge	Sense of enclosure: Moderate – good at eye level – partial, more open in south eastern corner.
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: No Short views out: No Long views out: To Stevenage from the south eastern corner. Long views in: As above	Presence & size of populations: Stevenage is visible. Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Potentially high.
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

	a delpte treatment, treatment							
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High					
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people					

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Varied

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Varied
 1
 2
 2

 Land use
 U / V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1

Tree Cover

U/V

Uniform

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 4

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

		9		
	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low S	Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

zanaccape value Accessiment nating]	
Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	1	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
-1 Motorway noise	AHB	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Zanascape supacity accessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Moderate High Low

Date Aug 08	Area name – S 42 – Field east of Kitchins Lane
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Stevenage District. LC1 – Landscape conservation area – Policy 12	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Gently undulating Landcover: Crops Tree cover: Some boundary tree / hedgerow screening Settlement form and pattern: Stevenage urban fringe.	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Partially enclosed – bisected by motorway.
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: Not really
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: Not from southern boundary Short views out: To motorway. Long views out: Possibly Long views in: Possibly	Presence & size of populations: Stevenage Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Possibly
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

	a de prio monera, monera						
Views in	0 Low		2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

2 1 2 Varied Land use U/V1 1 2 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	З	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 4

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

		9		
	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low S	Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	2	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
-1 Motorway noise	AHB, REPTS	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Lanuscape capacity assessment rating						
	High	Moderate	Low	Low		
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low		
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate		

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 08	Area name – S 43 – North of Upper Kitchin Spring
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Stevenage District. LC1 – Landscape conservation area – Policy 12	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Undulating Landcover: Ruderal grassland. Tree cover: Some along southern boundary Settlement form and pattern: Not evident	Land Use: Unused / grazing? Enclosure & pattern: Smaller than the surrounding fields but part of the overall pattern.
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From bridleway Short views out: To bridleway Long views out: To the west Long views in: From the west	Presence & size of populations: Sparse Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations:

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Toopio manning manning							
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix	(High = Anci	(High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)				
	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low	
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Land use
 1
 2
 2

 U/V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1

 Uniform
 U/V
 Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 7

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Lundoupo Conontritty Acoccomont riating						
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12			
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development			

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	1	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
1 Tranquil	AHB	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landsoupe outputity assessment rating					
High	Moderate	Low	Low		
Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low		
Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate		
	High Moderate	Moderate High Moderate to High High	Moderate Low Moderate Moderate to High High Moderate		

Low Moderate High

Date	Area name – S 44 – Upper Kitchin Spring
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LCA No: 214 – Langley Valley Condition: Poor Robustness: Weak LCA Evaluation: Reconstruct	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landform Dominant large scale arable farming Smaller areas on steeper slopes to the north of Hitchin. Woodland plantations concentrated in the north. Relatively little woodland cover elsewhere.
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area – Policy 12	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Undulating / sloping Landcover: Crops Tree cover: Along north eastern and south western boundaries and line of trees in centre of field. Settlement form and pattern: Dyes Farm	Land Use: Farmland Enclosure & pattern: Some woodland screening but otherwise open in character.
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: Partial – reasonable all round boundary trees
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: To Higher ground to the west of Langley Long views in: From Higher ground to the west of Langley.	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low – but higher on lower southern part of the site.
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: LC1

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High			
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people			

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		I a sa alisa a			

Landuse

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix (0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

	Varied	1	2	2
Land use	U/V	1	1	2
	Uniform	0	1	1

Uniform U / V Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate

Unified Coherent Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 5 (Southern boundary part of flood plain)

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

1-4		5-6	7-8	9-12	
	Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development	

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	4	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
2 T + S	AHB, AS, GCN, BATS	_

Landscape capacity assessment rating

	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 08	Area name – S 49 – High Broomin Wood + field
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Stevenage District. LC1 – Landscape conservation area – Policy 12	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Undulating (gently sloping) Landcover: Woodland and rough pasture Tree cover: Block of woodland on western boundary Settlement form and pattern: Not evident	Land Use: None apparent Enclosure & pattern: Woodland with grassland as part of pattern
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: yes	Sense of enclosure: Woodland is enclosed – but field only partially screened.
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From public right of way and adjoining fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: To the south Long views in: From the south and west	Presence & size of populations: Sparse Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable

Landuse

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 3 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Arable Pastoral

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V2 1 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Consistency

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 9

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Editacoapo Continutty 74			
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

,	
В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
2	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High
WS, ECO	
	Conservation Interests 2

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape Sensitivity	High	Moderate	Low	Low
	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 08	Area name – S 50 – Field north of High Broomin wood
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area – Policy 12	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Sloping / undulating Landcover: Crops Tree cover: Copse on northern boundary, trees along eastern boundary. Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Open field pattern
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To the above Long views out: Good views out to the south west, west north west Long views in: From the above	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Varied
 1
 2
 2

 Land use
 U / V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1
 1

Uniform U / V Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12	
	Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development	

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
Tranquil, Scenic		

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating						
	High	Moderate	Low	Low		
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate Low	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low		
		High	Moderate to High	Moderate		

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 07	Area name – S 57 Field north of scrap yard
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 214 – Langley Valley Condition: Poor Robustness: Weak LCA Evaluation: Reconstruct	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landform Dominant large scale arable farming Smaller areas on steeper slopes to the north of Hitchin. Woodland plantations concentrated in the north. Relatively woodland cover elsewhere.
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area Policy 12 Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Sloping Landcover: Cut Hay Tree cover: No Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Open and exposed
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: Panoramic Long views in: Many	Presence & size of populations Mitigation potential of visual impacts
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

respire treatment, material							
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuaa			

Landuse

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

Varied 1 2 2

Land use U / V 1 1 2

Uniform 0 1 1

Uniform U / V Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 7 Edge of flood plain

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
Low		High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 07	Area name – S 58
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landform Dominant large scale arable farming Smaller areas on steeper slopes to the north of Hitchin. Woodland plantations concentrated in the north. Relatively woodland cover elsewhere.
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area Policy 12 Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: High plateau Landcover: Crops Tree cover: Some trees on eastern boundary Settlement form and pattern	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Open and exposed
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: No	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: Panoramic Long views in: Many	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High	
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people	

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Varied
 1
 2
 2

 Land use
 U / V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1
 1

Uniform U / V Varied

Tree Cover

Consistency

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	х
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 7

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

		9		
1-4	1	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Ser	sitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

A	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
Good views		

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating							
	High	Moderate	Low	Low			
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low			
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate			

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 07	Area name – S 59 Field west of Kitching Lane and abutting A1M
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area Policy 12 Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Undulating Landcover: Crops – small boggy area on southern boundary Tree cover: Copse on southern boundary Settlement form and pattern: Motorway and Stevenage to the east.	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Large open undulating field
Edge of settlement Completeness of edge	Sense of enclosure: Not really
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: Adjacent fields Short views out: Adjacent fields Long views out: From southern boundary to the south, from centre of sight to the north. Long views in: To southern boundary from the south, to centre of site from the north. Long views are v.good	Presence & size of populations Mitigation potential of visual impacts
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0	1	2	
	Low	Moderate	High	
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people	

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix	(High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)
-------------------	---

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
Small		3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Land use
 1
 2
 2

 U/V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1

 Uniform
 U/V
 Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Landoupe Constituty Accessment Hatting						
	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12		
	Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development		

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Lanuscape capacity assessment rating						
	High	Moderate	Low	Low		
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low		
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate		

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 07	Area name – S 62 Field south of Coney Cottages		
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref		
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES			
LCA No: 214 – Langley Valley Condition: Poor Robustness: Weak LCA Evaluation: Reconstruct	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landform Dominant large scale arable farming Smaller areas on steeper slopes to the north of Hitchin. Woodland plantations concentrated in the north. Relatively woodland cover elsewhere.		
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area Policy 12 Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs		
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY			
Landform: Sloping / undulating Landcover: Crops Tree cover: No Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Open and exposed		
Edge of settlement: Not really Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No		
VISUAL SENSITIVITY			
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: Panoramic Long views in: Many	Presence & size of populations: Sparse Mitigation potential of visual impacts: V. Low		
VALUE			
National designations: No	Local designations: No		

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

1 copie violanty matrix							
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

		2	1	0	0
	Other	Moderate	Low	Very Low	Very Low
				10.7 =0	10.7 = 0.1
		3	2	1	0
Woodland	Wooded	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
		9			,
		4	3	2	1
	Trees and woods	Very High	High	Moderate	Low
		, 0	Ö		
		Marginal	Good	Marginal	Good
		pasture	pasture	arable	arable
			,		
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix	(High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)
-------------------	---

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

	Varied	1	2	2
Land use	U/V	1	1	2
	Uniform	0	1	1

Uniform U/V Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 7

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

=unusuaps constitutely recognistic running							
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12				
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development				

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
1	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High
GCNZ	
	Conservation Interests 1

Landscape capacity assessment rating

	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Aug 07	Area name – S 63
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area Policy 12 Green Belt – Policy 2	#Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Sloping up to high plateau Landcover: Crops Tree cover: No Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Open and exposed
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: Panoramic Long views in: Many	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts V. Low
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

i oopio violoinity illa	••••		
Views in	0 1 Low Moderate		2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
				,	,
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

2 1 2 Varied U / VLand use 1 2 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform Varied

U/V

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	х
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 7

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

		9		
1-4	1	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Ser	sitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – S 66 Field with subway to Symonds Green.
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore.	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Low undulating Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Along boundaries and line of central trees Settlement form and pattern: Edge of motorway and Stevenage.	Land Use: Pasture Enclosure & pattern: Shaped by the A1 M
Edge of settlement: Abuts Motorway Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: Partial
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
rominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From A1M Short views out: To A1M Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Close to Stevenage but not visible Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Good
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations : No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

1 copie vielbinty matrix								
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High					
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people					

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

		2	1	0	0
	Other	Moderate	Low	Very Low	Very Low
	00.		_0	,	10.7 =0
		3	2	1	0
Woodland	Wooded	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
		9			,
		4	3	2	1
	Trees and woods	Very High	High	Moderate	Low
		, ,	Ğ		
		Marginal	Good	Marginal	Good
		pasture	pasture	arable	arable
		•	•		
		Landuse			
	Trees and woods	Marginal	Good	Marginal	Good

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 3 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Arable Pastoral

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

Landscape Pattern

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V2 1 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	З	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 7

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Editacoapo Continutty 74	ooooomont nating		
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquillity or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	2	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
Noise - minus1	ECO, BATS	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – S 67
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore.	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flat / undulating Landcover: Crops Tree cover: Boundaries and western corner Settlement form and pattern:	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Part of the large open field pattern
Edge of settlement: Motorway and Stevenage to the east Completeness of edge:	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From field to the south Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: To The south Long views in: From higher ground to the south	Presence & size of populations: Motorway and Stevenage to the eastern boundary. Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying / flat	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in Low		1 Moderate	2 High	
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people	

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

		2	1	0	0
	Other	Moderate	Low	Very Low	Very Low
		3	2	1	0
Woodland	Wooded	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
		4	3	2	1
	Trees and woods	Very High	High	Moderate	Low
			0 1		0 1
		Marginal	Good	Marginal arable	Good
		pasture	pasture	arable	arable
		Landuse			

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Land use
 1
 2
 2

 U / V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1

 Uniform
 U/V
 Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 3

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

1	4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Ser	sitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquillity or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating				
	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – S 68 – Field South of Lucas's Wood.
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names Mature tree cover.
LP2A policies: Green Belt.	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: undulating up to high plateau Landcover: Crops Tree cover: A few trees along motorway & small woods on mid northern boundary. Settlement form and pattern: Motorway and Stevenage on eastern boundary.	Land Use: Agriculture. Enclosure & pattern: Open field character.
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: Just visible from Motorway Short views out: To Motorway. Long views out: To the south and west from the northern and western boundaries other wise no Long views in: From the south and west to the northern and western boundaries other wise no.	Presence & size of populations: Low presence Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Fairly high.
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying / flat	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

	- copie menting menting						
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuas			

Landuse

Continuity matrix	(High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)					
	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low	
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate	
		Unsettled	Ancient	Pastoral	Arable	

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

Landscape Pattern

Varied

Land use

Varied	1	2	2
U/V	1	1	2
Uniform	0	1	1

Tree Cover

U/V

Uniform

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned
Continuity	Historic
	Ancient

2	1	0
Moderate	Low	Very Low
3	2	1
High	Moderate	Low
4	3	2
Very High	High	Moderate

Unified Coherent Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 3

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

•	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low 9	Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating Moderate Low Low High Moderate Landscape Moderate Low Sensitivity Moderate to High High Moderate Moderate Low to High

Low Moderate High

Date	Area name – S 69
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore.	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: AA 162 – Policy 16 Green belt	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Undulating / high plateau Landcover: Crops Tree cover: A eastern boundary trees Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Agriculture Enclosure & pattern: Open undulating landscape
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: No	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From adjacent fields Short views out: To adjacent fields Long views out: From the higher ground to the north and west Long views in: From the north and west to the higher ground.	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate
VALUE	
National designations:	Local designations

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

- copie menting menting						
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High			
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people			

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate

Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Land use
 1
 2
 2

 U/V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1

 Uniform
 U/V
 Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 5

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Editacoapo Concitivity A	ooooomont nating		
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquillity or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	1	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
	ECO	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Lanuscape Capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – S 70
Surveyors PS / HL	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore.	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: Green Belt	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Sloping Landcover: Ruderal / grazing Tree cover: Some boundary trees / woods and some field trees. Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Ruderal / grazed Enclosure & pattern: Reasonable boundary hedgerow enclosure.
Edge of settlement: No Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: Partial
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From north Short views out: To north Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate
VALUE	
National designations:	Local designations

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

Other	2	1	0	0
	Moderate	Low	Very Low	Very Low
Wooded	3	2	1	0
	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
Trees and woods	4	3	2	1
	Very High	High	Moderate	Low
·	Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
	Wooded	Other Moderate Wooded 3 High Trees and woods 4 Very High Marginal	Other Moderate Low Wooded 3 2 Moderate Trees and woods 4 3 High Marginal Good	Other Moderate Low Very Low Wooded 3 2 1 Wooded Low Wooded 4 3 2 Trees and woods Very High High Moderate Marginal Good Marginal

Landuse

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 3 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V1 2 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Consistency

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Editaboapo Continutty A	occomonic riating		
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

	,	
Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or	1	1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
	ECO	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Lanuscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date	Area name – S 71 Field pasture east of Almshoe Bury Farm
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 209 Almshoe plateau Condition: Poor Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and restore.	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Upstanding open plateau landscape Large arable fields Small deciduous woodland copses
LP2A policies: LC1 – Landscape conservation area Policy 12 Green Belt – Policy 2	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flat – High plateau. Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Northern boundary trees Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Pasture Enclosure & pattern: Partial enclosure, but open in character.
Edge of settlement: Almshoe Bury Farm Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: not really
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From the bridleway Short views out: Adjacent fields Long views out: To the north east and south. Long views in: Exposed from views from the south	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: No

Survey Matrix Sheet Landscape Sensitivity & Capacity

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Low lying / flat	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

1 copie violanty matrix						
Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High			
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people			

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

Ecological sensitivity matrix

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

Landscape Pattern

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V2 1 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Consistency

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Earlacoupe Constituty Accomment Hatting					
	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12	
	Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development	

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Lanuscape capacity assessment rating				
	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – S 75 Field on south eastern boundary to Lucas's Wood
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names Mature tree cover.
LP2A policies:	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flat Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Field trees and woodland edge. Settlement form and pattern: Near string of cottages.	Land Use: Horse pasture Enclosure & pattern: Well enclosed and screened by hedgerow and woodland.
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure. Strong
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: Not really Short views out: Not really Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Good
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations: Lucas's Wood is on the ancient woodlands register.

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
14 7 II I		3	2	. 1	0
Woodland	Wooded	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
	T	4	3	2	1
	Trees and woods	Very High	High	Moderate	Low
		Marginal	Good	Marginal	Good
		pasture	pasture	arable	arable
		Landina			
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

Landscape Pattern

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V2 1 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Consistency

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	х
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 5

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

		9		
1-4		5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sens	sitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

A	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquillity or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
Tranquil - 1		

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – Field west of Lucas's Wood
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names Mature tree cover.
LP2A policies: Green Belt	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flat Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Small woods on southern boundary. Settlement form and pattern: Motorway and Stevenage to the east	Land Use: Pasture & some ruderal Enclosure & pattern: Open field
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From Motorway Short views out: To Motorway Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Motorway and Stevenage on eastern boundary Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate to low.
VALUE	
National designations:	Local designations:

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 3 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V1 2 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating				
	High	Moderate	Low	Low
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate

Low Moderate High

Date Sept 07	Area name – Field west of Lucas's Wood
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names Mature tree cover.
LP2A policies: Green Belt	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flat Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Small woods on southern boundary. Settlement form and pattern: Motorway and Stevenage to the east	Land Use: Pasture & some ruderal Enclosure & pattern: Open field
Edge of settlement: Yes Completeness of edge: Yes	Sense of enclosure: No
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From Motorway Short views out: To Motorway Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Motorway and Stevenage on eastern boundary Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate to low.
VALUE	
National designations:	Local designations:

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	ews in Low		2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 3 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable Landscape Pattern

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

1 2 2 Varied Land use U/V1 2 1 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape Capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date April 08	Area name – S77 Todds Green
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and Conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape. Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names. Mature tree cover.
LP2A policies: Green Belt – Policies 2 & 3	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flatish Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Along western boundary Settlement form and pattern: Part of field pattern west of A1 M	Land Use: Grazing Enclosure & pattern: Partially enclosed
Edge of settlement Yes Completeness of edge Yes	Sense of enclosure Partial – open in character
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From A1 M and Stevenage Road Short views out: To A1 M Long views out: To Adjacent fields to the south Long views in: From higher ground to the south	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Potential for screen planting to A1 M
VALUE	
National designations: No	Local designations No

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	/iews in Low		2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned) 2 0 3 Large High Moderate Very Low Low Landscape Scale 3 2 Low Medium High Moderate ----1----Low Moderate 3 3 2 2 Small High High Moderate Moderate Unsettled Ancient Pastoral Arable

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

2

1

1

Landscape Pattern

2

2

1

Land use U / V 1
Uniform 0

Uniform U/V Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable
		Cor	sistency	

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	x

Total 6

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

	1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12			
	Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development			

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.		2 points = High
noisy		

Landscape capacity assessment rating

andscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date April 08	Area name – S 105 Lucas's Wood		
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref		
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES			
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and Conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape. Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names. Mature tree cover.		
LP2A policies:	Photographs		
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY			
Landform: Flatish Landcover: Trees Tree cover: Wooded Settlement form and pattern Sparse	Land Use: Woodland Enclosure & pattern: self enclosing		
Edge of settlement Yes Completeness of edge Yes	Sense of enclosure Yes		
VISUAL SENSITIVITY			
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: No Short views out: No Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: High		
VALUE			
National designations:	Local designations		

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0	1	2	
	Low	Moderate	High	
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people	

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

		2	1	0	0
	Other	Moderate	Low	Very Low	Very Low
		3	2	1	0
Woodland	Wooded	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
		4	3	2	1
	Trees and woods	Very High	High	Moderate	Low
		Marginal	Good	Marginal	Good
		pasture	pasture	arable	arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix	ix (High = Ancient, Moderate = Historic, Low/Very Low = Planned)				
	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate
		Unsettled	Ancient	Pastoral	Arable
			Landsca	pe Pattern	

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

 Land use
 1
 2
 2

 U/V
 1
 1
 2

 Uniform
 0
 1
 1

 Uniform
 U/V
 Varied

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
		Unified	Coherent	Variable
		Cor	sistency	

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	Х	х

Total 7

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Editacoapo Contritity 74			
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

Α	В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	Score 1 point for each	A + B
Tranquility or	Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
Scenic beauty or		1 point = Moderate
Contribution to Settlement.	3	2 points = High
	WS, ECO, AW	

Landscape capacity assessment rating

andscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date Nov 07	Area name – S 106 Lower Titmore Farm
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES	
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and Conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape. Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names. Mature tree cover.
LP2A policies: Green Belt – Policies 2 & 3	Photographs
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY	
Landform: Flatish Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Woodland on southern and eastern boundary. Settlement form and pattern: Sparse	Land Use: Pasture Enclosure & pattern: Enclosed by woodland and boundary trees / hedgerow – part of historic field pattern.
Edge of settlement Just outside Completeness of edge Yes	Sense of enclosure Moderate to good
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: Partial views in from adjacent field Short views out: Partial views out to adjacent field Long views out: No Long views in: No	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Moderate
VALUE	
National designations:	Local designations

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High				
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people				

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix	(High = Anci	ent, Moderat	e = Historic,	Low/Very Lov	w = Planned)
	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate
		Unsettled	Ancient	Pastoral	Arable

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

Landscape Pattern

Varied

Land use

Varied	1	2	2
U/V	1	1	2
Uniform	0	1	1

Uniform U / V

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate
	•	Unified	Coherent	Variable

Consistency

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	З	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 5

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

Editacoapo Contentity A			
1-4	5-6	7-8	9-12
Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Landscape capacity assessment rating					
	High	Moderate	Low	Low	
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low	
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate	

Low Moderate High

Date April 08	Area name – S 113 Todds Green	
Surveyors PS	OS grid ref	
LCA & PLANNING POLICIES		
LCA No: 215 Condition: Moderate Robustness: Moderate LCA Evaluation: Improve and Conserve	Key Characteristics & Distinctive features. Rolling chalk landscape. Irregular sized fields in arable with parcels of grazing adjacent to settlements. Historic pattern of small winding lanes and historic place names. Mature tree cover.	
LP2A policies: Green Belt – Policies 2 & 3	Photographs	
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY		
Landform: Sloping Landcover: Grass Tree cover: Two or three boundary trees Settlement form and pattern: Ribbon	Land Use: Pasture Enclosure & pattern: Open in character	
Edge of settlement Yes Completeness of edge Yes	Sense of enclosure Partial	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY		
Prominence of site from surrounding area Short views in: From Stevenage Road Short views out: To Stevenage Road and adjacent fields to the south Long views out: To fields to the south Long views in: From fields to the south	Presence & size of populations: Low Mitigation potential of visual impacts: Low this site is integral to the character of Todds Green at the eastern end.	
VALUE		
National designations:	Local designations	

Visual sensitivity

Visibility sensitivity matrix

	Sloping	2 Moderate	3 High	4 V High
Landform	Rolling/undulating	1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
	Lowlying	0 Very Low	1 Low	2 Moderate

Large woods Small woods Unwooded

Tree cover

People visibility matrix

Views in	0 Low	1 Moderate	2 High
	Few people	Moderate number of people	Many people

Viewed by number of people

Overall visual sensitivity = visibility sensitivity + people visibility

Ecological Sensitivity

	Other	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	0 Very Low
Woodland	Wooded	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
	Trees and woods	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low
		Marginal pasture	Good pasture	Marginal arable	Good arable
		Landuse			

Continuity matrix	(High = Anci	ent, Moderat	e = Historic,	Low/Very Lov	w = Planned)
	Large	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low
Landscape Scale	Medium	3 High	2 Moderate	Low 1 Moderate	1 Low
	Small	3 High	3 High	2 Moderate	2 Moderate
		Unsettled	Ancient	Pastoral	Arable
Landscape Pattern					

Consistency matrix

(0 = Unified, 1 = Coherent, 2 = Variable)

2 2 1 Varied U / VLand use 1 1 2 0 1 1 Uniform Uniform U/VVaried

Tree Cover

Continuity Vs Consistency matrix

	Planned	2 Moderate	1 Low	0 Very Low	
Continuity	Historic	3 High	2 Moderate	1 Low	
	Ancient	4 Very High	3 High	2 Moderate	
		Unified	Coherent	Variable	
		Consistency			

Visual sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ecological sensitivity	0	1	2	З	4	х	x
Cultural sensitivity	0	1	2	3	4	х	x

Total 8

Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Rating

	Editaboapo Continutty A	occomonic riating		
1-4		5-6	7-8	9-12
	Low Sensitivity	Moderate Sensitivity	High Sensitivity	No development

Landscape Value Assessment Rating

!	
В	Landscape value
Score 1 point for each	A + B
Conservation Interests	0 points = Low
2	1 point = Moderate
	2 points = High
WS, ECO	
	Conservation Interests 2

Landscape capacity assessment rating

Lanuscape capacity assessment rating						
	High	Moderate	Low	Low		
Landscape Sensitivity	Moderate	Moderate to High	Moderate	Low		
	Low	High	Moderate to High	Moderate		

Low Moderate High