#### Sustainability Appraisal and SEA of North Hertfordshire Local Plan Preferred Options

Appendix 8: Appraisal of sites not taken forward – significance criteria, summaries and matrices

November 2014

#### Contents

Appraisal Framework	5
Significance criteria	8
Sites not taken forward: overview	15
Site summaries	17
Sites appraised in 2008	18
Baldock	18
Barkway	18
Barley	19
Hitchin	19
Ickleford	22
Kimpton	22
Knebworth	23
Letchworth Garden City	23
Royston	26
Sandon	29
Therfield	29
St Paul's Walden	29
Sites appraised in 2009 and 2013 (site numbers = digits only)	30
Ashwell	30
Baldock	31
Barkway	32
Barley	34
Clothall	35
Cockernhoe and east of Luton	36
Codicote	37
Graveley Great Ashby and north east Stevenage	38 39
Hitchin	39
Kimpton	41
King's Walden	42
Knebworth	43
Lilley	44
Nuthampstead	45
Offley	45
Pirton	46
Preston	46
Reed	47
Royston	47
Sandon	48

Strategic site options appraised in 2013	54
Wymondley	51
Therfield	51
St.Paul's Walden	50
St Ippolyts	49

Matrices	57
Sites appraised 2008	57
Baldock	57
Barkway	60
Barley	62
Hitchin	67
Ickleford	101
Kimpton	107
Knebworth	109
Letchworth	112
Royston	144
Sandon	169
Therfield	172
St Paul's Walden	177
Sites appraised 2009 and 2013 (site numbers = digits only)	179
Ashwell	179
Baldock	191
Barkway	206
Barley	224
Clothall	233
Cockernhoe and east of Luton	236
Codicote	241
Graveley	246
Hitchin	252
Site 209 – Reduced Version of SW Hitchin	260
Kimpton	264
Kings Walden	274
Knebworth	280
Lilley	294
Nuthampstead	297
Offley	300
Pirton	302
Preston	305
Reed	314
Royston	320
Sandon	322
St Ippolyts	330
St Pauls Walden	352
Therfield	355
Wymondley	357
Strategic site options appraised in 2013	382

Option 4: South West of Hitchin	382
Option 7: Rush Green	387
Option 9: North East of Stevenage	390

## **Appraisal Framework**

The framework below was used to appraise site options. It is a modified version of the appraisal framework shown in the main report.). This modified framework includes specific sub-questions related to site allocations and excludes issues which are addressed in the Local Plan and cannot be influenced by site allocations. It was also modified in response to consultation comments on the Land Allocations SA/SEA Scoping Report (September 2007).

SA Objective <sup>1</sup>	SA Sub Objective: will the allocation
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<ul> <li>encourage new business to start-up and thrive in the District?</li> <li>support and encourage the rural economy and diversification?</li> <li>improve the quality of local jobs available to people in the District?</li> </ul>
LA	ND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	<ul> <li>be located on brownfield land?</li> <li>be located on land of low environmental and amenity value?</li> <li>avoid using the best and most versatile agricultural land?</li> <li>reduce quantity of unremediated contaminated land?</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	<ul> <li>be accessible to green spaces<sup>2</sup>?</li> <li>avoid reducing access to existing green and open spaces?</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the	<ul> <li>be accessible to key local services such as food shops, primary school and post office by walking and cycling?</li> <li>be accessible to employment, education and training, shopping,</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>\_those relevant to the SEA Directive are shown underlined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the purposes of this appraisal, proximity (within 400m) to open countryside with a degree of accessibility was included as access to green space, due to provision of local amenity (e.g. walking, access to nature etc)

use of motor vehicles	<ul><li>healthcare and other services by walking, cycle, bus, and train?</li><li>avoid exacerbating local traffic congestion?</li></ul>				
	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION				
<u>3(a) Protect and</u> enhance biodiversity	<ul> <li>protect habitats and species, especially those designated as being of importance, and in river corridors, and provide opportunities for creation of new habitats?</li> <li>avoid habitat fragmentation and provide habitat linkages</li> </ul>				
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	• protect and enhance landscapes, especially those of historic, recreational or amenity value, and within the Chilterns AONB?				
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	<ul> <li>conserve and enhance the historic built character of the District's town's and villages?</li> <li>protect sites of archaeological and historic importance, whether designated or not?</li> </ul>				
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	<ul> <li>protect the water quality of rivers?</li> <li>protect groundwater resources?</li> <li>Note that the air pollution impacts relating to the effect of site location on the need to travel are addressed by question 2(c) and therefore not repeated here. Noise impacts are addressed by question 5(c) and also no repeated here.</li> </ul>				
	CLIMATE CHANGE				
<u>4(a) Reduce</u> <u>greenhouse gas</u> <u>emissions</u> and improve <u>the District's ability to</u> adapt to climate change	<ul> <li>avoid development in areas at risk from flooding?</li> <li>promote development in locations where SUDS can be used?</li> <li>avoid development in areas with clay soil susceptible to subsidence?</li> <li>avoid development on northern-facing slopes?</li> <li>promote development that can support CHP schemes?</li> <li>Note that the climate change impacts relating to the effect of site location on the need to travel are addressed by question 2(c) and therefore not repeated here.</li> </ul>				
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of	contribute to regeneration of deprived areas?				

prosperity fairly and promote community cohesion	<ul> <li>recognise and value cultural and ethnic diversity?</li> <li>retain rural services, especially shops, post offices, schools, health centres and bus services?</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	<ul> <li>increase access to affordable housing, particularly for the young, the disabled and key workers?</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	<ul> <li>avoid increasing the level of nuisance, ambient noise or cumulative effect on quality of life on existing residential areas?</li> <li>be located away from ambient noise?</li> </ul>
	RESOURCE USE AND WASTE
<u>6 Use natural resources</u> efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	<ul> <li>protect water resource availability and promote water efficiency through location and design?</li> <li>ensure developments are not undertaken without evidence of available water resources and sewerage infrastructure capacity?</li> <li>Note that protection of groundwater resources are addressed in 4(a)</li> </ul>
	and therefore not repeated here TOWN CENTRES
	TOWN CENTRES
7 Promote sustainable urban living	<ul> <li>encourage wider range of shops and services in town centres?</li> <li>encourage more people to live in town centres?</li> <li>encourage synergy in land uses, which supports the continued and enhanced viability of a wide range of shops and services?</li> </ul>

# **Significance criteria**

Note: the following criteria were updated in February 2009 and further updated in September 2014 to reflect changes in data availability.

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> will the allocation	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significance criteria	
				+ve	-ve
ECONO	MIC ACTIVITY				
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<ul> <li>encourage new business to start-up and thrive in the District?</li> <li>support and encourage the rural economy and diversification?</li> <li>improve the quality of local jobs available to people in the District?</li> </ul>	Employ., Retail, Mixed, Housing (rural)	Employment Land Study, Town Centre and Retail Study Baldock, Letchworth and Hitchin Town Centre Strategies	Provides appropriate, accessible land to support the development of the key business areas or appropriate economic activities in the rural areas.	Site poorly located with respect to services, facilities and accessibility of labour or customers
LAND USE AND D	EVELOPMENT PATTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	<ul> <li>be located on brownfield land?</li> <li>be located on land of low environmental and amenity value?</li> <li>avoid using the best and most versatile</li> </ul>	All	Site information shows whether greenfield or brownfield and may comment on environmental and amenity value. GIS layer shows	Site on brownfield land which offers the opportunity for remediation. Does not impact on areas of high agricultural value (grades 1, 2 or 3a), areas of high amenity	Greenfield site which is sensitive in terms of ecology, agriculture or/and amenity

<sup>3</sup> those relevant to the SEA Directive are shown underlined

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> will the allocation	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significance criteria	
				+ve	-ve
	agricultural land? • reduce quantity of unremediated contaminated land?		agricultural land quality of greenfield sites (though doesn't separate 3a and 3b), Information on possible contaminated land.	value or any ecological designations.	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	<ul> <li>be accessible to green spaces?</li> <li>avoid reducing access to existing green and open spaces?</li> </ul>	All	Location maps and site descriptions (residential greenfield sites provide for half site as green space/ infrastructure which is likely to mean roughly a quarter for green space). GIS layer showing rights of way. For the purposes of this appraisal, proximity (within 400m) to open countryside with a degree of accessibility was included as access to green space, due to provision of local amenity (e.g. walking, access to nature etc)	Housing within 400 metres of green space accessible by existing footpaths or cycleways. Site provides additional access to green space	Reduces distance or accessibility to open space from existing residential properties Housing further than 800 metres from green space
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and	<ul> <li>be accessible to key local services such as food shops, primary</li> </ul>	All	Site information provided by NHDC, including distance	Within 800m of a station	In a village without a regular bus service

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> <i>will the allocation</i>	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significance criteria	
				+ve	-ve
reduce the use of motor vehicles	<ul> <li>school and post office by walking and cycling?</li> <li>be accessible to employment, education and training, shopping, healthcare and other services by walking, cycle, bus, and train?</li> <li>avoid exacerbating local traffic congestion?</li> </ul>		from services. Public transport access maps	In a town within 400m of a bus stop	Further than 800m from a station or 400m from a bus stop
ENVIRONME	NTAL PROTECTION				
<u>3(a) Protect and</u> <u>enhance</u> <u>biodiversity</u>	<ul> <li>protect habitats and species, especially those designated as being of importance, and in river corridors, and provide opportunities for creation of new habitats?</li> <li>avoid habitat fragmentation and provide habitat linkages</li> </ul>	All	GIS layer showing wildlife sites and SSSIs <sup>4</sup>	Site will not impact on any sites designated for their ecological value or features of ecological interest and is likely to lead to the improvement of habitat or an increase in biodiversity on the site	Potential impact on sites designated for their ecological value or features of ecological interest which cannot be mitigated.
<u>3(b) Protect and</u> enhance landscapes	• protect and enhance landscapes, especially	All	GIS layer showing AONB	Location in an area of low landscape	Location in an area of low or moderate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> North Hertfordshire Biodiversity Action Plan 2003 contains a map showing general areas of high biodiversity, but this is based on generalised information from 1998. In discussion with the Hertfordshire Biological Records Office, it was agreed that for this appraisal it would be more appropriate to refer to wildlife and SSSI designations.

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> <i>will the allocation</i>	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significance criteria	
				+ve	-ve
	those of historic, recreational or amenity value, and within the Chilterns AONB?		Landscape studies produced for NHDC	sensitivity and high capacity.	capacity
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	<ul> <li>conserve and enhance the historic built character of the Districts/towns and villages?</li> <li>protect sites of archaeological and historic importance, whether designated or not?</li> </ul>	All	GIS layer showing historic Parks and Gardens, Archaeological sites, ancient monuments, listed buildings and conservation areas	Site will not impact on conservation areas and features of cultural and archaeological interest and will contribute to protecting these features	Potential impact on conservation areas and features of cultural and archaeological interest
<u>3(d) Reduce</u> pollution from any <u>source</u>	<ul> <li>protect the water quality of rivers ?</li> <li>protect groundwater resources?</li> <li>Note that the air pollution impacts relating to the effect of site location on the need to travel are addressed by question 2(c) and therefore not repeated here. Noise impacts are addressed by question 5(c) and also not repeated here.</li> </ul>	AII	Site location map (showing whether bordering watercourse) GIS layer showing groundwater source protection zone information Information on contaminated land supplied by Environmental Health.		Site located in source protection zone 1 or 2, with land contamination issues, or bordering watercourse
CLIM	ATE CHANGE				
<u>4(a) Reduce</u> greenhouse gas	<ul> <li>avoid development in areas at risk from</li> </ul>	All	GIS layer from SFRA showing SUDS	Sustainable energy solutions viable due to	Located in high flood risk area, or with

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> <i>will the allocation</i>	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significance criteria	
				+ve	-ve
emissions and improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	<ul> <li>flooding?</li> <li>avoid development in areas with clay soil susceptible to subsidence?</li> <li>avoid development on northern-facing slopes?</li> <li>promote development that can support Combined Heat and Power schemes?</li> <li>promote development in locations where SUDS can be used ?</li> <li>Note that the climate change impacts relating to the effect of site location on the need to travel are addressed by question 2(c) and therefore not repeated here.</li> </ul>		viability and flood risk, and surface flooding issues. No information available on subsidence issues.	the size of the develpoment	surface water flooding issues and significant constraints on the use of SuDS
A JU	ST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly and promote community cohesion	<ul> <li>contribute to regeneration of deprived areas (estates in Letchworth and Hitchin)?</li> <li>recognise and value cultural and ethnic diversity ?</li> </ul>	All	Information on location of deprived areas Information on local service provision in the villages	Potential to provide new/improved services/facilities for deprived areas Potential for site to support existing rural services	Site will compete with services in deprived or rural areas

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> <i>will the allocation</i>	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significa	ance criteria
				+ve	-ve
	<ul> <li>retain rural services, especially shops, post offices, schools, health centres and bus services?</li> </ul>				
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	<ul> <li>increase access to affordable housing, particularly for the young, the disabled and key workers?</li> </ul>	Housing	Information on size of site	Sites of 10 or more dwellings	
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	<ul> <li>avoid increasing the level of nuisance, ambient noise or cumulative effect on quality of life on existing residential areas?</li> <li>be located away from ambient noise?</li> </ul>	All	GIS layer showing Luton airport noise contour. Site information showing proximity to major roads, and existing housing and possibly Environmental Health comments on air quality issues.		Housing site located in Luton noise contour, adjacent to a major road, or major development located near existing residential area
		RESOU	RCE USE AND WASTE		
<u>6 Use natural</u> <u>resources</u> <u>efficiently; reuse,</u> <u>use recycled where</u> <u>possible</u>	<ul> <li>protect water resource availability and promote water efficiency through location and design?</li> <li>ensure developments are not undertaken without evidence of available water resources and sewerage</li> </ul>	All	No information available on water supply issues, except that the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Royston WCS identifies that upgrades to the existing STW will need to occur to support the growth of Royston		Significant constraints on water resources and sewerage infrastructure.

SA Objective <sup>3</sup>	<b>SA Sub Objective:</b> <i>will the allocation</i>	Site Type?	Information to support the assessment	Significan	ice criteria
				+ve	-ve
	infrastructure capacity? Note that protection of groundwater resources are addressed in 4(a) and therefore not repeated here		and the developments north of Stevenage.		
том	/N CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	<ul> <li>encourage wider range of shops and services in town centres?</li> <li>encourage more people to live in town centres?</li> <li>encourage synergy in land uses, which supports the continued and enhanced viability of a wide range of shops and services?</li> </ul>	All	Site location maps Town Centre and Retail Study Baldock, Hitchin Letchworth and Royston Town Centre Strategies	Site providing additional shops and services in town centres Housing or mixed use site within 800m of town centre	Out of town site likely to compete with shops and services in town centres

### **Sites not taken forward: overview**

This document contains the site summaries and matrices for sites previously appraised and not taken forward into preferred options. It contains sites appraised in the following documents:

Land Allocations Issues and Options paper: January 2008

Land Allocations Additional Suggested Sites: July 2009

Housing Options Growth Levels and Locations 2011 - 2013: February 2013

Housing Additional Locations Options: July 2013.

Since the site assessments were produced over a period from 2008 to 2013, there was a need to review the assessments to ensure the information provided was up-to-date, consistent and accurate. This review was undertaken in September 2014. The information review took account of a number of new or revised sets of information, including:

- Surface water flooding data
- Bus routes and frequencies data
- Landscape impact reports
- Land contamination information
- Green infrastructure opportunities
- Infrastructure requirements
- Consultation comments.

As a result of the review, the site summaries for sites included in the Local Plan Preferred Options report were updated in September 2014 to identify any changes required as a result of new information or changes in information, and to correct mistakes. The full matrices for strategic sites<sup>5</sup> were also reviewed and updated at that time in order to support the process of choosing the preferred strategic options. Matrices for other sites were not updated at that time, and should be read in conjunction with the summaries for the relevant site, which present the significant sustainability effects for each site.

For ease of reference, sites appraised in 2008 are included separately, as they used a different numbering system.

Some previous appraisals have not been included here. They comprise:

- sites which have already received planning permission
- village boundary amendments which are not considered to be site allocations
- sites not now being dealt with in the Local Plan process, eg; for open space, waste, and transport.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sites considered as strategic options in February 2013 plus two additional sites considered as strategic options in July 2013 - North of Baldock (BA1) and North East of Luton (EL3 (previously 212a) and 212b and 212c).

### **Site summaries**

Site summaries for sites not taken forward are shown below. The summaries list the key significant sustainability effects of the sites as follows:

- General effects which relate to all development in a specific town or village are listed first beside the town or village heading.
- Site specific effects are then listed by site.

Sites are for housing unless otherwise stated. Shaded sites are those that would provide 100 dwellings or more.

#### Sites appraised in 2008

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Baldock	Baldock has a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Baldock:</li> <li>There are capacity issues in relation to both primary and secondary schools.</li> <li>There is some capacity in relation to GP surgeries. However, this is unlikely to be enough to cope with all additional sites.</li> <li>A number of sites would be a distance from the community hall. A new community centre may be required to the east of the town so that people have good access to such a facility.</li> </ul>
B/r06 adj. 68 London Road Baldock	<ul> <li>Within 400m of green space</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop with regular services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The site is too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>The site is located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1</li> <li>Further than 800m from the station and town centre</li> </ul>
Barkway	School, village hall and bus service (services less frequent than hourly). Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Barkway:</li> <li>No shops, post office or doctor's surgery</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> <li>To meet proposed additional housing, it is likely there would be a need for extra primary school capacity by developing on the reserve school site. Additional nursery provision may also be required.</li> </ul>
BK/r01 Garages r/o Windmill Close Barkway	Several public rights of way and accessible green space close to site	<ul> <li>The site is too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Located on a greenfield site of grade 2 agricultural land</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Barley	Range of local facilities including a school and bus service(services less frequent than hourly). Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Barley:</li> <li>No shops, post office or doctor's surgery</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> </ul>
BL/r01 Coach Depot and Garage adj. Greenbury Close Barley	<ul> <li>Brownfield site, with opportunity for remediating contaminated land</li> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The site is too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Land contaminated from underground petrol storage</li> <li>Located in conservation area and area of archaeological interest and near a number of listed buildings</li> </ul>
BL/r02 Land east of Picknage Road Barley	<ul> <li>Site would provide 15 dwellings, which could include affordable housing</li> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Within a conservation area</li> <li>Close to a location where protected species have been identified</li> </ul>
Hitchin	Hitchin is a large town with a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	There is limited capacity relating to primary, secondary and nursery. Expansion or new schools would be required to accommodate growth.
H/m01 mixed use Land at Paynes Park Paynes Park Hitchin	<ul> <li>Mixed use (employment and housing development) should support the town centre</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Site is in an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Listed building onsite</li> <li>More than 800m from station</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
H/m02 mixed use Land at and around Churchgate Hitchin	<ul> <li>Mixed use (employment and housing development) should support the town centre</li> <li>Would provide 60 dwellings including affordable housing</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Site is in an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is located on the River Hiz in an area that is vulnerable to flooding. Part is in zone 2 and part in zone 3</li> <li>Site adjacent to listed buildings</li> <li>More than 800m from station</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
H/r02 Bevan House r/o 34 Bancroft Hitchin	<ul> <li>Greenfield access within 400m (but footpath access could be improved)</li> <li>Within 400m of regular bus routes and close to town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Located in conservation area and area of archaeological interest and near a number of listed buildings</li> <li>More than 800m from the station</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
H/r04 Between 38 & 44 Bearton Road Hitchin	<ul> <li>Greenspace within 400m accessible via footpaths</li> <li>Regular bus route within 400m</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Further than 800m from station</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
H/r06 r/o Fieldfares Benslow Lane Hitchin	<ul> <li>Good access to green spaces and footpath access</li> <li>Central location, less than 800m from the station</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
H/r22 Land off Hine Way Hitchin	Within 400m of regular bus service	<ul> <li>Has limited access to Green space areas</li> <li>Further than 800m from station</li> <li>Will not provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
H/r26 Gardens backing onto Matthew Gate Hitchin	Within 400m of regular bus route	<ul> <li>Further than 800m from the station</li> <li>Limited access to Green spaces</li> <li>Will not provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
H/r28 Goods Yard Nightingale Road Hitchin	<ul> <li>Would provide 163 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> <li>Good public transport links: closer than 400m from regular bus route and 800m from station</li> <li>Potentially regenerating contaminated land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site may impact on local traffic congestion.</li> <li>Adjacent to conservation area</li> <li>High levels of noise disturbances from the adjacent railway tracks for the future residents living there.</li> <li>Short term impact of construction on existing residents</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> <li>Land contamination from former rail uses</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
H/r33 Railway sidings St Michael's Road Hitchin	<ul> <li>Would provide 182 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> <li>Good public transport links: regular bus route within 400m and very close to station</li> <li>Potentially regenerating contaminated land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site may impact on local traffic congestion.</li> <li>High levels of noise disturbances from the adjacent railway tracks for the future residents living there.</li> <li>Protected species identified on the site</li> <li>Adjacent to conservation area</li> <li>Short term impact of construction on existing residents</li> <li>Contamination from use as rail land and filled quarry</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
H/r43 r/o The Aspens, 46 Wymondley Road Hitchin	<ul> <li>Regular bus route within 400m and station within 800m</li> <li>Good access to green spaces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Constraints on use of SUDS</li> <li>Adjacent to conservation area</li> </ul>
H/r45 Top field Fishponds Road, Hitchin Football field, clubhouse and car park	<ul> <li>Urban location within easy reach of shopping, civic and leisure facilities.</li> <li>Situated within 400m of a bus route with frequent services.</li> <li>Hitchin town centre is within 800m walking distance, and a food supermarket and community/leisure facilities are located even closer.</li> <li>Would provide 56 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The development of the site would result in the loss of sporting facilities. However, the consultation document makes clear that development is conditional on relocation of football club.</li> <li>Constraints on use of SUDS</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
H/r46 B & Q Nightingale Road Hitchin	<ul> <li>Will provide 21 dwellings including affordable housing</li> <li>Potential to remediate contaminated land</li> <li>Good access to public transport; within 800m of a station and 400m of a bus route</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No current green spaces within 800m</li> <li>Potential contamination from former uses on site</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
H/r48 59	• Good access to public transport; within 800m of a station and 400m of a bus route	<ul> <li>Removal of an existing business and business site</li> <li>More than 800m from accessible green spaces</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Walsworth Road Hitchin	<ul> <li>Potential to remediate contaminated land</li> <li>Would provide 17 dwellings, including possibly affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noise effects from railway station</li> <li>Potential contamination from former uses on site</li> </ul>
Ickleford	Village has a range of facilities including a school and regular bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	No post office or doctor's surgery
I/r01 adj 69 Arlesey Road Ickleford	• Within 400m of a bus stop with regular services	<ul> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>On the edge of a conservation area</li> <li>In area of archaeological interest</li> <li>In a village site, further than 800m from a station</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
I/r02 r/o 55 Arlesey Road Ickleford	Within 400m of a bus stop with regular services	<ul> <li>On the edge of a conservation area</li> <li>In area of archaeological interest</li> <li>In a village site, further than 800m from a station</li> </ul>
Kimpton	Has a range of facilities, including school and bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The following are issues for Kimpton:</li> <li>Hitchin secondary schools will be at capacity and additional places will need to be found.</li> <li>Whitwell Surgery has capacity to accommodate additional places. However, this will depend on the extent of growth in other locations that serve this surgery.</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> </ul>
K/r03 Land and garages off	The site could contribute to retaining local services in the village.	

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Wren Close Kimpton	Will provide 11 dwellings including possibly affordable housing	
Knebworth	Has a range of facilities, including doctor, school, train station and regular bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The following are issues for Knebworth:</li> <li>The village school is at capacity with limited potential to expand; new development would require a new school</li> <li>Further development would result in nursery capacity issues and possible impacts on the fire service.</li> <li>There are capacity issues within both Stevenage and Hitchin in relation to secondary provision with a need to expand or provide new schools to accommodate pupils from a number of developments</li> <li>The village halls are likely to be at capacity, and there may be capacity issues for the medical centre.</li> </ul>
KB/m1 mixed use Builders' Yard London Road Knebworth	<ul> <li>Mixed employment and housing use should support local services</li> <li>Potentially reclaiming contaminated land.</li> <li>Within 800m of village centre</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Within 800m of train station</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Site may be contaminated from commercial/industrial use</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
Letchworth Garden City	Letchworth Garden City is a large town with a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	There are capacity issues in relation to GP surgeries and the North Herts Leisure Centre is in need of major refurbishment/rebuild.
L/m1 mixed use Library and museum site Gernon Road Letchworth	<ul> <li>Would contribute to town centre regeneration</li> <li>Would provide 12 dwellings, including possibly affordable housing</li> <li>The site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site is within 400m of a regular bus route</li> <li>Located within 400m of Green space</li> <li>The site is located in the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area and adjacent to designated Historic Park and Garden</li> <li>Site includes a listed building</li> </ul>
L/m2 mixed use	Would contribute to town centre regeneration	Site is in a conservation area

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Land at The Wynd Letchworth	<ul> <li>Would provide 70 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> <li>Within 400m of green space areas</li> <li>Located in the town centre.</li> <li>Located very close to the train station.</li> <li>The site is within 400m of a regular bus route</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Located close to railway line; potential noise impacts</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
L/o1 mixed use Old Grammar School Broadway Letchworth	<ul> <li>Proposed retail/mixed use should support town centre regeneration</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site has access to bus stops with regular services within 400m</li> <li>The site is within the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area and adjacent to designated Historic Park and Garden</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
L/s2 retail Arena Parade Arena Parade Letchworth	<ul> <li>Proposed retail/mixed use would contribute to town centre regeneration</li> <li>Site would be likely to provide affordable housing (proposed a total of 300 dwellings, but this is unrealistic, given the size of the site)</li> <li>The site is within 400m of green space</li> <li>The site is very close to the station</li> <li>The site is located within 400m of a bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Site located within town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area and adjacent to designated Historic Park and Garden</li> <li>There is a listed building adjacent to the site.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
L/r02 opp 382-392 Icknield Way Letchworth	<ul> <li>Within 400m of a bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Would provide 50 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> <li>Potential to reclaim contaminated land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site located next to railway tracks; potential noise impacts in the long term</li> <li>Located over 800m from the town centre</li> <li>Further than 800m from the station</li> <li>Probable land contamination from former use as depot and underground fuel tanks</li> <li>Possible ecological interest</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
L/r08 St Michael's House, 105 Norton Way South Letchworth	<ul> <li>Access to historic parks and green spaces within the town area.</li> <li>Within 400m of a regular bus route and 800m of the station</li> <li>Close to the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Site located within conservation area</li> <li>Part of the site is in flood zone 3</li> <li>Loss of centrally located employment</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
L/r11 Ivel Court Radburn Way Letchworth	<ul> <li>Shops are being kept as part of redevelopment</li> <li>Would provide 70 dwellings, including affordable housing, and contribute to a deprived area of Letchworth</li> <li>Provides good access to Green spaces (within 400m to the site)</li> <li>Bus stop and regular service within 400m of the site</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Located over groundwater Source Protection Zone 2</li> <li>Train station over 800m from the site.</li> </ul>
L/r25 Former goods yard & car dealers Norton Way North Letchworth	<ul> <li>Regular bus services within 400m and with 400m of the station</li> <li>Potential to remediate contaminated land</li> <li>Would provide 53 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> <li>Development close to town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noise disturbances from railroad</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> <li>Contaminated from previous rail use</li> </ul>
L/r30 Laundry Pixmore Avenue Letchworth	<ul> <li>Would provide 30 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> <li>Within 400 of bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Within 800 m of station</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Opportunity to reclaim contaminated land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contaminated from previous use as a dry cleaner</li> <li>Borders a conservation area</li> <li>Site is located in groundwater Source Protection Zone 2</li> <li>Mature trees onsite</li> </ul>
L/r33 Land north of	Would provide 94 dwellings, including affordable housing	<ul> <li>Land of grade 2 agricultural quality</li> <li>Adjacent to conservation area and listed buildings</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Croft Lane Letchworth	<ul> <li>Good access and public rights of way to green spaces</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop with regular services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adacent to designated archaeological area</li> <li>Adjacent to area where protected species have been identified</li> <li>Further than 800m from the station</li> <li>Large site could increase local traffic congestion</li> <li>Constraints on use of SUDS</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
Royston	Royston is a town with a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in Royston</li> <li>There may be issues with the capacity of sewerage infrastructure in Royton to accommodate the proposed levels of development.</li> <li>There are capacity issues in relation to nursery provision.</li> <li>There may be a shortfall in relation to community hall provision.</li> <li>There are known capacity issues in relation to GP surgeries in Royston.</li> </ul>
R/m2 mixed use Civic Centre Melbourn Street Royston	<ul> <li>By retaining facilities and services in town centre, e.g. council offices and health centre, it should support viability of the town<sup>6</sup></li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Within 400m of a regular bus service</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Opportunity to reclaim and remediate contaminated land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Site is in area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Next to congested road (according to Town Centre and Retail Study)</li> <li>Possible ecological interest (bats)</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> <li>Contaminated from previous use as underground petrol storage</li> <li>In groundwater Source Protection Zone 2</li> </ul>

<sup>6</sup> as outlined in the Town Centre Strategy 2008

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
R/m3 mixed use Shopping parade Angel Pavement Royston	<ul> <li>Refurbishment or redevelopment of run down shopping parade that should improve environment of town<sup>7</sup> and encourage other businesses and more shoppers to the town</li> <li>The site is easily accessible within 400m of a regular bus route</li> <li>Site is located within the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>In area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Surrounded by listed buildings</li> <li>The site is located further than 800m from the train station</li> </ul>
R/o2 medical/housing Royston Hospital London Road Royston	<ul> <li>Potential to reclaim and remediate contaminated land</li> <li>Green space within 400m of the site</li> <li>Would provide 26 dwellings, including possibly affordable housing</li> <li>Proposed inclusion of housing development would help to fund improvements to local hospital</li> <li>The site is located within a area of moderate to high landscape capacity and low sensitivity according to the Landscape Capacity and Sensitivity Study</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of the town centre itself</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Further than 800m from the station, and 400m from regular bus routes (bus stops adjacent to the site are for routes less frequently than hourly)</li> <li>Possible ecological interest (bats)</li> <li>Mature trees on site</li> <li>Possible contamination from previous use as hospital</li> </ul>
R/r10 Land adj 20 Lindsay Close Royston	<ul> <li>Within 400m of regular bus route</li> <li>Close to school, surgery, supermarket and employment areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited open access to green spaces though this could be provided by adjacent development</li> <li>Further than 800m from the station and the town centre</li> <li>Mature trees on site</li> <li>Too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
R/r12	Within 800m of town centre	Loss of current use as bus station

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> as outlined in the Town Centre Strategy 2008

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
The Warren Car Park London Road Royston	<ul> <li>Would provide 35 dwellings including affordable housing</li> <li>Within 400m of green space</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mature trees on site</li> <li>Over 800m to the station and further than 400 from a regular bus service</li> <li>Located in conservation area and archaeological areas of interest</li> <li>Listed buildings are present around the site</li> <li>Site is located over a zone 2 groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.</li> </ul>
R/r13 Industrial estate Lower Gower Road Royston	<ul> <li>Opportunity to remediate probable land contamination</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Bus stop with regular services within 400m</li> <li>Station within 800m</li> <li>Would provide 25 dwellings including affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of employment land</li> <li>Noise from railway</li> <li>Probable land contamination from current use as garages and historic use as laundry</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
R/r18 r/o Blackett Ord Court Stamford Avenue Royston	<ul> <li>Would provide 15 dwellings, including probable affordable housing</li> <li>Within 800m of station</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited access to green spaces and rights of way – although some green space within 400m</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
R/r23 The Maltings Green Drift Royston	<ul> <li>Opportunity to remediate probable land contamination</li> <li>Very close to the station, town centre, and bus stop with regular services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of an existing local area of employment</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Limited access to greenspaces and public rights of way</li> <li>Located in Groundwater protection Zone 1</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> <li>Evidence of land contamination from previous rail and industrial uses</li> </ul>
R/r26 Land r/o Banyers Hotel and off	<ul> <li>Within 800m of town centre, and the station</li> <li>Within 400 m of bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Good access to green spaces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Sited in a conservation area, and in the garden of listed buildings</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
King James Way Royston		
Sandon	Village has a range of facilities, including school and a bus service (frequency less than hourly). It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in the village:</li> <li>No shops, doctor's surgery or regular bus service.</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services</li> </ul>
S/r3 Land north of Payne End Sandon	<ul> <li>Would provide 10 dwellings, including possibly affordable housing</li> <li>Easy access to green spaces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In archaeological area</li> <li>In conservation area</li> <li>Mature trees on site</li> </ul>
Therfield	Village has a range of facilities, including school and a bus service (frequency less than hourly). It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in the village:</li> <li>No shops, post office, doctor's surgery or regular bus service.</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services</li> </ul>
T/r1 Nine Elms (adj Windrush Barn) Police Row Therfield	<ul> <li>Would provide 12 dwellings, including possibly affordable housing</li> <li>Green space within 400m</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Located within an archaeological area</li> <li>Located within a conservation area</li> </ul>
T/r2 adj Tussocks The Causeway Therfield	• Within 400 m of green space	<ul> <li>Located within an archaeological area</li> <li>Located within a conservation area</li> <li>Not large enough to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
St Paul's Walden		
WH/r2	<ul> <li>Development is on the edge of Whitwell, which has a school, and doctors surgery. Whitwell is defined as a</li> </ul>	No regular bus service

Site	Strengths	Weaknesses
Land south of High Street, Whitwell St Paul's Walden	<ul> <li>category A village in the settlement hierarchy</li> <li>Site would contribute to existing local services and support the local rural economy</li> <li>Would provide 67 dwellings, including affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Residents are likely to commute to work by car</li> <li>Known capacity issues in relation to secondary school provision in Hitchin and nursery school provision.</li> <li>Loss of informal and formal recreation areas</li> <li>The site is adjacent to a conservation area</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>

#### Sites appraised in 2009 and 2013 (site numbers = digits only)

Proposed use is residential unless otherwise noted

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Ashwell	Range of local facilities including a school and bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	Bus services in this area are limited and the railway station is located out of the village. Likely to increase commuting and private car use.
Site 1 Land east of Ashridge Farm, Ashwell Street, Ashwell	<ul> <li>This site is likely to support local services.</li> <li>Would provide 41 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>The site is within walking distance of the village centre, school, recreation ground, green spaces and local amenities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site and is grade 3 agricultural land, surrounded by established hedgerows.</li> <li>Within an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>.</li> </ul>
Site 2 r/o 67 Station Road, Ashwell Street, Ashwell	The site is within walking distance of the village centre, school, recreation ground, green spaces and local amenities.	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site and is grade 2 or 3 agricultural land, surrounded by established hedgerows.</li> <li>Site borders an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>

Site/location/	Strengths	Weaknesses
proposed use Site 5 Land adjoining 7 Green Lane, Ashwell	<ul> <li>This site is likely to support local services.</li> <li>The site is within walking distance of the village centre, school, recreation ground, green spaces and local amenities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The site borders a conservation area.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding in northern edge.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Site 8 Land south of 120 Station Road, Ashwell	<ul> <li>Would provide 10 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.</li> <li>The site is within walking distance of the village centre, school, recreation ground, green spaces and local amenities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site and is grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Within area of archaeological interest</li> </ul>
Baldock	Baldock has a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Baldock:</li> <li>There are capacity issues in relation to both primary and secondary schools.</li> <li>There is some capacity in relation to GP surgeries. However, this is unlikely to be enough to cope with all additional sites.</li> <li>A number of sites would be a distance from the community hall. A new community centre may be required to the east of the town so that people have good access to such a facility.</li> </ul>
Sites 9, 10 & 11 Land r/o 1-23, Letchworth Road, Baldock	<ul> <li>Within 400m of green space.</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop with regular services.</li> <li>Together the sites would provide 32 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Close proximity to A1(M).</li> <li>Further than 800m from the railway station.</li> </ul>
Site 12 Land North of George IV PH, London Road, Baldock	<ul> <li>Within 400m of green space.</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop with regular services.</li> <li>Would provide 15 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Established trees and hedgerows surrounding site.</li> <li>Greenfield site, agricultural land grade 3.</li> <li>The site is located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1.</li> <li>Further than 800m from the railway station.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Site 15 Land North of Willian Road, Baldock	<ul> <li>Would provide 129 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Within 400m of green space.</li> <li>Public rights of way border the site.</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop with regular services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is located near the A1(M) which may affect health and quality of life.</li> <li>Greenfield site.</li> <li>Site is classified as agricultural land grade 3, with established trees and hedgerows.</li> <li>Part of the site is located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1.</li> <li>Further than 800m from the railway station.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
Barkway	School, village hall and bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Barkway:</li> <li>No shops, post office or doctor's surgery</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> <li>To meet proposed additional housing, it is likely there would be a need for extra primary school capacity by developing on the reserve school site. Additional nursery provision may also be required.</li> </ul>
Site 17 Land East of Burrs Lane, Barkway	<ul> <li>Combined with other developments could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Would provide 21 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Close to public rights of way and green spaces.</li> </ul>	Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.
Site 18 Land east of Cambridge Road, Barkway	<ul> <li>Combined with other developments could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way.</li> <li>Situated within 400m of green space</li> <li>Would provide 29 dwellings, some of which are likely to be affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land</li> <li>Borders Historic Park and Gardens</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Site 19 Land West of Cambridge Road, Barkway	<ul> <li>Site could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Would provide 68 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Close to public rights of way and green spaces.</li> <li>Situated within 400m of green space.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Adjacent to Historic Park and Gardens</li> </ul>
Site 20 Land adjacent to Lane Farm, Gas Lane, Barkway	Would provide 14 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Within 50m of designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
Site 21 Land North of Nuthampstead Road, Barkway	<ul> <li>Combined with other developments could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy</li> <li>Would provide 41 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site borders conservation area.</li> <li>Site borders designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
Site 22 Land East of Royston Road, Barkway	<ul> <li>Site could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Would provide 89 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	• Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.
Site 23 Top Field, Royston Road, Barkway	<ul> <li>Combined with other developments could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Would provide 37 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Within a designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Close to a site where protected species have been identified.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
203 - Land south of Ash Mill	<ul> <li>Combined with other developments could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Would provide 40 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Close to public rights of way and green spaces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential amenity impact associated with adjacent chicken farm</li> <li>Edge of site includes conservation area and designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
Barley	Range of local facilities including a school and bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Barley:</li> <li>No shops, post office or doctor's surgery</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> </ul>
Site 24 Picknage Corner, Church End, Barley	Accessibility to green space and public rights of way	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Borders a conservation area.</li> <li>Close to an area where protected species have been identified.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Site 25 Millers Close, Picknage Road, Barley	Accessibility to green space and public rights of way.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Situated within a conservation area.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Site 26 New Hill, Picknage Road, Barley	<ul> <li>With other developments, site could support local services by attracting people to the village and supporting its local economy.</li> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way.</li> <li>Situated within 400m of green space.</li> <li>Would provide30 dwellings, some of which are likely to be affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Situated on the border of a conservation area.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Site 204 (enlarged site 27)at Pudding Lane	<ul> <li>With other developments, site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy</li> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way</li> <li>Regular bus service within village</li> <li>Situated within 400m of green space</li> <li>Would provide 22 dwellings, some of which are likely to be affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land</li> <li>Close to an area where protected species have been identified.</li> <li>Part of site is within conservation area</li> </ul>
Clothall		
Site 28 Land at Clothall House, Ashanger Lane, Clothall	<ul> <li>Would provide 252 dwellings including affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>This large development in a village without facilities is likely to increase commuting and private car use.</li> <li>A development of this size in a rural area is likely to have a significant effect on the landscape and the character of Clothall.</li> <li>Site includes a designated wildlife site.</li> <li>Site contains an Ancient Monument and is within a designated area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Site is near to listed buildings.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Cockernhoe and east of Luton		
212 b and c -NW and NE of Cockernhoe	<ul> <li>Site 212c would provide 600 additional dwellings in North Hertfordshire, including affordable housing</li> <li>Site 212b would provide significant greenspace and opportunity for wider links to green infrastructure, with and improvements to biodiversity</li> <li>Opportunity for sustainable energy solutions if linked with other east of Luton developments A site of this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site, agricultural land grade 3</li> <li>Both sites include designated archaeological area</li> <li>Site borders Puttridge Bury Historic Park and Gardens</li> <li>The area provides recreation space for existing residents of Luton, Cockernhoe.</li> <li>A site of this size and scale in this location is likely to have a significant visual impact on landscape. The site is located on top of the plateau ridge and so will impact on surrounding views of the site, particularly from Lilley Bottom.</li> <li>Constraints on use of SuDS.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding issues onsite.</li> <li>The likelihood is that residents of this development will drive to Luton / Hitchin for work and entertainment.</li> <li>Local road network to the east is sub-standard, development in this area will make this worse, and reduce accessibility for existing residents.</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be likely to create noise and other disruption for surrounding local residential areas.</li> <li>Development of this large greenfield site will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Codicote	Village has range of facilities including school, village hall, and bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following are general issues for Codicote:</li> <li>No doctor's surgery. Although Whitwell Surgery has capacity to accommodate additional places, this will depend on the extent of growth in other locations that serve this surgery.</li> <li>The village school would need to expand to accommodate additional dwellings.</li> <li>Hitchin secondary schools will be at capacity and additional places will need to be found.</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> </ul>
Site 30 Land at Codicote House, Heath Road, Codicote		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is located within groundwater source protection zone 2.</li> <li>Site is within a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is located near listed buildings.</li> <li>Site is within an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Site 31 Land south of Heath Road, Codicote	<ul> <li>Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy</li> <li>Would provide 42 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is located within groundwater source protection zone 2.</li> <li>Close to designated wildlife site.</li> <li>Site is potentially contaminated due to proximity to landfill site.</li> </ul>
206 – Land at Danebury Park Road	<ul> <li>Within 400m of greenspace</li> <li>Would provide 11 dwellings including possibly affordable housing</li> <li>Opportunity to remediate contaminated land.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is proximate to the A1 – potential noise pollution</li> <li>Potential contamination from former waste disposal.</li> </ul>
207 - Land at Kimpton Road	<ul> <li>Within 400m of greenspace</li> <li>Would provide 16 dwellings including possibly affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low capacity in the landscape for development</li> <li>Located on a source protection zone 1</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>

Strengths	Weaknesses
Village has school and regular bus service and is a category A village in the settlement hierarchy	<ul><li>The village does not have shops</li><li>Development is likely to increase private car use.</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Site would support the local economy.</li> <li>Leisure facilities may increase good health.</li> <li>Could potentially serve several nearby towns.</li> <li>Site is within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is within an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Site includes flood risk area - flood zone 3.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
• Site is within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is within an area of archaeological interest.</li> </ul>
Would provide 88 dwellings	<ul> <li>Site borders the A1(M), making noise likely to be an issue.</li> <li>Detential surface water flooding</li> </ul>
	Potential surface water flooding.
	<ul> <li>Village has school and regular bus service and is a category A village in the settlement hierarchy</li> <li>Site would support the local economy.</li> <li>Leisure facilities may increase good health.</li> <li>Could potentially serve several nearby towns.</li> <li>Site is within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.</li> <li>Site is within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Great Ashby and north east Stevenage		
227 - Land at Manor Farm	<ul> <li>A site of this size is likely to be able to provide some facilities within the development. However there are existing facilities close to the site.</li> <li>The development would provide 425 dwellings in North Hertfordshire including affordable housing</li> <li>There would be opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development.</li> <li>Green space would be provided within the development, and there would be opportunities for improving green infrastructure and connecting to existing green links.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site, agricultural land grade 3</li> <li>The 2011 Landscape Study describes developments of greater than 5ha as not appropriate for this area</li> <li>Designated wildlife sites are adjacent to the development area</li> <li>Designated areas of archaeological interest within the proposed site</li> <li>There are significant constraints on sewerage infrastructure in Stevenage.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Access to the countryside would be further away for existing residents.</li> <li>It is likely that a significant proportion of new residents would work outside Stevenage and travel by car, even if some employment was provided for in the development.</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas.</li> <li>Development of this large greenfield site will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution.</li> </ul>
Hitchin	Hitchin is a large town with a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	There is limited capacity relating to primary, secondary and nursery. Expansion or new schools would be required to accommodate growth.
Site 36 Playing Field, Benslow Lane, Hitchin Residential greenfield & open space	<ul> <li>Would provide some additional public open space.</li> <li>Would provide 120 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the railway station, but just over 400m from existing regular bus route.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield land.</li> <li>Close to conservation area.</li> <li>Loss of playing fields, currently informally used as open space.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Site 38 Land at junction with Pirton Road, Crow Furlong, Hitchin	<ul> <li>Would provide 43 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Planned houses would be within 800m of the town centre.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is currently woodland therefore development may have an adverse impact on biodiversity.</li> <li>Site is in an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Further than 800m from station.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
Site 110 Oakfield Farm, Stevenage Road (A602) St Ippolyts	<ul> <li>Would provide 285 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Site of this size is likely to provide some facilities, including green space provision.</li> <li>Parts of site located within 400m of a bus service.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site on the edge of Hitchin, further than 800m from the station, so is likely to result in increased use of cars for commuting and accessing services</li> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is potentially contaminated at farmyard.</li> <li>Parts of site within flood zone 3.</li> <li>Part of site borders the A602 which may cause noise issues.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas.</li> </ul>
209 - Revised SW Hitchin	<ul> <li>A site this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development, including green space and public transport provision.</li> <li>Development would provide 2800 dwellings including significant affordable housing</li> <li>Significant opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3</li> <li>The site is on the far side of Hitchin from the train station, and it is likely to result in increased commuting by private car.</li> <li>A site of this size is likely to have a significant landscape impact. Evaluation for this area describes development of greater than 5ha as not appropriate. The site borders the AONB to west.</li> <li>Site contains a number of wildlife sites and existing trees and hedgerows</li> <li>Site contains designated archaeological area and has potential to impact on adjacent conservation areas</li> <li>Services could compete with town centre</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas.</li> <li>A development of this size would inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution</li> <li>Additional traffic associated with this edge of town development could increase congestion.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
	Has a range of facilities, including school and bus service.	The following are issues for Kimpton:
Kimpton	Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>Hitchin secondary schools will be at capacity and additional places will need to be found.</li> </ul>
		• Whitwell Surgery has capacity to accommodate additional places. However, this will depend on the extent of growth in other locations that serve this surgery.
		<ul> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> </ul>
Site 45		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Land at Ash Drive (north) Kimpton Bottom, Kimpton		
Site 46 Land at Ash Drive (south) Kimpton Bottom, Kimpton		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Site 47 New Bungalows, Lawrence End Road, Peter's Green, Kimpton		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Site 48 Water Tower, Lawrence End Road, Peter's Green, Kimpton		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
King's Walden	Sites are around Breachwood Green, which has a range of facilities, including school and bus service (less frequent than hourly). Breachwood Green is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following are issues for development in Kings Walden parish:</li> <li>Hitchin secondary schools will be at capacity and additional places will need to be found.</li> <li>Whitwell Surgery has capacity to accommodate additional places. However, this will depend on the extent of growth in other locations that serve this surgery.</li> <li>Development is likely to increase use of cars for commuting</li> </ul>
Site 49 Allotments South of Colemans Road, Breachwood Green, King's Walden	Would provide 30 dwellings including affordable housing.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Within London Luton Airport noise contours.</li> </ul>
Site 50 Land North of Lower Road, Breachwood Green, King's Walden		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is located within groundwater source protection zone 2.</li> <li>Adjacent to wildlife site</li> <li>Within London Luton Airport noise contours.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Site is too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
	Has a range of facilities, including doctor, school, train	The following are issues for Knebworth:
Knebworth	station and regular bus service. Category A village in settlement hierarchy	<ul> <li>The village school is at capacity with limited potential to expand; new development would require a new school</li> </ul>
		• Further development would result in nursery capacity issues and possible impacts on the fire service.
		• There are capacity issues within both Stevenage and Hitchin in relation to secondary provision with a need to expand or provide new schools to accommodate pupils from a number of developments
		• The village halls are likely to be at capacity, and there may be capacity issues for the medical centre.
Site 54	<ul> <li>Site would support the local economy.</li> <li>Would increase provision for housing for the elderly.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part of site is in groundwater source protection zone 2.</li> <li>Further than 800m from the town centre.</li> </ul>
Odyssey Health Centre, Old Knebworth Lane, Knebworth		<ul> <li>Site is located next to the railway tracks.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
Retirement home & upgraded sports facilities.		
Site 55	• Site is within 800m of the village centre and the station.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is in groundwater source protection zone 1.</li> </ul>
Land North of	• Would provide 84 dwellings including affordable	Potential surface water flooding.
Old Lane,	housing.	
Knebworth	Development may support local services.	
Site 56	Would provide 32 dwellings including affordable housing.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Part of site is in groundwater source protection zone 2.</li> </ul>
Land East of		• Further than 800m from the town centre and the station.
Stevenage Road, Knebworth		<ul><li>Site is located next to the railway tracks.</li><li>Potential surface water flooding.</li></ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Site 57 Land South of Swangley's Lane, Knebworth	<ul> <li>Site is within 800m of the village centre and the station.</li> <li>Would provide 112 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Development may support local services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Edge of site is in groundwater source protection zone 1.</li> </ul>
Site 58 Land North of Watton Road, Knebworth	<ul> <li>Site is within 800m of the village centre and the station.</li> <li>Would provide 121 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Development may support local services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is in groundwater source protection zone 1.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
211 - Land north of Oakfield Avenue	<ul> <li>Would provide 58 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the village centre and the station.</li> <li>Development may support local services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in groundwater Source Protection Zone 2 and the edge is in zone 1.</li> <li>This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
Lilley	Village hall and regular bus service.	<ul> <li>No shops, post office, doctor's surgery or school.</li> <li>Development likely to increase commuting and private car use.</li> </ul>
Site 62 Land r/o 57 West Street Lilley Bottom Road, Lilley		<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Within Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.</li> <li>Site is within a designated area of archaeological interest.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
	See site below	
Nuthampstead		
Site 63 Former depot, Park Farm Lane, Nuthampstead	<ul> <li>Would provide 11 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.</li> <li>Opportunity to remediate contaminated land.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evidence of land contamination.</li> <li>Site borders a flood risk zone.</li> <li>Development in this small village without facilities likely to increase commuting and private car use.</li> </ul>
Offley	Village has a range of facilities, including school and regular bus service. It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in Offley:</li> <li>The village school and nursery provision has capacity issues if growth is required.</li> <li>Hitchin schools will be at capacity and additional places will need to be found.</li> <li>Whitwell and Hitchin surgeries have capacity to accommodate additional places. However, this will depend on the extent of growth in other locations that serve these surgeries.</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services.</li> </ul>
213 - The Rookery, Kings Walden Road	Within 400m of greenspace	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>The landscape capacity for incremental small scale developments is considered to be low.</li> <li>Site is on the edge of a conservation area</li> <li>Site too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Pirton	Village has a range of facilities, including school and bus service (less frequent than hourly). It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in Pirton:</li> <li>No shops or doctor's surgery.</li> <li>There are known capacity issues in relation to secondary schools within Hitchin.</li> <li>Hitchin surgeries have capacity to accommodate additional places. However, this will depend on the extent of growth in other locations that serve these surgeries.</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services</li> </ul>
214 - Holwell Turn, West Lane	<ul> <li>Site will support rural services</li> <li>Would provide 47 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site</li> <li>Located on grade 2 and 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
Preston	School, village hall and bus service	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in Pirton:</li> <li>No shops, post office or doctor's surgery.</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services</li> </ul>
Site 65 Land west of Back Lane, Preston	Would provide 14 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.     .	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is within an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to a conservation area.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
Site 67 Land North of Chequers Lane, Preston	<ul> <li>Would provide 26 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Footpaths through site, giving access to green space.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is around 100m from SSSI.</li> </ul>
Site 69 Land South of Crunnells Green, Preston	Would provide 62 dwellings including affordable housing.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site is situated near listed buildings.</li> <li>Site borders a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to a designated Historic Park and Gardens.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Reed	Village has some facilities, including school and bus service (less frequent than hourly). It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in Preston:</li> <li>No shops, post office or doctor's surgery</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services</li> </ul>
Site 72 Land North of Blacksmiths Lane, Reed	<ul> <li>Would provide 20 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Within walking distance of school and green space.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Includes an established woodland.</li> <li>.</li> </ul>
Site 81 Reed House, Jackson's Lane, Reed Residential, proposed for inclusion within proposed new village boundary	<ul> <li>Would provide 13 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.</li> <li>Within walking distance of school and green space.</li> </ul>	Site borders the village conservation area
Royston	Royston is a town with a good range of facilities, including a mainline railway station, facilitating sustainable travel for work and other activities.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in Royston</li> <li>There may be issues with the capacity of sewerage infrastructure in Royton to accommodate the proposed levels of development.</li> <li>There are capacity issues in relation to nursery provision.</li> <li>There may be a shortfall in relation to community hall provision.</li> <li>There are known capacity issues in relation to GP surgeries in Royston.</li> </ul>
Site 126 Mile End Farm, London Road, Royston	<ul> <li>Would provide 49 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part of site is within designated area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Within groundwater source protection zone 3.</li> <li>Out of town site, not on a regular bus route - would result in increased use of private cars for commuting and accessing services.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Sandon	Post office, school and village hall.	<ul> <li>No shops, doctor's surgery or regular bus service</li> <li>Development is likely to increase commuting and private car use.</li> </ul>
Site 86 Land at Gannock Farm Gannock Green, Sandon	Would provide 17 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.	<ul> <li>Site is located near listed buildings.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>
Site 87 Land north of Rockells Jersey Farm, Green End, Sandon One agricultural worker's dwelling	Potential to support existing rural services.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site falls partially within an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Borders designated wildlife site.</li> </ul>
Site 88 Rockells Jersey Farm, Green End, Sandon One agricultural worker's dwelling	Potential to support existing rural services.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.</li> <li>Adjacent to a wildlife designated site.</li> <li>Site falls partially within two designated areas of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Site is partial within flood zone 3.</li> <li>Site is potentially contaminated from farmyard use.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses		
St Ippolyts	Village has a range of facilities, including school. It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	<ul> <li>The following issues relate to development in the village:</li> <li>No doctor's surgery. There is capacity at the GP surgeries within Hitchin. However, this would need to be looked at in relation to the rest of the growth within and around Hitchin</li> <li>Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services</li> <li>There are known capacity issues at the secondary schools within Hitchin.</li> <li>Nursery capacity is limited.</li> </ul>		
219 - Land at London Road	<ul> <li>Would provide 68 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Within 400m of greenspace</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site on agricultural Grade 3</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>		
220 - Land off Mill Road	<ul> <li>Would provide 27 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Within 400m of greenspace</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site on agricultural Grade 3</li> <li>The NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having moderate capacity and moderate sensitivity</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>		
222 - Land at Hitchin Lane	<ul> <li>Development would provide 225 dwellings in North Hertfordshire, of which a proportion would be affordable</li> <li>Opportunities for sustainable energy, especially linking with other development given the size of the development.</li> <li>Site could contribute to deprived areas of Hitchin.</li> <li>Development of this size is likely to provide some facilities, including green space and public transport provision.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3</li> <li>Site adjacent to wildlife site</li> <li>Site will mean open countryside is further away for existing residents.</li> <li>The NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having moderate capacity and moderate sensitivity</li> <li>Site includes borders flood zone 3 and includes flood zone 2</li> <li>Edge of town site is likely to result in increased use of cars for commuting and accessing services.</li> </ul>		
223 – Land at Folly Lane	Would provide 11 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site on agricultural Grade 3</li> <li>Site is currently greenspace</li> <li>Borders conservation area, area of archaeological interest, and wildlife site.</li> </ul>		

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
224 - Land east of Hitchin Lane at junction with A602	Development would provide 48 dwellings in North Hertfordshire a proportion of which would be affordable	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3</li> <li>Site will mean open countryside is further away for existing residents.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Edge of town site is likely to result in increased use of cars for commuting and accessing services.</li> </ul>
225 - Land west of Hitchin Lane at junction with A602	Development would provide 46 dwellings in North Hertfordshire	<ul> <li>Greenfield site located on agricultural grade 3</li> <li>Site borders wildlife site</li> <li>Site will mean open countryside is further away for existing residents.</li> <li>The NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having high sensitivity and moderate capacity</li> <li>Site includes borders flood zone 3 and includes flood zone 2</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Edge of town site is likely to result in increased use of cars for commuting and accessing services.</li> </ul>
St.Paul's Walden		See below
Site 116 The Estate Yard, Hoo Park, St Paul's Walden		<ul> <li>In a rural location. The nearest village is Whitwell 500m away (which has a food shop, post office, doctor's surgery, school, village hall but no regular bus service). Likely to increase commuting and private car use.</li> <li>Evidence of land contamination.</li> <li>Site is within designated Historic Parks and Gardens.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> <li>Site is too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses
- · ·	Village has a range of facilities, including school and a	The following issues relate to development in the village:
Therfield	bus service (frequency less than hourly). It is a category A village in settlement hierarchy.	• No shops, post office, doctor's surgery or regular bus service.
		• Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services
Site 117		Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.
		Site is within an area of archaeological interest.
Land South of Haywood		Site is near to listed buildings.
Lane Therfield		Potential surface water flooding.     Cite is the small to menuide affordable bousing
		• Site is too small to provide affordable housing.
	Little Wymondley has a school and a regular bus service.	The following issues relate to Wymondley:
Wymondley		No shops or post office
		• There are capacity issues with the village school (including nursery provision) and secondary schools in Stevenage and Hitchin.
		• Little Wymondley currently does not have a village hall and may need one to accommodate this growth.
		• No doctor's surgery and it is unclear where capacity would be if there is development in the village
		• Development is likely to result in the increased use of private cars for work and to access services.
Site 120	Would provide 24 dwellings including affordable housing.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Site borders railway.</li> </ul>
Land West of	• Site is within 400m of a bus stop.	, ,
Gypsy Lane,		
Wymondley		
Site 121	<ul> <li>Would provide 63 dwellings, including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Part of site within flood zone 3.</li> </ul>
Land North of	<ul> <li>Access to green space</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Borders a designated area of archaeological interest</li> </ul>
Stevenage Road,	• Site is within 400m of a bus stop.	Potential surface water flooding issues onsite
Little Wymondley		

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses			
Site 122 Land South of Stevenage Road, Little Wymondley	<ul> <li>Would provide 184 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> <li>Parts of site within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>A development of this size on the edge of the village is likely to have a significant impact on the landscape and on the village character.</li> <li>Site close to listed buildings.</li> <li>Site borders an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Parts of site in groundwater source protection zone 2, and bordering zone 1.</li> <li>Site borders flood zone 3.</li> <li>Land is potentially contaminated from landfill on site.</li> <li>Site borders A602, creating noise issues.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>			
Site 123 Land West of Todds Green, Wymondley	• Site is within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>Constraints on the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems.</li> <li>Too small to provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>			
Site 125 Land South of Wymondley Road, Wymondley	<ul> <li>Would provide 17 dwellings including possibly affordable housing.</li> <li>Site is within 400m of a bus stop with regular services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land.</li> <li>The site is bordered by a wildlife site.</li> <li>Site borders railway.</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>			
229 - Land south of Wymondley Bury	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of green space</li> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Would provide 121 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site on grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Majority of site includes designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Limited capacity in landscape for development</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>			
230 - Land east of Wymondley Bury	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of green space</li> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Would provide 49 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site on grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Limited capacity in landscape for development</li> <li>Site adjoins designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>			

Site/location/ proposed use	Strengths	Weaknesses		
231 - Land west of Todds Green	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of green space</li> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop with regular services</li> <li>Would provide 232 dwellings including affordable housing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Part of site includes designated area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Limited capacity in landscape for development</li> <li>Potential surface water flooding.</li> </ul>		

## Strategic site options appraised in 2013

The following strategic site options were appraised in February 2013 and not taken forward as preferred options in the lists of sites.

Site/location/ current use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Option 4: South West of Hitchin	<ul> <li>A site this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development, including employment.</li> <li>Opportunity for greenspace provision</li> <li>Site is likely to be able to support additional bus services.</li> <li>The proposed bypass associated with the development could alleviate transport issues through the town (especially HGV), having a positive impact on noise and air pollution in the town .</li> <li>Development would provide 6000- 7400 dwellings in North Hertfordshire, including significant affordable housing.</li> <li>Significant opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3.</li> <li>The site is on the far side of Hitchin from the train station, and it is likely to result in increased commuting by private car.</li> <li>The site includes areas of high landscape sensitivity and borders the AONB.</li> <li>Site contains a number of wildlife sites and a SSSI, and is adjacent to Oughton Head / Charlton Mill Pool.</li> <li>Site contains several designated archaeological areas, and borders two conservation areas.</li> <li>The site borders a major Public Water Supply at Oughton Head.</li> <li>Parts of the site are above Source Protection Zones 1, 2 and 3 and the site is likely to include contaminated land.</li> <li>Site contains parts of the River Hiz at Wellhead, classified as functional floodplain.</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas.</li> <li>A development of this size would inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution.</li> <li>Services could compete with town centre.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ current use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Option 7: Rush Green	<ul> <li>Provides opportunity for remediation of existing scrapyard.</li> <li>Rights of way run through and close to the site, and provide access to open countryside.</li> <li>Site would provide 350 dwellings, with the opportunity to deliver affordable housing in a rural location.</li> <li>Opportunities for sustainable energy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is unlikely that a site of this size and in this location would deliver the required services and facilities to meet the needs of an entire settlement. The remoteness of the site means that residents would be highly dependent on cars.</li> <li>Site is likely to be contaminated and part is above Source Protection Zone 3. It also contains a watercourse</li> <li>Site surrounded by areas of high landscape sensitivity.</li> <li>Surface water flood risk issues identified onsite. Site contains Flood zone 2 and 3 as a tributary of the River Purwell flows through the site.</li> <li>The site is not close to an existing urban area offering services and facilities to support growth.</li> </ul>

Site/location/ current use	Strengths	Weaknesses
Option 9: North East of Stevenage (a small part of this option was carried forward as GA2)	<ul> <li>A site of this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development;</li> <li>The development would provide 2000 - 5700 dwellings in North Hertfordshire including significant affordable housing; and</li> <li>There will be significant opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site, agricultural land grade 3.</li> <li>The 2011 Landscape Study describes developments of greater than 5ha as not appropriate for this area. The requirement for a dual carriageway to serve this major development would be visible from the surrounding area, this would have a particularly negative impact on landscape.</li> <li>Designated wildlife sites are included in the development area</li> <li>Designated archaeological sites within the proposed site</li> <li>Setting of historic assets impacted by possible access road</li> <li>Possible contamination on site, and located in Source Protection Zone 2.</li> <li>Surface water flooding an issue and there are constraints on the use of SuDS</li> <li>There are significant constraints on sewerage infrastructure in Stevenage.</li> <li>Development of this large greenfield site would inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution.</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas.</li> </ul>

# **Matrices**

The assessment is based on the current situation and trends for each objective, including where there are particular problems. This information is contained in appendix 2 (baseline data and key sustainability issues), and has been referred to throughout the process of compiling the matrices.

These matrices were produced over a period from 2008 to 2013. They should be read in conjunction with the site summaries, which are included earlier in this document. The site summaries were reviewed fully in September 2014 to identify any changes required to reflect new information or changes in information, and to correct mistakes. The full matrices for strategic sites (sites considered as options in February 2013 plus BA1, considered as an option in July 2013) were also reviewed at that time in order to support the process of choosing the preferred strategic options. The matrices for sites appraised in 2008 and 2009 were the subject of a limited review in July 2012 to identify any changes resulting from new information arising at that time(for example information about the Royston Sewage Treatment Works capacity issues), or to take account of consultation comments.

#### Sites appraised 2008

#### **Baldock**

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) B/r06 Site Reference and Location: Adjacent 68 London Road, Baldock				
What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of	0	0	0	

	1			
prosperity and				
economic				
growth				
LAND USE AND	1	1	1	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	?	?	?	The site is currently a private garden to 68 London Road. No contamination identified.
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site has access to several public rights of way and green spaces (within 400 m).</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	~	~	<ul> <li>Located near to school; good access to services</li> <li>Regular bus service and bus stop within 400m, but further than 800m from station.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENT	AL PROT	ECTION	J	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>The site is a garden, so development is likely to result in some loss of habitat. However, no access has been possible to the site.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	No information available on landscape impacts.
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	V	~	~	Not in a conservation area or area of archaeological interest.
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	x	X	The site is located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1

CLIMATE CHANG	GE			
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	~	V	V	<ul> <li>Not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	(	_		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?	?	?	<ul> <li>May contribute to regeneration of Baldock, but a fairly small development</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Estimated to provide 15 dwellings. If it does, will be required to incorporate affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	ο	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction could provide noise disturbances</li> <li>The site would be adjacent to current residential areas</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE</b>	AND W	ASTE		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies potential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education.</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES		1		
7 Promote sustainable urban living Summary	0	0	0	• N/a
Stugnaths	14/-	alu ar		Detential mitigation
Strengths	we	akness		Potential mitigation
Not in		<ul> <li>The</li> </ul>	site is	Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders

conservatio n or archaeologi cal areaslocated Ground archaeologi Source cal areasSuitable for SUDSZone 1 Zone 1 dom of green spaceWithin 400m of bus stopenergy 800m f the stat affordable housing	Ecology survey  an  h
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------

### Barkway

Type of Site and Number:	Residen	tial (Gre	enfield) E	3K/r01			
Site Reference and Locati							
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	V	The proposed development is likely to support the provision of local services within the village			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	5				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Mixed brown and greenfield site</li> <li>Part Agricultural land Grade 2 quality</li> <li>Site is of high amenity value</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree surveys, assess and incorporate trees present</li> </ul>			
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Evidence of several public rights of way close the site area</li> <li>Within 400m of open Green space areas (local fields)</li> </ul>			

2 © Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	0	0	0	<ul> <li>From the housing study, there is evidence of a regular bus service within the village itself (within 400m of site)</li> <li>Mitigation - improve sustainable public transport</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The land boundaries are defined with dense hedges and garden areas</li> <li>The land itself is defined as scrub land with evidence of small trees.</li> <li>Site area not listed as an ecologically sensitive area</li> <li>Site unlikely to enhance biodiversity</li> <li>Mitigation – Ecological survey of local hedgerows and surrounding land</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Landscape surrounded by existing open fields; development could impact visually.</li> <li>Short term – construction phase temporary visual impact</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a conservation sites or a site of archaeological interest.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site not located on a North facing slope</li> <li>No evidence to support location of Groundwater source protection zone within this village</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding</li> <li>SUDS viability is uncertain according to the SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site may provide access to affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	Site has no identified constraints

TOWN CENTRES						
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0		•	N.a
Summary						
						gative ratings against several of the sustainable objectives. (1, 2a, 3c). ccess to green space areas and develop high value agricultural land.
Strengths	We	eaknesse	es			Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Site could support loca rural services</li> <li>Several public rights of way and accessible green space close to site</li> <li>Regular bus service operating within the village area (within 400m)</li> <li>Would provide affordable housing</li> </ul>		scho to le com emp and • Part Gree	services bol in vill muting f oloyment other se located enfield si de 2 agri	age. L crease for , shop rvices on a ite of	Likel e in opin	<ul><li>Improve public transport</li><li>Investigate SUDS</li></ul>

## Barley

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) Site Reference and Location: Bl/r01 Coach Depot and Garage adj., Greenbury Close, Barley					
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short		Long		
	term	term	term	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed site has potential to support local services within the rural area</li> <li>Potential loss of a local business; not known at present</li> </ul>	
	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS				

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Proposed development located on Brownfield site of former coach depot.</li> <li>Area has evidence of potential land contamination from underground fuel storage tanks.</li> <li>Development could aid in regeneration of land space in need of remediation.</li> <li>Mitigation - Land contamination assessment; remediation of land if needed</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There are footpaths adjacent and surrounding the site</li> <li>There is access within 400m of the site to Green fields and Green space areas</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The Housing development study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area (accessible within 400m)</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage alternative sustainable transport to local town centres</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not located within an area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> <li>Scrubland present on site; doesn't appear to have major habitat provision</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	No identified visual constraints or capacity constraints.
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	×	x	<ul> <li>The site is located within an archaeological area</li> <li>The site is located within a conservation area</li> <li>There are numerous listed buildings located around the site itself.</li> <li>Mitigation - To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Conduct conservation and archaeological surveys</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	ох	ох	<ul> <li>Site is not on a north facing slope</li> <li>No identified Groundwater Source Protection Zones within the area</li> <li>Potential land contamination</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is unsuitable for SUDS due to potential unsuitable drift according to the SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area

5(b) Increase access to	√	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	• Re	Id supporting its local economy elatively small development may not have significant changes on oviding new services he number of dwellings proposed could support the creation of
decent and affordable housing	v	v	v		fordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		itial construction would provide temporary disruptions o evidence of long term effects from this relatively small development
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b> 6(a) Use natural resources	0	0	0	• Sit	te has no identified constraints
efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	U	0	0	• 50	
TOWN CENTRES				•	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	Ο	0	• N.	a
					site has suitability for development. The site itself meets sustainability ds to public transportation as well as Green space areas.
criteria of 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 5a, 5	5b. The s	site has s	uitability	with regar	site has suitability for development. The site itself meets sustainability ds to public transportation as well as Green space areas. servation areas (3c) would not follow suitable sustainability guidelines.
criteria of 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 5a, 5	5b. The s ts with a	site has s	uitability	with regar	ds to public transportation as well as Green space areas.

Type of Site and Number				
Site Reference and Locat	What i predic	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The proposed site has potential to support local services within the rural area
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The proposed development is on a Greenfield, agricultural site</li> <li>Would mean the loss of Agricultural Land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>There are several footpaths adjacent and surrounding the site</li> <li>There is good access to surrounding Green fields and Green space areas (within 400 m)</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Housing development study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area.</li> <li>There is a bus stop within 400m of the site.</li> <li>Village location means that people are likely to rely on personal cars and commute out to work</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage alternative sustainable transport to local town centres</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The land boundaries are defined with dense hedges and trees as seen from site photographs</li> <li>Site unlikely to enhance biodiversity</li> <li>Mitigation – Ecological survey of local hedgerows and surrounding land</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Potential to be a visual constraint within the surrounding agricultural area</li> <li>The character assessment describes the landscape as open arable land – this development</li> <li>Cumulative impact if this site and BL.01 go ahead: changing the character of the edge of the village (visual impact).</li> </ul>

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	X	X	x	<ul> <li>The site is partially located within a conservation area</li> <li>There are numerous listed buildings located near to the site itself.</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure the development does not impact on the conservation area and is in keeping with local character, e.g. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>No information provided regarding Groundwater Source Protection Zones in this village</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	-			
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding</li> <li>SUDS Viability Plan shows that SUDS are not viable at this location</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site has potential to support local services within the village</li> <li>Cumulative effects – Proposed Coach Depot adjacent to site has potential to support improvement of local services and provisions</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	?	?	?	<ul> <li>No information given on the number of dwellings proposed</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction would provide temporary disruptions</li> <li>No evidence of long term effects from this development</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES	-			
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.a
				ccess to housing (5b) and support for rural services (5a) but does not meet y in the fact that it is a greenfield site.
Strengths	We	aknesse	es	Potential mitigation

<ul> <li>Accessibility to green space and public rights of way</li> <li>Regular bus service within village – bus stop within 400m</li> <li>Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Village location means that people are likely to rely on personal cars and commute out to work</li> <li>Impact upon a conservation area</li> <li>Potential ecological losses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree surveys; Incorporate as many trees to development as possible</li> <li>Promote and maintain hedgerows between sites</li> <li>Encourage alternative sustainable transport to local town centres</li> <li>Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### Hitchin

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use					
Site Reference and Locati	on: H/m	01, Payn	ies Park,	Hitchin	
	What is the			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
	predict	ted effe	ct on		
	-	A objec			
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long		
SA Objectives		term	term		
	term	term	term		
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
1 Achieve sustainable	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre	
levels of prosperity and	••	••	••	Hitchin has a good quantity of varied independent stores and chain	
economic growth				stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order	
coononne grotten				comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will	
				support the development of economic activities.	
				<ul> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the</li> </ul>	
				long term.	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5		
2(a) Minimise the	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$		<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> </ul>	
development of greenfield				No evidence of ecological sensitivity	
land and other land with				<ul> <li>Public right of way through site must be maintained.</li> </ul>	
high environmental and				• The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield	
amenity value?				land are long lasting.	
2(b) Provide access to	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Does not impact upon or improve access to green spaces.</li> </ul>	
	5	J	J		
green spaces	I			I	

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town</li> <li>It has been previously stated that car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that 84% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>Most of the bus stops are conveniently located in relation to the main shopping area and this site is within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>The site is further than 800m from the train station</li> <li>Mitigation - find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION	ı	·	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li> <li>There is no vegetation on the site</li> <li>It has no ecological designations.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	xx	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area, has a listed building on the site and is in an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>However, site is already used as a mixed use retail/office/car park space.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area and area of archaeological interest will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation – archaeological studies to take place before work begins; prevent impact on listed building; ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>Site is not immediately adjacent to the River Hiz, but fairly close</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not in a flood risk area</li> <li>SUDS should be feasible in this area according to the Viability Map</li> <li>Mitigation - look at the possibility of including CHP in developments</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>This development will contribute to the regeneration of Hitchin and may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>Areas of Hitchin are identified as being deprived</li> </ul>

				<ul> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	n/a
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Close to residential properties and retirement properties</li> <li>Impacts on local residents worse in short term due to construction activities.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited, though may place some extra pressure on water supply if development it more intensive.</li> <li>May be constraints with sewage and energy</li> <li>Mitigation - site specific assessment of utilities</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers.</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>

#### Summary

Site redevelopment in the centre of Hitchin, which meets a number of the criteria well, especially in terms of town centre regeneration.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation		
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Similar existing use</li> <li>Town centre regeneration promoting sustainable urban living</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>Site is not in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>SUDS should be feasible in this area according to the Viability Map</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>84% currently access town centre by car.</li> <li>Close to residential properties</li> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Site is in an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Listed building onsite</li> <li>More than 800m from station</li> <li>May be utilities constraints</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Archaeological survey</li> <li>Prevent impact on listed building and ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>SUDS</li> <li>Site specific assessment of utilities</li> </ul>		

•	Within 800m of town	
•	centre Within 400m of bus stop	

Type of Site and Number				
Site Reference and Locat	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Hitchin Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY				
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre</li> <li>Hitchin has a good quantity of varied independent stores and chain stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will support the development of economic activities.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>Public right of way through site must be maintained.</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Does not impact upon or improve access to green spaces.</li> <li>There are mature trees on the site.</li> <li>Mitigation - carry out a tree survey; try to retain trees if possible.</li> </ul>

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town</li> <li>It has been previously stated that car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that 84% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>Most of the bus stops are conveniently located in relation to the main shopping area and there are bus stops within 400m</li> <li>Train station is just within 800m of the site</li> <li>Car parking space could potentially be used as retail/office space</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>	
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	TION				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li> <li>There are mature trees located on the site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations.</li> <li>Mitigation - carry out tree survey; try to retain trees if possible.</li> </ul>	
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a	
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	XX	X	x	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area, has a listed building on the site and is in an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>However, site is already used as a mixed use retail/office/car park space.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area and area of archaeological interest will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation – archaeological studies to take place before work begins; prevent impact on listed building; ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>	
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on the River Hiz.</li> <li>For flats on upper floors of predominately retail scheme. Approx 1 third of site in 1 in 100 year floodplain, though draft SFRA suggests floodplain is much narrower at this point.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> <li>Site is not in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> </ul>	
CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and	X	X	X	<ul><li>The site is on the River Hiz and in a flood risk area.</li><li>Existing land use is similar to proposed use.</li></ul>	

Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change				<ul> <li>Mitigation – consider 'zoning' the land next to the river as car parking in case of flooding; look at the possibility of including CHP in developments</li> <li>SUDS would be viable in this area</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>This proposed development will contribute to the regeneration of Hitchin and may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> <li>Areas of Hitchin have been identified as being deprived</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	n/a
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	Ο	<ul> <li>Close to residential properties to the south and east of the site</li> <li>Impacts on local residents worse in short term due to construction activities.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited, though may place some extra pressure on water supply if development it more intensive.</li> <li>May be constraints with sewage and energy</li> <li>Mitigation – site specific assessment of utilities</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers – within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>
<b>Summary</b> Contributing to the regenera	tion of tl	ne town o	centre. H	lowever, there may be issues with the flood risk.
Strengths	We	aknesse	es	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Similar existing use</li> <li>Town centre regeneration promoting sustainab urban living</li> <li>Good public transpor links</li> </ul>	conservation area			<ul> <li>by car.</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Archaeological survey</li> <li>Tree Survey</li> <li>Tree Survey</li> <li>Try to retain existing mature trees on site</li> <li>Prevent impact on listed building and ensure that</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>SUDS are feasible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is located on the River Hiz in an area that is vulnerable to flooding. For flats on upper floors of predominately retail scheme. Approx 1 third of site in 1 in 100 year floodplain, though draft SFRA suggests floodplain is much narrower at this point</li> <li>May be constraints with sewage and energy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>Consider 'zoning' the land next to the river as car parking in case of flooding.</li> <li>SUDS</li> <li>site specific assessment of utilities</li> </ul>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) H/r02						
Site Reference and Locati	on: Beva	an House	e, r/o 34	Bancroft, Hitchin		
	What i	s the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
		ted effe				
	each S	A objec	tive?			
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long			
	term	term	term			
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a.		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	ATTERN	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Proposed development located on Brownfield site of former Office buildings and car park</li> <li>Land area has no evidence of contamination.</li> <li>Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing Greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.</li> <li>Development could be potentially regenerating vacant land in need of remediation.</li> </ul>		

2(b) Provide access to green spaces 2 (c) Deliver more	√ 	√ 	√ √	<ul> <li>There are several facilities within 400m proximity to the site.</li> <li>Playing fields within reasonable accessibility as well as bowling greens</li> <li>Limited access via footpaths or public rights of way</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase pedestrian routes to green areas</li> <li>Along Bancroft road there is a regular coach and bus service route with</li> </ul>
sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles		v	v	<ul> <li>Along banchot road there is a regular coach and bus service route with regular stops (within 400m of the site) but further than 800m from the station</li> <li>Mitigation –For the long term the need to improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site currently has no evidence of visible vegetation present</li> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	Ο	• N.a.
<ul> <li>3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment</li> <li>3(d) Reduce pollution from any source</li> <li>CLIMATE CHANGE</li> </ul>	x 0	x 0	x 0	<ul> <li>The proposed site is located within the centre of the historic core of Hitchin</li> <li>The development has potential to impact upon conservation sites as well as sites of archaeological interest.</li> <li>There are many listed buildings present surrounding the site itself.</li> <li>Mitigation – Essential to ensure the construction is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style, height</li> <li>Mitigation – Archaeological survey of site and surrounding area</li> <li>The site is not located over a Groundwater Source protection zone</li> </ul>
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	~	V	<ul> <li>The site is not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	~	~	~	<ul> <li>Areas within Hitchin facing relative deprivation, this small scale development could potentially benefit and contribute to regeneration.</li> <li>Any construction on vacant brownfield land within an urban area supports sustainable development.</li> <li>There is potential to support local services from such a small dwelling location within the area and increase the use of public transportation to access the town centre.</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	~	gei • Thé itse	
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0		tial construction would provide temporary disruptions evidence of long term effects from this relatively small development
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	×	x	×	cor • Pot deu • En bei	tential problems for new development within the Hitchin area offirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report. tential pressure on water provisions; need for boosters to maintain cent pressure levels throughout residential areas ergy reinforcement of Gas and Electric to ensure levels are maintained fore and after development tigation – Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development
TOWN CENTRES					• • •
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	• Ne • En	burban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration. w housing in close proximity to the town centre. couraging people to use local shops and town centre. ose to centre, benefits for access to employment sector.
Summary				•	
The site provides a suitable vacant office buildings. The l	ocation v	within de	prived a	reas of Hitch	opment. The site is located upon an existing Brownfield site of former in is likely to contribute to needed urban regeneration. Elements of or development and need for mitigation measures to ameliorate them.
Strengths	We	Weaknesses			Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Proposed developme located on Brownfiel</li> <li>Greenfield access within 400m (but</li> </ul>		Located in conservation area and area of archaeological interest and near a			<ul> <li>Increase pedestrian routes to green areas</li> <li>Essential to ensure the construction is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style, height</li> <li>Archaeological survey of site and surrounding area</li> </ul>

٠

number of listed

More than 800m from

constraints; water and energy reinforcementsWill not provide

buildings

the station

• Infrastructure

•

footpath access could

Within 400m of regular

be improved)

bus routes

SUDS viable

٠

Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions

affordable housing	
--------------------	--

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Locati				
Site Reference and Local	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	~	<ul> <li>Proposed development located on Brownfield site.</li> <li>Land area has no evidence of contamination.</li> <li>Development could be potentially regenerating vacant land in need of remediation.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• There are adjacent footpaths that lead to local playing fields alongside the proposed development (accessible within 400m)
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Regular accessible Bus stops within 400m of the site itself but further than 800m from the station</li> <li>Mitigation –For the long term the need to improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			· · ·
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity.</li> <li>Mitigation - Some trees present on site, surveys, incorporate as much as possible into development</li> <li>Mitigation - Ecology survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Not located on conservation sites</li> <li>Site is not located upon a site of archaeological interest</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	The proposed site is not located over any groundwater source protection zones
CLIMATE CHANGE	•	• 	·	

4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site proposal is not at risk in short term or long term from flooding effects.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	V	~	<ul> <li>Areas within Hitchin facing relative deprivation, this small scale development could benefit and contribute to regeneration.</li> <li>The site itself is located close to the Western deprived estate areas</li> <li>Any construction on vacant brownfield land within an urban area supports sustainable development.</li> <li>There is potential to support local services from such a small development</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The size of the development is small in terms of scale, suggesting limited affordable housing will be constructed</li> <li>Long term potentially it could benefit as it is development within an suburban area of Hitchin</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction would provide temporary disruptions</li> <li>No evidence of long term effects from this relatively small development</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	X	x	<ul> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions; need for boosters to maintain decent pressure levels throughout residential areas</li> <li>Energy reinforcement of Gas and Electric to ensure levels are maintained before and after development</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES	-	· ·	· ·	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Suburban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration.</li> <li>New housing in close proximity to the town centre.</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre.</li> <li>Provides potential benefits for access to employment sector.</li> </ul>
Summary				
This Brownfield site developr	nent sup	ports a r	number o	f the sustainable criteria reinforcing its suitability for residential development. Its

accessibility to public services and transportation as well access to Green spaces and limited impact upon important environmental features are important with regards to sustainable development. (2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 5a)

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Proposed development located on Brownfield site</li> <li>Greenspace within 400m accessible via footpaths</li> <li>Regular bus route within 400m</li> <li>SUDS viable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Further than 800m from station</li> <li>Will not provide unaffordable housing</li> <li>Infrastructure constraints; water and energy reinforcements</li> <li>Photos indicate obvious parking issues along road side, could contribute to road congestion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some trees present on site, surveys, incorporate as much as possible into development</li> <li>For the long term the need to improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> <li>Ecological and habitat studies within site</li> <li>Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number:	Resider	ntial (Bro	wnfield)	) H/r06	
Site Reference and Locat	i <b>on:</b> r/o F	Fieldfares	s, Benslo	ow Lane, Hitchin	
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	<b>TTERN</b>	S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	0	0	V	<ul> <li>Proposed development located on Brownfield site.</li> <li>Land area has no evidence of contamination.</li> <li>Development could be potentially regenerating vacant land in need of remediation.</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• There are adjacent footpaths that lead to local playing fields alongside the proposed development (Site is within 400m of a green space area)	

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	X	x	x	<ul> <li>There appears to be no bus or coach stops near to the site location. (No stops within 400m)</li> <li>Restricted services run along Highbury road</li> <li>Railway station is accessible via footpaths and local roads</li> <li>Mitigation – Improved sustainable transport; increase bus stops within minor road areas</li> </ul>
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site currently has evidence of mature trees and densely populated vegetation.</li> <li>However the site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity.</li> <li>Mitigation - Ecological and habitat studies within site</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree Surveys; incorporate trees and as much vegetation in to the build as possible</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>No sites of historical conservation value or of archaeological interest within the vicinity of the development.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The proposed site is not located over any groundwater source protection zones</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site proposal is not at risk in short term or long term from flooding effects.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The potential of this smaller scale development could benefit and contribute to areas of urban regeneration.</li> <li>Any construction on vacant brownfield land within an urban area supports sustainable development.</li> <li>There is potential to support local services within the area</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The housing study outlines that this development could support affordable "decent" housing</li> <li>It is located within Hitchin itself and could contribute to urban regeneration</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions	X	0	0	The initial construction phase could provide temporary disruptions for

and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities <b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			<ul> <li>local residents</li> <li>No evidence of long term effects from this relatively small development</li> </ul>
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>There are potential pressure on water provisions; need for boosters to maintain decent pressure levels throughout residential areas</li> <li>Energy reinforcement of Gas and Electric to ensure levels are maintained before and after development</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES		-	-	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The site is located within good access to local services and facilities; could enable support</li> <li>Located with reasonable access to the town centre</li> <li>Would enable people to move in to the centre for employment means; enable the reduction of commuting</li> </ul>
				d is likely to contribute to needed urban regeneration. Elements of constraints or development and need for mitigation measures to ameliorate them

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Good access to Green spaces and footpath access</li> <li>Access to affordable housing</li> <li>Central location, less than 800m from the station</li> <li>SUDS viable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Potential loss of biodiversity</li> <li>Identified constraints with regards to water and energy services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree Surveys; incorporate trees and as much vegetation in to the build as possible</li> <li>Improve sustainable transport</li> <li>Improved sustainable transport; increase bus stops within minor road areas</li> <li>Ecological and habitat studies within site</li> <li>Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) H/r22						
Site Reference and Location	Site Reference and Location: Land off Hone Way, Hitchin					
	What is the Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures					
	predicted effect on					
	each SA objective?					

SA Objectives	Short		Long		
	term	term	term	FCON	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	•	IOMIC ACTIVITY N.a
LAND USE AND DEVELOP			S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	√	√	√	•	Proposed development located on Brownfield site The land area has no evidence of land contamination. The site does not impact on areas of high ecological or environmental value
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	x	X	X	•	The site has limited access to areas of green spaces There are no visible designated footpaths; site is located within an estate so Green spaces may be minimal Mitigation – Green space provision and mapping
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	√	•	The site has access to local bus and coach route services; provision for more stops may be an option Mitigation – Sustainable transport strategies for the western estates
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE				ł	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	•	The site currently has evidence of vegetation and some trees present. Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity.
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	•	N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	V	V	V	•	No sites of historical conservation value or of archaeological interest within the vicinity of the development.
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	•	The site is not located on a groundwater source protection zone The site does not border any local watercourses
CLIMATE CHANGE			•	•	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	•	The site proposal is not at risk in short term or long term from flooding effects. The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan Mitigation - SUDS
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	•	This small scale development could potentially benefit and contribute to regeneration of its particular area in the long term. Development on vacant brownfield land within an urban area supports

			1	1
				<ul> <li>sustainable development.</li> <li>The site is located within one of the identified deprived estate areas, this development could be seen as a starting point of regeneration</li> <li>There is potential to support local services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is below the set criteria to allow the potential for affordable housing; development is too small</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Small scale development unlikely to cause significant disruption to local residents</li> <li>Site is located within a residential area, noise unlikely to significantly alter</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Short term impacts could cause constraints on utilities</li> <li>Small development unlikely to have significant impact although current pressures are a problem</li> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions; need for boosters to maintain decent pressure levels throughout residential areas</li> <li>Energy reinforcement of Gas and Electric to ensure levels are maintained before and after development</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Suburban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration.</li> <li>New housing within deprived areas</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre</li> </ul>
Summary				
The developments location within one of the most deprived areas of Hitchin has the potential to allow sustainable regeneration of the most deprived estates within the area. The small scale of the development would therefore not contribute to large scale impacts upon the existing constraints of the city.				
Strengths	We	aknesse		Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Located on a designated Brownfiel site</li> <li>Located in one of highlighted deprived</li> </ul>	d	<ul> <li>Gree</li> <li>Furt</li> <li>from</li> </ul>	en space her than n station	• Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development;

|--|--|

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) H/r26							
Site Reference and Locati	i <b>on:</b> Gard	lens bacı	king onto	Matthe	w Gate, Hitchin		
	What i	s the		Justif	ication for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	predict	ed effe	ct on				
	each S	A objec	tive?				
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long				
-	term	term	term				
				ECON	IOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable	0	0	0	•	N.a		
levels of prosperity and							
economic growth							
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	MENT PA	TTERN	S				
2(a) Minimise the	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	•	Development located on Brownfield site; currently unused land area.		
development of Greenfield	-	-		•	No evidence of land contamination.		
land and other land with				•	Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing		
high environmental and					greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.		
amenity value?				•	Mitigation- Conduct tree surveys on site		
2(b) Provide access to	Х	Х	X	•	The site is over 400m from accessible Green space areas and public		
green spaces					rights of way		

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	✓	V	V	<ul> <li>Development in the town of Hitchin, close to the town centre.</li> <li>Public Transportation routes are easily accessible from the proposed site (within 400m)</li> <li>The Town Centre and Retail study highlighted public transportation as good and provides reasonable accessibility to local services.</li> <li>Mitigation –improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This is an existing brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>There are some trees present on the site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but current vegetation would need to be protected within the build.</li> <li>Mitigation - Ecology Survey; Tree survey; try to retain trees where possible.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Development will not impact upon conservation features and features of archaeological interested as not in a designated conservation area.</li> <li>Some listed buildings within area but not in immediate proximity.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a groundwater protection source location</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE		-		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Not located within a high flood risk area.</li> <li>Not on a north facing slope</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>

A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly 5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	√ 0	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>O</li> </ul>	√ 0	<ul> <li>The development could contribute to the regeneration of the deprived areas within Hitchin.</li> <li>There is potential to support local services within the area</li> <li>Potential to encourage town centre vitality.</li> <li>9 dwellings proposed for the site so will not provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Close to other residential properties.</li> <li>Short term impacts during the construction phase, would result in noise and disruption.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ΓE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Short term impacts could cause constraints on utilities</li> <li>Small development unlikely to have significant impact although current pressures are a problem</li> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions; need for boosters to maintain decent pressure levels throughout residential areas</li> <li>Energy reinforcement of Gas and Electric to ensure levels are maintained before and after development</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure reinforced infrastructure to capacitate development; potential sustainable energy solutions</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES		*		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	✓	V	<ul> <li>Suburban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration.</li> <li>New housing in close proximity to the town centre.</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre.</li> </ul>
Summary				
2a,2b,2c,3a,3c,3d, 4a,4b,5a,	7)			evelopment and appears to meet significant sustainability criteria (1,
				ay within a residential area would be difficult to overcome.
Strengths		eaknesse		Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Development located</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Furt</li> </ul>	her than	n 800m • Tree Survey

٠	Development located	•	Further than 800m	•	Tree Survey
	on brown field site.		from the station	•	Try to retain existing trees on the site
•	Not designated as	•	Short term	•	Ecological Survey
	ecologically sensitive		implications of noise	•	Improve and encourage the use of public transport

location. Potential to support local services within the area SUDS Within 400m of regular bus route	<ul> <li>and disruption during the construction phase for local residents</li> <li>Limited access to Green spaces</li> <li>Will not provide affordable housing</li> </ul>	• SUDS	
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	--

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) H/r28									
Site Reference and Location: Goods Yard, Nightingale Road, Hitchin									
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures					
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term						
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY									
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a					
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	MENT PA	TTERN	S						
2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Proposed development located on Brownfield site of former Railroad Goods yard.</li> <li>Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.</li> <li>Development could be potentially regenerating contaminated land.</li> <li>Mitigation – Land contamination assessment and remediation if necessary.</li> </ul>					
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site lies almost adjacent to local playing fields</li> <li>Mitigation - Green Space Strategy survey within area</li> </ul>					

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	√	V	V	<ul> <li>Due to the large number of dwellings proposed on the site, the site may impact on local traffic congestion.</li> <li>There is accessibility to frequent bus services and stops within 400m from the site.</li> <li>Access to local facilities and services using the public transport</li> <li>Train station is almost directly adjacent to the proposed site within 800m.</li> <li>Mitigation –For the long term the need to improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This is a Brownfield site.</li> <li>It is not designated ecological area.</li> <li>There are some trees present on the site from photographic evidence.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree surveys; try to retain trees where possible.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>No sites of historical conservation value or of archaeological interest within the vicinity of the development.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	√X	√x	√x	<ul> <li>Site not located on an groundwater source protection zone</li> <li>Does not border a watercourse.</li> <li>Land area currently designated as potentially contaminated.</li> <li>Development could be potentially regenerating contaminated land.</li> <li>Mitigation - Land contamination assessment and remediation if necessary.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	T	r	1	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	ο	0	ο	<ul> <li>The site is not identified at risk of flooding</li> <li>Mitigation – Investigate potential of CHP for large scale build.</li> <li>The site is not suitable for SUDS as identified from the SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Large scale development could benefit and contribute to regeneration.</li> <li>There is potential to support local services within the area and increase the use of public transportation to access the town centre.</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Development is within the town of Hitchin.</li> <li>Provides access to 'decent' housing</li> <li>The number of dwellings suggests a proportion of these will be 'affordable housing'.</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	xx	xx	xx	<ul> <li>High levels of noise disturbances from the adjacent railway tracks for the future residents living there.</li> <li>Housing development will be in location of current suburban area.</li> <li>Mitigation – Noise assessment; Potential sound proofing barriers and buffer zones along the current metal fences to act as an acoustic barrier.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE		-	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions from such a large scale development.</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	~	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>New housing in close proximity to the town centre.</li> <li>Site will provide housing to encourage people to live near the town centre.</li> <li>Suburban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration.</li> </ul>
Summary				<ul> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre.</li> </ul>

#### Summary

The sites location aims to develop a former industrial Brownfield site adjacent to a railway site. The site with regards to public transport meets the sustainable criteria however generated noise and potential health constraints are likely to be problematic in the long term for these residential properties.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Provides affordable housing</li> <li>Contributes to regeneration of Hitchin.</li> <li>Potential to support local services within the area</li> <li>Good public transport links: closer than</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site may impact on local traffic congestion.</li> <li>High levels of noise disturbances from the adjacent railway tracks for the future residents living there.</li> <li>Short term impact of construction on existing residents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Land contamination assessment and remediation if necessary.</li> <li>Green Space Strategy survey within area</li> <li>Improve and encourage the use of public transport.</li> <li>Tree surveys</li> <li>Try to retain trees where possible.</li> <li>Noise assessment</li> <li>Potential sound proofing barriers and buffer zones along the current metal fences to act as an acoustic barrier.</li> <li>Investigate potential of CHP for large scale build.</li> </ul>

tential issues with velopment pressure utilities	
	ressure

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) H/r33								
Site Reference and Location: Railway sidings, St Michael's Road, Hitchin								
		What is the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				
	predic	ted effe	ct on					
	each S	A objec	tive?					
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long					
-	term	term	term					
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY								
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a				
LAND USE AND DEVELOP		TTERN	S					
2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The proposed site is currently a brown field site used primarily for storage and car parking.</li> <li>Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.</li> <li>Evidence of potential contamination; source of pollution is unknown</li> <li>Mitigation - Land contamination assessment and remediation if necessary.</li> </ul>				
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Footpaths adjacent to development site, lead to playing fields in N.E direction (within 400m of site)</li> <li>Mitigation – Green Space Strategy</li> </ul>				

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	√√	$\sqrt{}$	√√	<ul> <li>Due to the large number of dwellings proposed on the site, the site may impact on local traffic congestion.</li> <li>There is accessibility to frequent bus services and ability to access local facilities and services using the public transport</li> <li>Train station is next to the proposed site (within 800m)</li> <li>Potential traffic problems generated from large development.</li> <li>Footpath network nearby</li> <li>Mitigation –For the long term the need to improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION		1	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?	?	?	<ul> <li>A number of trees in southern part of site, potentially could be incorporated as part of the development.</li> <li>This is a brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>Mitigation – Tree survey; retain trees where possible; and Ecology Surveys</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site does not impact upon conservation areas or areas of archaeological interest</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	√X	√X	√X	<ul> <li>The site is not on a groundwater source protection zone</li> <li>It does not border a watercourse.</li> <li>Evidence of potential contamination; source of pollution is unknown.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			1	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	×	X	X	<ul> <li>The site would be suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> <li>Mitigation - Investigate potential of CHP for large scale build.</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	1	•	1	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Areas within Hitchin facing relative deprivation, this large scale development could benefit and contribute to regeneration.</li> <li>There is potential to support local services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Development is within the town of Hitchin.</li> <li>Provides access to 'decent' housing</li> <li>The number of dwellings suggests a proportion of these will be 'affordable housing'.</li> </ul>

5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities <b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b> 6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	XX E X	xx	xx	<ul> <li>High levels of noise disturbances from the adjacent railway tracks for the future residents living there.</li> <li>Housing development will be in location of current suburban area.</li> <li>Disruption and noise during the construction phase for existing residents nearby.</li> <li>Mitigation – Noise assessment; Potential sound proofing barriers and buffer zones along the current metal fences to act as an acoustic barrier.</li> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions from such a large scale</li> </ul>
recycled where possible				• Potential pressure on water provisions from such a large scale development.
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>New housing in close proximity to the town centre.</li> <li>Site will provide housing to encourage people to live near the town centre.</li> <li>Suburban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration.</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre.</li> </ul>
	the oth		opment H	e vitality. The location near to a direct train station would be likely to provide H.r28 as well as the current designation. Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Development located on brown field site.</li> <li>Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.</li> <li>Provides affordable housing</li> <li>Contributes to regeneration of Hitchin.</li> <li>Good public transport links: regular bus route within 400m and station within 800m</li> <li>Potential to support local services within</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Site loca</li> <li>High distu adja for t livin</li> <li>Sho cons exis</li> <li>Pote deve on u</li> <li>Publ</li> </ul>	may imp I traffic con I levels of urbances icent rail the future g there. If term in struction ting residential issues	<ul> <li>Land contamination assessment and remediation if necessary.</li> <li>Potential sound proofing barriers and buffer zones along the current metal fences to act as an acoustic barrier.</li> <li>Investigate potential of CHP for large scale build.</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

•	the area Potentially	
•	regenerating	
	contaminated land	
•	SUDS viable	

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long			
	term	term	term	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a		
<b>LAND USE AND DEVELOP</b> 2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	<u>MENT P</u> ↓ √ √	<u>ATTERN</u> : √√	s   √√	<ul> <li>The site is located upon a former Brownfield site.</li> <li>Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.</li> <li>No identified risk of land contamination.</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Location of footpaths nearby the proposed sites.</li> <li>Location of green areas near to the site (not within 400m).</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Local public transport provides an accessible route to a main bus service.</li> <li>Train station within close proximity (within 800m)</li> <li>Mitigation –For the long term the need to improve and encourage the use of alternative transport routes in and around the town.</li> </ul>		

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V 0	√ 0	√ 0	<ul> <li>Boundaries of the plot consist of trees and wooded vegetation areas.</li> <li>Potential for TPOs</li> <li>Mitigation - Ecological Survey; Tree surveys; incorporate trees into build as much as possible.</li> <li>N.a</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	-	•	
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	<ul> <li>No sites of historical conservation value or of archaeological interest within the vicinity of the development.</li> <li>Some listed buildings within area but not in immediate proximity</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a groundwater source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	X	X	X	<ul> <li>No flood risk identified in the area.</li> <li>The site is not suitable for SUDS according to SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	• The site is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the local town area.
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Development is within the town of Hitchin.</li> <li>Provides access to affordable and 'decent' housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase may impact upon local residents and cause disruption.</li> <li>No current outlined problem regarding noise within area.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Cumulative - Development coincides with H.r44; potential impacts of joint development</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions from such a large scale development.</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Suburban area regeneration contributes to town centre regeneration.</li> <li>New housing in close proximity to the town centre.</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre.</li> </ul>
Summary				

The development meets several areas of the sustainable criteria. The size of the development is unlikely however to contribute greatly to local services within the town centre and unlikely to improve vitality of the town centre. The designation of the site is within an existing residential area developing vacant land providing good access to public transportation and areas of green spaces.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Development located on brown field site.</li> <li>Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.</li> <li>regular bus route within 400m and station within 800m</li> <li>Good access to green spaces</li> <li>Provides affordable housing</li> <li>Contributes to regeneration of Hitchin.</li> <li>Potential to encourage people to centre for living.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Short term implications of noise and disruption during the construction phase for local residents</li> <li>Not suitable for SUDS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree Survey</li> <li>Try to retain existing trees on the site</li> <li>Ecological Survey</li> <li>Improve and encourage the use of public transport</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Greenfield)					
Site Reference and Locati	on: H/r	<sup>.</sup> 45, Top	Field, F	ishpond Road Land south of Oughton Lane Hitchin.	
	What i	s the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
	predicted effect on		ct on		
	each SA objective?				
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long		
	term	term	term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable		?	?	The development of the site would result in the loss an urban green space used	
levels of prosperity and				by Hitchin Town football club, a sporting facility of some cultural and tourism	
economic growth				value.	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5		

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	X	X	X	Green (but within urban area). Site (Hitchin Town Football Club) has high cultural value for local residents. Not identified within the Contaminated Land Study
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	0	0	0	With Butts Close public open space situated just to south, the proposed development would not unduly increase the distance from existing housing to open space. Although it would resulting in an irreversible loss of an exiting playing field with associated facilities, it was used by Hitchin Town Football Club i.e. no access for informal recreation.
2 Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	$\checkmark$	V	Situated within 400 metre of a bus route with frequent services, and just outside the 800 metre radius of Hitchin trains station. Hitchin town centre is within 800m walking distance, and a food supermarket and community/leisure facilities are located even closer. Effect is likely to improve over time in conjunction with other measures to reduce car dependencies.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	There is no biodiversity designation on the site. Previous use as a football pitch indicates a "green desert" of little wildlife value. Potential to create habitats as part of the new site layout as well as providing green corridors and roof top greening. Mature trees should be kept.
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	No landscape designations on site.
3© Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	V	V	No historical designation on the site.
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	V	V	V	Site is not listed within the contaminated land study and does not border any watercourses. Site is not located within a groundwater protection zone.
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	<b>√X</b>	<b>√x</b>	<b>√X</b>	Site is not within a flood risk area. Due to economies of scale climate change mitigation and adaptation measures during construction, site layout and building operation could be viable. Suds not viable
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?	?	?	Recreation facilities provided under planning obligation could benefit the wider community but only if replacement facilities are of better quality than the ones lost. Area has a very low ranking within the 2004 index of deprivation, i.e. is relatively affluent.

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	?√	?√	V	housing la	olicy requires provision of affordable housing in the development The nd study has identified that there is no need to release Greenfield sites chin. (The allocation is a fall back in case sites around other towns do forward.)
5© Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	$\checkmark$	V	V	No environ	mental Health Issues (Environmental Health Study)
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE		-		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	$\checkmark$	√	$\checkmark$	Potential to	o use sustainable construction and site operation techniques.
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>			vn centres is within 800 metre walking distance. According to the Town dy the centre offers good shopping facilities and venues for evening nent.
Strengths	We	eaknesse	es		Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Urban location within easy reach of shopping, civic ar leisure facilities. Situated within 400m of a bus rout with frequent services, any just outside the 800m rad of Hitchin trains station. Hitchin town centre is with 800m walking distance, ar a food supermarket and community/leisure facilities are located even closer.</li> <li>Provision of affordable housing.</li> <li>Site is not within a flood riarea.</li> </ul>	nd w e ti d n ius d o nin c nd • S	<ul> <li>Veaknesses</li> <li>The development of the site would result in the loss of sporting facilities. However, the consultation document makes clear that development is conditional on relocation of football club.</li> <li>SUDS not viable</li> </ul>		e loss of However, ocument nditional	<ul> <li>Replacement facilities for formal recreation should be provided</li> <li>Hedgerow and trees should be kept.</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)						
Site Reference and Location	Site Reference and Location: H/r46 B & Q, Nightingale Road, Hitchin					
	What is the	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				
	predicted effect on					

	each S	A objec	tive?	
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long	
	term	term	term	
			I	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Located on current Brownfield area on land that is of low amenity value.</li> <li>Land is potentially contaminated through former use as retail store and car park facility</li> <li>Opportunity to reclaim contaminated land.</li> <li>Mitigation – Contaminated land survey and remediation if necessary</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	√ X	√ X	√ X	<ul> <li>Nearby footpaths.</li> <li>Local Green space areas accessible through footpaths.</li> <li>Green spaces not accessible within 800m of the site location; current position within residential area</li> <li>Mitigation – Green Space Strategy Mapping</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Located near centre, access to public transport.</li> <li>Bus stops are within 400m of the site</li> <li>Access to local services and amenities without the need for increased car usage.</li> <li>Train station within close proximity of proposed development; Within 800m of the site</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This is an existing brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>There are some trees present on the site, but nothing notable.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$	The site is not located near any conservation or historical designated areas.
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	οх	ох	ох	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a Groundwater source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse.</li> <li>The site has potential land contamination</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Not located within flood risk area.</li> <li>Not on a north facing slope</li> <li>Site has potential suitability for CHP</li> </ul>

ability to adapt to climate change				<ul> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to SUDS viability plan.</li> <li>Mitigation -CHP</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	Site not large enough to potentially contribute to urban vitality
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	~	$\checkmark$	V	The site could provide access to affordable housing within Hitchin centre.
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	ХХ	X	X	<ul> <li>Cumulative effects - It would not be located away from noise due to other proposed developments within the local vicinity e.g H.r28, H.r36</li> <li>Within 800m of the station –Generated noise and health impacts upon these proposed developments</li> <li>Mitigation – Noise screening; Protect properties from noise</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	X	<ul> <li>Potential problems for new development within the Hitchin area confirmed in the Transport and Utilities Constraints report.</li> <li>Potential pressure on water provisions from such a large scale development.</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Encourage more people to live near centre</li> <li>It is within immediate accessibility of transport and local services.</li> <li>Provides new housing with direct accessibility to the town centre.</li> </ul>

#### Summary

The site would replace an existing centre for employment with housing. Could be considered a suitable technique of remediation. Many sustainable objectives for the site have been met.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Development located on brown field site.</li> <li>Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.</li> <li>Will provide affordable housing</li> <li>Potential to remediate contaminated land</li> <li>SUDS viable</li> <li>Good access to public</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No current green spaces within 800m</li> <li>Potential contamination</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green Space Strategy Mapping</li> <li>Potential for CHP scheme.</li> <li>SUDS</li> <li>Land contamination assessment</li> </ul>

transport; within 800m of a station and 400m of a bus route	

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) Site Reference and Location: H/r48 Kwik Fit, 59 Walsworth Road, Hitchin						
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			cation for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term			
				ECON	NOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	•	N.a	
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	MENT PA	TTERNS	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to green spaces	×	√ x	×	• • • •	Located on current Brownfield area on land that is of low amenity value. Land is potentially contaminated through current use as garage and automotive site Opportunity to reclaim contaminated land. <u>Mitigation – Contaminated land survey; remediation if necessary</u> Footpaths and public rights of way located near to the site. Limited accessible Green space from the site location; located more in residential and retail zone	
				•	Green space is over 800m from the site; not readily accessible Mitigation – Green Space Strategy	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• • •	Located near centre, access to public transport. Site is within 800m of the train station The site is accessible to local bus and coach routes; bus stops located along adjacent road to the site (within 400m) Access to local services and amenities without the need for increased car usage.	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION					

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This is an existing brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations Impending</li> <li>There is no evidence of vegetation present on the site, unlikely to impact upon biodiversity</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not located near any conservation or historical designated areas.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	ох	ох	ох	<ul> <li>The site has evidence of potential land contamination</li> <li>Site does not impact upon a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not located within flood risk area.</li> <li>The site is not on a north facing slope</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation – Potential CHP scheme; development size has potential to support sustainable scheme.</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Development has potential to contribute to the much needed regeneration of Hitchin's urban areas</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The dwelling estimate for the site indicates access to affordable housing</li> <li>Long term - Regenerated "decent" housing within the town centre</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Located within close proximity to train station; noise effects from railway</li> <li>Initial construction within residential area; noise related effects</li> <li>Mitigation - Noise screening</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Water supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for pressure boosters</li> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve initial utilities constraints prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation – Alternative energy resources</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Encourage more people to live near centre</li> <li>It is within immediate accessibility of transport and local services.</li> <li>Provides new housing with direct accessibility to the town centre.</li> </ul>

### Summary

The site is located adjacent to several proposed developments e.g. H.r36 and H.r38. As a combined development the provision of houses within Hitchin itself would be a great benefit to the urban regeneration and potential sustainable urban revitalisation. The proposed development would promote the reclamation of potentially contaminated land and promote the accessibility to good levels of public transportation accessible to the site itself.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Potential land contamination; suitable for remediation</li> <li>Good access to public transport; within 800m of a station and 400m of a bus route</li> <li>No effects on ecological areas or areas of biodiversity</li> <li>No identified impacts on historical or archaeological sites</li> <li>Site not at risk of flooding</li> <li>Contribution to regeneration of urban areas</li> <li>Provision of affordable housing</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removal of an existing business and business site</li> <li>Limited access to Green spaces</li> <li>Noise effects from railway station</li> <li>Land contamination</li> <li>Potential utilities constraints; existing water, gas and electric</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contaminated land survey and remediation if necessary</li> <li>Green Space Strategy</li> <li>Potential CHP scheme; development size has potential to support sustainable scheme</li> <li>Alternative energy resources</li> <li>Improve initial utilities constraints prior to development</li> <li>Alternative energy resources</li> <li>Noise screening</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

## Ickleford

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)						
Site Reference and Location	Site Reference and Location: I/r01, adj 69, Arlesey Road, Ickleford					
	What is the	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				
	predicted effect on					

	each S	A objec	tive?	
SA Objectives	Short		Long	
	term	term	term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	Ο	0	<ul> <li>The site is small, but could contribute to the rural economy by supporting the local services within the village.</li> <li>Its proposal is a small development in the village of Ickleford on the edge of Hitchin.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP		TTERN		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$		<ul> <li>The location of the site is a Brownfield site.</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination.</li> <li>Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing Greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site location backs out on to large expanses of open fields.</li> <li>Access to green space within 800m of the site.</li> <li>There are numerous footpaths around the site.</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	0√	0√	0√	<ul> <li>Local bus service runs through the village connecting to the nearby towns.</li> <li>Bus stop location is easily accessible within 400m of the site.</li> <li>Railway station is over 800m away from the site</li> <li>However, those living in Ickleford are likely to commute out to work.</li> <li>Mitigation - improve and encourage the use of alternative transportation.</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	~	V	V	<ul> <li>This is an existing brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>There are some trees and vegetation present on the site from photographic evidence.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible; ecology survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>There is no information regarding the landscape sensitivity and capacity upon the site.</li> </ul>

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment 3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x 0	x o	X 0	<ul> <li>The site is adjacent to a conservation area</li> <li>The site is partially within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Mitigation –archaeological surveys and ensure that development is in keeping with the character of the local area, i.e. building materials, style and height.</li> <li>Consideration should be given to the density of the development.</li> <li>The site is not located in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.</li> <li>The site is not bordering a watercourse directly but is in the close proximity of the River Hiz.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE 4(a) Reduce greenhouse	0 √	0 √	0 √	There is no evidence suggesting the area is subject to flooding
gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0 V	0 V	0 V	<ul> <li>The site would be suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>The site would be too small to support CHP</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed development of this site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Located as an adjoining village to the town of Hitchin which is a town identified as needing more 'decent and affordable' housing.</li> <li>Site too small to significantly create a large impact.</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial impacts would be the pressures of construction on the surrounding residential areas.</li> <li>Relatively small development; unlikely to have long term effects</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	No identified resource constraints imposed on the village at present.
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.a
	stainabl		ortation a	is to Hitchin does not generally contribute greatly to improvement of Hitchin itself. This many people would still be reliant upon cars to access city centre areas.

<ul> <li>The site could contribute to retaining local services in the village.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On the edge of a conservation area</li> <li>In area of archaeological interest</li> <li>In a village site,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree surveys</li> <li>Retain trees where possible</li> <li>Flood risk assessment and potential defence measures</li> <li>Ecology survey</li> <li>Archaeological survey</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Regular bus service to the village</li> <li>Development located on brown field site.</li> <li>Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.</li> <li>SUDS is viable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>further than 800m</li> <li>from a station</li> <li>Sensitive housing</li> <li>design required to</li> <li>ensure that proposed</li> <li>housing density is in</li> <li>keeping with village</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Investigate the possibility of utilising sustainable drainage solutions</li> <li>Consider the density of development so that it is in-keeping with the rest of the village</li> <li>Ensure that the development is sympathetic to the local area and is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style and height of the buildings.</li> <li>Improve and encourage alternative transport</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)						
Site Reference and Location: I/r02 r/o 55, Arlesey Road, Ickleford						
	What is the			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	predicted effect on		ct on			
	each SA objective?		tive?			
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long			
	term	term	term			
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
1 Achieve sustainable	0	0	0	The site is small, but could contribute to the rural economy by		
levels of prosperity and				supporting the local services within the village.		
economic growth				• Proposal is a small development in the village of Ickleford on the edge of		
				Hitchin		
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS						
2(a) Minimise the	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	The location of the site is a Brownfield site.		
development of greenfield				No evidence of land contamination.		
land and other land with				Benefits of developing a Brownfield site and avoiding developing		
high environmental and				Greenfield sites has benefits in the short term and is long lasting.		
amenity value?						

<ul> <li>2(b) Provide access to green spaces</li> <li>2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles</li> </ul>	√ 0	√ 0	√ 0	<ul> <li>There are numerous footpaths around the location.</li> <li>Suggests good accessibility to Green Space areas.</li> <li>Green spaces are located within at least 800m of the site; are easily accessible</li> <li>Mitigation - Green Space mapping</li> <li>Local bus service runs through the village connecting to the nearby towns; bus stop is within 400m of the site</li> <li>However, those living in Ickleford are likely to commute out to work.</li> <li>There is not a station near to the site and that is easily accessible (the site is over 800m from the site)</li> <li>Mitigation - improve and encourage the use of alternative transportation.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This is an existing brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>There are some trees and vegetation present on the site from photographic evidence.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation – Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible; ecology survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• There are no landscape capacity or sensitivity information for the village.
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is adjacent to a conservation area and is</li> <li>The site is within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Mitigation –archaeological surveys and ensure that development is in keeping with the character of the local area, i.e. building materials, style and height.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not located in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.</li> <li>The site is not bordering a watercourse directly but is in the close proximity of the River Hiz.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not in immediate risk of flooding.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan.</li> <li>Mitigation - Flood risk assessment for potential impact of site</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> <li>Cumulatively – I.r01 and I.r02 combined could support and encourage</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to					
decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Sit</li> </ul>	rvices. The too small to encourage affordable housing; village areas usually Id high residential prices
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	ο	0	0	• Sn	tial impacts would be the pressures of construction naller locations likely to become affected from construction phase latively small development; unlikely to have long term impacts
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	Έ				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• No	identified resource constraints imposed on the village at present.
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.	a
existing or potential constrain	nts upon	the villa	ge.		ite provides suitable access to SUDS and is unlikely to contribute to
• The site could	we	aknesse	)C		
		• On t	he edge	ofa	Potential mitigation     Tree surveys

I.r02 combined will	
increase potential	
contribution towards	
retaining services.	

# Kimpton

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Locat				ren Close, Kimpton	
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term		
		•		ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Services within the village stated as "Good" from the Housing Background Study.</li> <li>Development could contribute to rural economy and support local services further.</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The development is located on a Brownfield site.</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Bridleway has access through the site.</li> <li>Mitigation - Retain the public right of way</li> <li>Mitigation - Green space provision</li> </ul>	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Good accessibility using local bus service; bus stops are within 400m of the site - readily accessible</li> <li>No access to rail services within the village; station is further than 800m to the site</li> <li>Long term - Could encourage use of motor vehicles for commuting from village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - promote and encourage alternative transport</li> </ul>	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION				

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees present on site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible; ecology survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>There is no available information suggesting Landscape sensitivity or capacity for the village</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Site will not impact upon cultural and archaeological features.
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site not located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is relatively small scale; unlikely to have significant impacts within the rural area.</li> <li>The site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	$\checkmark$	Provide access to affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	х	X	X	<ul> <li>Identified constraints on sewage within the village</li> <li>Development pressures could increase the problem</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable	0	0	0	• N.a

urban living
Summary
<ul> <li>Summary</li> <li>Strengths <ul> <li>The site could contribute to retaining local services in the village.</li> <li>Local bus service</li> <li>Development located on brown field site.</li> <li>Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.</li> <li>Provides access to affordable housing</li> <li>Site will not impact upon archaeological sites</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination</li> <li>The site does not impact upon a Groundwater source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>SUDS are viable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Knebworth

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use					
Site Reference and Locati	on: KB/m1, Builders Yar	d, London Road, Knebworth.			
	What is the	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
	predicted effect on				
	each SA objective?				
SA Objectives	Short Med Long				

	term	term	term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Site is fairly well located in terms of access being central to the village and in close proximity to the train station.</li> <li>Accessible mixed use development contributing to regeneration.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT P	ATTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>Potentially contaminated due to commercial/industrial use, so may be benefits from regenerating contaminated land.</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	0	0	0	The development would have no impact on access to green spaces
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Within 800m of the train station</li> <li>However, London Road is a very congested village street.</li> <li>Mitigation - find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the village centre and dissuading car use.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION		•	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity 3(b) Protect and enhance	√ 0	√ 0	√ 0	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>N.a</li> </ul>
landscapes 3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	√	<b>√</b>	√	<ul> <li>Site will not impact upon conservation areas or features of cultural or archaeological interest.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site is not located in Ground Water Source Protection Zone and does not border a watercourse.</li> <li>The site is possibly contaminated from commercial/industrial use.</li> <li>Mitigation – contaminated land survey and remediation if necessary</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	$\checkmark$		<ul> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area and is not on a north facing slope.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS</li> <li>Mitigation - consider incorporating CHP into new development.</li> </ul>

A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	Pos   Kn	generation should help to retain services and facilities. sitive impacts of regeneration should be long lasting. ebworth is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	√	V	• Wi	Il provide affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	This	rounded by residential, retail and commercial properties. s is a large site and could potentially be a large development, so ative impacts will be worse in the short term due to the construction se.
RESOURCE USE AND WAS				· · · ·	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0		ter supply and energy supply for non-domestic development in beworth has not been identified as a problem.
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living Summary	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	in v • Pos	ntributing to village regeneration – should support shops and services village and encourage more shoppers. sitive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting. thin 800m of village centre
Accessible mixed use develo	pment c	ontributir	ng to reg	eneration	
Strengths	We	eakness			Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Similar existing use</li> <li>Contributing to villag regeneration</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>Will provide affordab housing</li> <li>Potentially reclaiming contaminated land.</li> <li>Within 800m of villag centre</li> <li>Within 400m of bus</li> </ul>	le g	<ul> <li>Long</li> <li>Surresi</li> <li>Site cont</li> </ul>	don Road rounded dential p may be taminate	by roperties	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Contaminated land survey and remediation if necessary.</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Within 800m of train station</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The site is not located in Ground Water Source Protection Zone and does not border a watercourse</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area and is not on a north facing slope.</li> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for SUDS</li> </ul>		

## Letchworth

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use Site Reference and Location: L/m1, Gernon Road and Broadway, Letchworth							
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark\checkmark$	$\checkmark\checkmark$	$\checkmark\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre</li> <li>Letchworth has a good quantity of stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will support the development of economic activities.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5				

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to green spaces	√√ 	√√ √	√√ 	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> <li>Site next to designated Historic Park and Gardens, Broadway Gardens.</li> <li>Located within 400m of Green space</li> <li>No public right of way through site, so should not affect access to Broadway Gardens.</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles		VV	VV	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town</li> <li>Car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that over 60% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>The site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site is within 400m of an accessible bus stop and service route</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>Most of the bus stops and the train station are conveniently located in relation to the main shopping area.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION	-		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations; however, there are trees and hedges on the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	xx	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area, and neighbours a designated Historic Park and Garden.</li> <li>Site includes a listed building</li> <li>However, site is already used as a council building, library, museum and community hall.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area and area of archaeological interest will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation -prevent impact on listed building; ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not bordering a watercourse.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>

CLIMATE CHANGE 4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	• The site is not in a high flood risk area and is not on a north-facing
ability to adapt to climate change				<ul> <li>slope.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - look at the possibility of including CHP in developments</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	L			
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This proposed development will contribute to the regeneration of Letchworth and may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>The site is not within a deprived sector of Letchworth according to the deprivation study</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	• N.a
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Surrounded by retail properties</li> <li>Long term the site is unlikely to contribute to generated noise and other such effects.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WASTE</b>	E			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	ох	ох	ох	<ul> <li>Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited though may place some extra pressure on water supply if development is more intensive.</li> <li>Potential in the long term for constraints on sewage disposal</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living Summarv	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers.</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of the town centre</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>

With reference to the sustainable objectives regarding the site this Brownfield site for mixed use development meets all criteria except 3b,3c, 5b,5c and several identified conflicts of 6a. The site overall has a good location and prospect for a suitable development.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Brownfield site	Site is in a	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> </ul>
Town centre	conservation area	<ul> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by</li> </ul>
regeneration	<ul> <li>Next to a Historic Park</li> </ul>	incorporating CHP
promoting sustainable	and Garden	<ul> <li>Prevent impact on listed building and ensure that</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>urban living</li> <li>The site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site is within 400m of an accessible bus stop and service route</li> <li>The site is not located on a ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not bordering a watercourse.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>Located within 400m of Green space</li> <li>SUDS</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site includes a listed building</li> <li>Potential in the long term for constraints on sewage disposal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use Site Reference and Location: L/m2, Openshaw Way and The Wynd, Letchworth							
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre</li> <li>Letchworth has a good quantity of stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will support the development of economic activities.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	5				

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to green spaces	√√ 	√√ 	√√ √	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>The land has no evidence of contamination</li> <li>The site is within 400m access to Green space areas.</li> <li>The development would have no impact on access to green spaces.</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	~	V	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town</li> <li>Car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that over 60% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of the train station.</li> <li>The site has easy access to bus services and stops are evident within 400m to the site.</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>Most of the bus stops and the train station are conveniently located in relation to the main shopping area.</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	~	V	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations; however, there are trees, shrubs and hedges on the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey, tree survey.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	xx	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Site is already used as a car park and for retail and commercial purposes.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	The site is not in a high flood risk area.

gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change <b>A JUST SOCIETY</b>				<ul> <li>Site is not on a north-facing slope.</li> <li>The site would be suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan.</li> <li>Mitigation - look at the possibility of including CHP in developments</li> </ul>
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This potential development will contribute to the regeneration of Letchworth and may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	• N.a
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	XX	x	x	<ul> <li>Close to residential properties to the north and east of the site.</li> <li>Impacts on local residents worse in short term due to construction activities.</li> <li>Located close to railway route; potential generated noise impacts</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	ох	ох	ох	<ul> <li>Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited though may place some extra pressure on water supply if development is more intensive.</li> <li>Potential in the long term for constraints on sewage disposal</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES		•	•	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration - should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers.</li> <li>Located within 800m to the centre.</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>
Summary				
The site conforms to the Sus The site fails to meet 3c,5c a				.2b,2c,3a,3d,4a,5a & 7.
Strengths	We	akness	es	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Similar existing use</li> <li>The site is within 400 access to Green space areas</li> <li>Town centre regeneration</li> </ul>	)m	<ul> <li>Ove acce car.</li> <li>Site cons</li> </ul>	r 60% cu ess town is in a servatior t to resid	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Ecological Survey</li> <li>Prevent impact on listed building and ensure that</li> </ul>

areas

regeneration

materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.

<ul> <li>promoting sustainable urban living; Located within 800m to the centre.</li> <li>Good public transport links; The site is located within 800m of the train station.</li> <li>The site has easy access to bus services and stops are evident within 400m to the site.</li> <li>SUDS viable</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area.</li> <li>Site is not on a north- facing slope.</li> <li>The site is not located on a ground water source protection zone</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Located close to railway route; potential generated noise impacts</li> </ul>	• SUDS
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use								
Site Reference and Locati	<b>on:</b> L/01	, Old Gra	ammar S	chool, Broadway Letchworth				
What is the				Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				
	predicted effect on		ct on					
	each SA objective?							
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long					
	term	term	term					

				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	VV	VV	<b>vv</b>	<ul> <li>Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre</li> <li>Letchworth has a good quantity of stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will support the development of economic activities.</li> <li>Potential new location for District Council Offices – very central and just across the road from old council offices.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>					
LAND USE AND DEVELOP		TTERNS	5						
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>					
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is within location of Green space (within 400m)</li> <li>The development would have no impact on access to green spaces, though it does border designated historic park and garden, Broadway Gardens.</li> </ul>					
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	√√	√√	√√	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town</li> <li>Car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that over 60% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>Most of the bus stops and the train station are conveniently located in relation to the main shopping area.</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site has access to bus stops within 400m</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>					
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION								
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site</li> <li>It has no ecological designations; however, there are trees and hedges on the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey, tree survey.</li> </ul>					
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	N/A					

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment 3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	xx √√	x √√	x √√	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Former/existing use as a Grammar School, County Council Offices, Medical Centre and events venue.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation - ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>The site is not located on a Ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a river.</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area.</li> <li>The site may not be suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Site is not on a north-facing slope.</li> <li>Mitigation - look at the possibility of including CHP in development</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>This development will contribute to the regeneration of Letchworth and may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> <li>Not located within a deprived area.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	N/A
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Close to residential properties to the west of the site.</li> <li>Impacts on local residents worse in short term due to construction activities.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited though may place some extra pressure on water supply if development is more intensive.
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers.</li> <li>The site is within 800m of the town centre</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>

The site is proposed upon a Brownfield site. The site meets nearly all of the sustainability criteria apart from potential to impact upon a conservation area and area of archaeological importance.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Town centre regeneration promoting sustainable urban living</li> <li>Good public transport links</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>The site is not located on a Ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a river.</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination</li> <li>The site is within location of Green space (within 400m)</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site has access to bus stops within 400m</li> <li>The site is within 800m of the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Over 60% currently access town centre by car.</li> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Next to residential areas</li> <li>The site may not be suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Ecological Survey</li> <li>Tree survey</li> <li>Try to retain existing trees.</li> <li>Prevent impact on listed building and ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use							
Site Reference and Locati	Site Reference and Location: L/s1, Garden Square Shopping Centre, Leys Avenue, Letchworth						
	What is the			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
	predicted effect on						
	each SA objective?		tive?				
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long				

	term	term	term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\sqrt{}$	<b>VV</b>	<b>vv</b>	<ul> <li>Site is very well located in terms of access and other facilities. The station is located very close to this site.</li> <li>Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre</li> <li>Letchworth has a good quantity of stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will support the development of economic activities.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	1ENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>Public right of way through the site must be maintained.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is within location of Green space (within 400m)</li> <li>The development would have no impact on access to green spaces, though it does border designated historic park and garden, Broadway Gardens.</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	√√	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town.</li> <li>Car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that over 60% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site has access to bus stops within 400m</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION	·	•	

<ul><li>3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity</li><li>3(b) Protect and enhance</li></ul>	√ 0	√ 0	√ 0	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li> <li>It has no ecological designations; however, there are trees and hedges on the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey, tree survey; retain trees where possible.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>
landscapes				
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	XX	x	×	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>However, the site is already used as a car park and for retail and commercial purposes.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not located in a ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse, but is close to the river.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area, though it is not far from one as it is close to the river.</li> <li>The suitability for SUDS is unclear</li> <li>Site is not on a north-facing slope.</li> <li>Mitigation - look at the possibility of including CHP in developments</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>This development will contribute to the regeneration of Letchworth and may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	N/A
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	<ul> <li>Close to residential properties to the south of the site.</li> <li>Impacts on local residents worse in short term due to construction activities. Long term effects neutral as proposed use very similar to existing use.</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WAS			ſ	
6(a) Use natural resources	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited though may place</li> </ul>

efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible				some extra pressure on water supply if development is more intensive.
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers.</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the town centre</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>

The site is proposed upon a Brownfield site. The site meets nearly all of the sustainability criteria apart from potential to impact upon a conservation area and area of archaeological importance.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Similar existing use</li> <li>Town centre regeneration promoting sustainable urban living</li> <li>Good public transport links</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>The site is within location of Green space (within 400m)</li> <li>Site is within 800m of the train station</li> <li>The site has access to bus stops within 400m</li> <li>The site is not located in a ground water source protection zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse, but is close to the river.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> <li>Site is within 800m of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Over 60% currently access town centre by car.</li> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Near to residential areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Retain public right of way through site</li> <li>Ecological Survey</li> <li>Tree survey</li> <li>Try to retain existing trees</li> <li>Ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>

the town centre		

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Locat				, Eastcheap/Broadway, Letchworth
	What i predic	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b> √	√√	√√	<ul> <li>Site is very well located in terms of access and other facilities. The station is located very close to this site.</li> <li>Accessible retail/mixed development in town centre</li> <li>Letchworth has a good quantity of stores. However, it competes with nearby centres for higher order comparison shopping. Therefore, development in the town centre will support the development of economic activities.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Regeneration of a Brownfield site</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is within location of Green space (within 400m)</li> <li>The development would have no impact on access to green spaces, though it does border designated historic park and garden, Broadway Gardens.</li> </ul>

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	<b>√</b> √	√√	√√	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town.</li> <li>Car parking is an important issue on the town centre and that over 60% of people surveyed come in by car.</li> <li>The site is within 800m of the station</li> <li>The site is located within 400m of a regular bus stop and service</li> <li>Public transport into and out of the area is considered to be good in the Town Centre and Retail Study but it is not used much.</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	-		
3(a) Protect and enhance	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li> </ul>
biodiversity				It has no ecological designations
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	N/A
3(c) Conserve and where	XX	Х	X	Site is in a conservation area
appropriate, enhance the				<ul> <li>There is a listed building adjacent to the site.</li> </ul>
historic environment				Site borders Historic Park and Garden.
				• However, the site is already used as a car park and for retail purposes.
				Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area will be worse
				in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.
				Mitigation – ensure that development does not impact upon listed
				building and is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials,
2(d) Doduce pollution form				<ul> <li>style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>The site does not impact upon a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site does not impact upon a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse.</li> </ul>
any source				<ul> <li>The site does not border a watercourse.</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse	√	$\checkmark$	√	The site is not in a high flood risk area
gas emissions and	v	v	v	<ul> <li>The suitability for SUDS is unclear</li> </ul>
Improve the District's				<ul> <li>Not on a north-facing slope.</li> </ul>
ability to adapt to climate				<ul> <li>Mitigation - look at the possibility of including CHP in developments</li> </ul>
change				5
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	This development will contribute to the regeneration of Letchworth and
prosperity fairly				may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.

					ea is not of high deprivation according to the Deprivation study
				• Po:	sitive impacts should be long lasting.
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	N/	A
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	• Sur	rounded by retail and commercial properties
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>			T	F	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0		isting site use similar, so additional stress limited though may place me extra pressure on water supply if development is more intensive.
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	tov • Sit	wn centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into vn centre and encourage more shoppers. e located within town centre (therefore within 800m) sitive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.
The remainder of the of the	te develo	opment a es follow	and supp	a. These co	nstraints do not support the proposed sustainable criteria. ainable objectives
Strengths	We	aknesse			Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>The site is within location of Green space (within 400m)</li> <li>Similar existing use</li> <li>Town centre regeneration promoting sustainab urban living</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Over 60% currently access town centre by car.</li> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>There is a listed building adjacent to the site.</li> <li>Site borders Historic Park and Garden.</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Ensure that development does not impact upon listed building and is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> </ul>

designations	•
<ul> <li>The site does not</li> </ul>	
impact upon a	
Groundwater Source	
Protection Zone	
<ul> <li>The site does not</li> </ul>	
border a watercourse.	
<ul> <li>There is no evidence of</li> </ul>	
land contamination	
Good public transport	
links; The site is within	
800m of the station	
The site is located	
within 400m of a	
regular bus stop and	
service	
Benefits to local	
economy	
Site located within	
town centre (therefore	
within 800m)	

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)								
Site Reference and Locati	Site Reference and Location: L/r02 opp 382-392, Icknield Way, Letchworth							
	What i	s the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				
	predicted effect on							
	each SA objective?		tive?					
SA Objectives	Short	Short Med Long						
	term term term		term					
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY				
1 Achieve sustainable	0	0	0	• N.a				
levels of prosperity and								
economic growth								
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS							

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	✓	V	<ul> <li>Current usage is a vacant site – former depot / employment base and overgrown land.</li> <li>Brownfield site.</li> <li>Land has low environmental value</li> <li>Land may be contaminated from previous varied industrial use – potential to reclaim contaminated land</li> <li>Mitigation – Land contamination survey and remediation if necessary</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	√	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Footpaths located near proposed site</li> <li>Recreation ground within close proximity (within 800m of the site)</li> <li>Mitigation – Green Space infrastructure</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site located within good access to a bus route; bus stops accessible near to the site (Within 400m)</li> <li>The site has good access to the train station (within 800m).</li> <li>Transport study identifies no constraints for public transportation</li> <li>Site provides good accessibility to local services</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	<b>I</b> -		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site does not impact on designated ecological areas.</li> <li>Some trees are present on the site; try to incorporate them in to the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree survey; incorporate as many trees as possible; ecology survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site has no identified impact on conservation areas or on archaeological areas.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a Groundwater source protection zone</li> <li>Site is not bordering a watercourse</li> <li>Land may be contaminated from previous varied industrial use</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not located in a flood risk area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS to be implemented according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - Large development; potential CHP schemes</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is a fairly large development; likely to have some impact upon city centre vitality and other improvements.</li> <li>Site is not located within a deprived area of Letchworth; considered one of the better parts according to the Deprivation study.</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Developing "decent" and affordable housing within Letchworth</li> <li>Housing paper indicates the site would encourage workers to live within city, access to office space</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	X	x	<ul> <li>Site located next to Railway tracks</li> <li>Problem regarding noise pollution constraints from surrounding area</li> <li>Mitigation – Noise mapping on current site and predicted levels</li> <li>Mitigation – Acoustic noise barriers</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	X	x	<ul> <li>Limited capacity on sewage treatment</li> <li>Large development; long term would encourage more pressure on its capacity.</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	√ X	√ X	√ X	<ul> <li>Long term would encourage people to move in to the town centres</li> <li>Site is located over 800m to the town centre.</li> </ul>
Summary				

The large development of the site aims to reclaim some potentially contaminated land in need of remediation. The site is not within a significant deprived area of Letchworth however it would contribute to the need for inner city housing and promote sustainable transport aiming to reduce elements of commuting from outside of the city itself.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Good access to public transport – within 400m of a bus stop and 800m of a railway station</li> <li>The site has no identified impact on conservation areas or on archaeological areas.</li> <li>Brownfield site.</li> <li>Land has low environmental value</li> <li>Provision of affordable housing</li> <li>SUDS</li> <li>Encourage workers to live within city, access</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site located next to Railway tracks; potential noise impacts in the long term</li> <li>Constraints on sewage disposal</li> <li>Located over 800m from the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Land contamination survey and remediation if necessary</li> <li>Green Space survey</li> <li>Tree Surveys on Site</li> <li>Incorporate as many trees as possible</li> <li>Ecology survey</li> <li>Large development; potential CHP scheme</li> <li>Noise mapping on current site and predicted levels</li> <li>Noise barriers</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

<ul><li>to office space</li><li>Potential to reclaim contaminated land</li></ul>		
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) Site Reference and Location: L/r08, St Michael's House, 105, Norton Way South, Letchworth						
	What i	What is the predicted effect on		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	-	A objec				
SA Objectives	Short		Long			
	term	term	term			
1 Ashisus sustainable	•	•	•			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Current usage is an employment site</li> <li>Designated Brownfield site.</li> <li>Land has low environmental value</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is adjacent to Howard Park and Playground area</li> <li>Close to designated Historic Park and Gardens; Site within 400m of these areas</li> <li>Mitigation – Green Space access mapping</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Public transportation within the area according to the Transport study is identified as "good"</li> <li>Good accessibility for train station; site is within 800m of the station</li> <li>Regular bus routes within location of the site; stops are situated within 400m of the site</li> <li>Mitigation - Encourage sustainable transport initiatives; pedestrian routes</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	•	•			

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not impact on designated ecological areas.</li> <li>Limited vegetation present on site.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree survey; try to retain existing trees where possible.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	•	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Site is located within designated conservation area</li> <li>Site is relatively large; likely impact upon local character of development.</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure that development is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style and building height.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	<ul> <li>The site is not located on a Groundwater source protection zone 2</li> <li>Site is not bordering a watercourse</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination upon the site.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			Γ	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is not located in a flood risk area.</li> <li>Identified problems regarding surface water, long term post development effects could be a problem.</li> <li>Large site may increase runoff.</li> <li>Site is not suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - Large development; potential CHP schemes</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	√ X	√ X	√ X	<ul> <li>It is the removal of a former employment site; reducing prosperity.</li> <li>Development of the site has the potential to help contribute to regeneration of the town.</li> <li>The Town and Retail paper states a number of inhabitants commute outside of district to conduct comparison shopping and retail activities</li> <li>Small scale development; likely to benefit upon a local neighbourhood level</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Developing affordable "decent" housing within Letchworth</li> <li>Provide good access for workers who work within the town centre</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	οх	οх	οх	<ul> <li>Construction process likely to contribute to short term effects</li> <li>Located near to railway; potential generated noise effects</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	Limited identified capacity on sewage treatment

TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Long term would encourage people to move in to the town centres,</li> <li>The site is located within the town centre; therefore within 800m</li> <li>This provides access for residents to services and facilities within the town; help reduce commuting</li> </ul>

The site is within 800m to the town centre providing good access to services and accessibility to the retail facilities. The site is located within a conservation area with surrounding listed buildings present suggesting development impact would be likely to affect these sites.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Designated Brownfield site with low environmental value</li> <li>Access to historic parks and green spaces within the town area.</li> <li>Within 400m of a regular bus route and 800m of the station</li> <li>Contributes to regeneration of the town.</li> <li>Provides affordable housing</li> <li>Within 800m of the town centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site located within conservation area,</li> <li>Construction process may be problematic for existing residents.</li> <li>Potential constraints regarding sewage disposal</li> <li>Part of the site in 1 in 100 year flood plain</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green Space access mapping</li> <li>Tree survey</li> <li>Try to retain trees where possible</li> <li>Flood risk assessment</li> <li>Investigate the use of sustainable drainage systems</li> <li>Encourage sustainable transport initiatives, e.g. pedestrian routes</li> <li>Large development; potential CHP schemes</li> <li>Ensure development is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style and height of buildings.</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield) Site Reference and Location: L/r11 Ivel Court, Radburn Way, Letchworth					
	What is the			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
	predicted effect on				
	each SA objective?		tive?		
SA Objectives	Short Med Long		Long		
	term term term		term		
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					

1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• N.a
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	MENT PA	TTERNS	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Brownfield site.</li> <li>Land is not designated of environmental or ecological importance.</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There are surrounding green spaces around the site including playing fields; within 400m of the site</li> <li>Mitigation – Improvements to local footpaths for access to Green Spaces</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Good access to bus routes</li> <li>Transport study identifies no constraints for public transportation</li> <li>Transport provides accessibility to local services from the site</li> <li>Bus stop within 400m of the site</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m from the site</li> <li>Not close to town centre, could encourage vehicle use above public transport.</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site does not impact upon designated ecological areas.</li> <li>No notable vegetation present on site.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The site is not located within a Conservation are</li> <li>The site is not located within an archaeological area</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	X	X	Site located on a Groundwater source protection area Zone 2
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0√	0√	0√	<ul> <li>The site is not located in a flood risk area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> <li>Mitigation - Large development; potential CHP schemes</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Large scale development could contribute to the regeneration of the area</li> <li>A number of inhabitants commute outside of district to conduct comparison shopping and retail activities</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	~	V	V	loca • Ret • The • The dec • Miti	site has potential to contribute to the overall improvement of the al economy. aining local shops – contributes to more sustainable patterns site is not located within a deprived area of Letchworth redevelopment of this large site is likely to contribute to affordable, ent housing within the Letchworth area gation – ensure there is a portion of affordable housing as part of development	
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	• Cor	struction process likely to contribute to short term noise effects	
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE					
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	• Pot	ential in the long term for constraints on sewage disposal	
TOWN CENTRES						
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Encourages people to move in to the town centres</li> <li>Site is located over 800m to the town centre; not promoting sustainabl urban living</li> </ul>			
Summary						
problematic. The designation	n of the s	ite is sui	table reg	arding the li	e distance for the train station being over 800m is potentially nited impacts upon conservation areas as well as no impacts upon he proposed residential development suggesting more accessibility to	
Strengths	We	akness	es		Potential mitigation	
<ul> <li>Designated Brownfie site with low environmental value</li> <li>Regeneration of run down site</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Site located over groundwater source protection zone 2</li> <li>Problem currently regarding sewage</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Encourage sustainable transport initiatives, e.g. pedestrian routes</li> <li>Green Space access mapping</li> <li>Mapping of potential effects on groundwater</li> <li>Investigate use of sustainable drainage systems</li> </ul>	

Train station over

800m from the site.

•

•

as part of redevelopment

٠

• Provides decent,

affordable housing,

located within good distance for main centre for employment

Shops are being kept

regarding sewage	<ul> <li>Investigate use of sustainable drainage systems</li> </ul>
disposal	<ul> <li>Ensure there is an element of affordable housing within this</li> </ul>
Surface water effects;	development
potential increased run	
off from development	

•	SUDS			
•	Provides good access			
	to Green spaces			
	(within 400m to the			
	site)			
•	Good public transport			
•	(bus stop and service			
	within 400m of the			
	site)			
•	Site does not impact			
	upon conservation			
	area or archaeological			
	area.			

Type of Site and N	umber	Resid	dential	(Brownfield)
Site Reference and	d Locat	tion: L	/r25 G	oods Yard, Norton Way North, Letchworth Garden City
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable	0	0	0	• N.a

	-	-	-					
levels of prosperity and								
economic growth								
	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS							
2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Brownfield area</li> <li>Land has potential contamination from former use in railroad goods yard         <ul> <li>potential to reclaim contaminated land</li> <li>Remediate land if necessary</li> <li>Site has low environmental amenity and ecological importance.</li> <li>Mitigation – Land contamination assessment and land remediation if necessary</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Limited public rights of way around the site</li> <li>Access to green space is unclear, but development is large enough to provide some open space</li> <li>Mitigation – Green space mapping and designation</li> </ul>				

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is located adjacent to railway station (located within 800m)</li> <li>Site is accessible to the town centre from the use of public transport</li> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre; (bus stops within 400m)</li> <li>Mitigation - Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>Some trees and vegetation present on site</li> <li>Mitigation - tree survey; try to retain trees where possible; ecology survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is adjacent to a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not located on a site of archaeological importance.</li> <li>Mitigation - if site is redeveloped as residential, try to make it sympathetic to adjacent conservation area.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not located near a watercourse</li> <li>Site is not located over a Ground water Source Protection Zone.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	X	X	X	<ul> <li>There are identified problems regarding surface water runoff from the Transport and Utilities constraints study</li> <li>Unsuitable topography for Solar energy alternatives</li> <li>Site is not suitable for SUDS according to SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation – Large development; potential CHP schemes</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		-	-	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	√x	√×	√x	<ul> <li>Fairly large scale residential development or potentially staying as a car dealership</li> <li>A number of inhabitants commute outside of district to conduct comparison shopping and retail activities</li> <li>Potential removal of an existing employment building</li> <li>The site is not located within a deprived area of Letchworth according to the deprivation study</li> <li>The site is likely to contribute to the overall improvement of the local economy.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Development is proposed to capacitate 53 dwellings.</li> <li>Will provide affordable housing.</li> </ul>

5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	XX	X	x	cau • Init	ere are railway lines to the south and east of the site - potential to use significant noise pollution. cial construction period has potential to affect residential areas. igation – Noise survey and noise screening
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ГЕ				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible <b>TOWN CENTRES</b>	x	X	X	• Cui	rrent infrastructure pressures identified - sewage disposal
7 Promote sustainable urban living Summary The site is within good acces conservation area suggesting	l develo	pment in		to Loc Site Ence tracilities, loc	cated in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting levels employment sectors. cation provides good access to services e within 800m of town centre courage people to live in town centre areas, good access to main area cated within 800m of the train station itself. The site is adjacent to a t would have to conserve and promote a sensitive approach to ensure
development follows the cha					Detential mitigation
<ul> <li>Strengths</li> <li>Brownfield site.</li> <li>Good public transpor accessible to bus and train services. (Bus within 400m and trai within 800m)</li> <li>Regeneration of urba area; revitalisation</li> <li>Potential to remediat contaminated land</li> <li>Will provide affordab housing</li> <li>Development close to town centre within</li> </ul>	t; n n e le	<ul> <li>Nois</li> <li>from</li> <li>Infra pres</li> </ul>	ace wate e disturl n railroad astructur ssures –	d re	<ul> <li>Potential mitigation</li> <li>Land contamination assessment and land remediation if necessary</li> <li>Enhance pedestrian routes</li> <li>Try to ensure that if site is redeveloped, the buildings are sympathetic to the adjacent conservation area</li> <li>Noise survey and screening</li> <li>Ensure appropriate access to green space</li> </ul>

<ul><li>800m</li><li>Large development; potential CHP schemes</li></ul>		
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

Type of Site and Number:				
Site Reference and Locat			more Ave	
	What is the predicted effect on		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
		A objec		
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	■ N.a
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	~	V	~	<ul> <li>Brownfield, contaminated site (existing use as motor works/laundry)</li> <li>Remediation would be necessary</li> <li>Mitigation - land contamination survey and remediation</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This site is within 400 m of green space and a public right of way runs along the boundary</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This site is within 800 m of the station and within 400 m of a bus stop.</li> <li>Several bus services operate along Pixmore Avenue</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Brownfield site, not designated for biodiversity or ecological value</li> <li>Mature trees onsite</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site adjacent to conservation area</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure that development does not impact upon the character or setting of the conservation area, e.g. building materials, style, height.</li> </ul>

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	X	X	<ul> <li>Site is potentially contaminated from its use as a laundry and motor works</li> <li>Site is located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			1	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not north facing</li> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>Site is not in a flood risk area</li> <li>May be unsuitable for SUDS according to SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>This development could contribute to the regeneration of Letchworth</li> <li>There is potential for this site to support services and facilities in Letchworth. Particularly if it is part of a larger development (i.e. site L.r10)</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>This is a development of 36 residences within Letchworth – providing decent and affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	<ul> <li>Cumulative impact of construction on existing residents</li> <li>Largely an existing residential area</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Limited sewage capacity – sites could be phased for after 2012</li> <li>Limited capacity at secondary schools</li> <li>Mitigation – assess sewage capacity</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Housing within 800m of town centre
Summary				
Site meets several of the crit				5b and 7. himpact of these extra residences would be quite large on this area and on

If this site goes ahead as well as L/r10, the cumulative impact of these extra residences would be quite large on this area and on utilities.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Brownfield site	<ul> <li>Contaminated land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure that development does not impact upon the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Site is not north facing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Borders a conservation</li> </ul>	character or setting of the conservation area, e.g. building
<ul> <li>Site does not border a</li> </ul>	area	materials, style, height.
watercourse	<ul> <li>Site is located in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Land contamination survey and remediation</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Site is not in a flood risk area</li> <li>Providing decent and affordable housing in Letchworth</li> <li>Contributing to regeneration</li> <li>Within 400 of bus stop</li> <li>Within 800 m of station</li> <li>Housing within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Opportunity to reclaim contaminated land</li> <li>Will provide affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2</li> <li>Cumulative impact of a large residential development when viewed alongside L.r10</li> <li>Mature trees onsite</li> <li>May be unsuitable for SUDS</li> <li>Limited capacity at secondary schools</li> <li>Limited sewage capacity – sites could be phased for after 2012</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure that there is a proportion of affordable housing</li> <li>Tree survey</li> <li>Assess sewage capacity</li> <li>Investigate suitability for SUDS</li> </ul>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number:	Posidon	tial (Gro	onfield) I	/r22			
Site Reference and Locati					chworth GC		
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			cation for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
				ECON	IOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	•	N.a		
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS						
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	X	X	X	•	Greenfield site Agricultural Grade 2		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	$\checkmark$	V	•	The site has good access to surrounding green spaces There are numerous footpaths running adjacent and around the site itself		

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is located within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre</li> <li>Site on the edge of town</li> <li>Mitigation - Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage and promote sustainable transport initiatives.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC			1	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not located within any ecological designated zones</li> <li>Boundaries are defined by hedgerows</li> <li>Development unlikely to improve biodiversity</li> <li>Mitigation - Ecology survey</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure hedgerows are maintained</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The Landscape Capacity Study identifies this site as being of moderate sensitivity to development and having a moderate capacity for development.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	X	<ul> <li>The site is located adjacent to a conservation area</li> <li>There are listed buildings present around the site</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure the development does not impact upon the setting of the conservation area</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The site is not located near a watercourse or over a Groundwater Source     Protection Zone
CLIMATE CHANGE		-	ī.	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	×	×	X	<ul> <li>The site is not located in a flood risk area.</li> <li>Potential long-term cumulative impacts of building on greenfield land - identified problems regarding surface water from Constraints study; development of Greenfield would contribute to surface runoff</li> <li>The size of the development could potentially support a CHP scheme</li> <li>The site would not be suitable for a SUDS scheme according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY			1	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	Not in a deprived part of Letchworth
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Development is proposed to capacitate 94 dwellings.</li> <li>Provides the opportunity of affordable and decent housing.</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	• The initial construction period has potential to affect residential areas

<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	x	X	<ul> <li>There are current infrastructure pressures identified from sewage disposal of surrounding residential areas within Letchworth.</li> <li>The large size development is likely to contribute to increasing pressure on resource constraints</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES		ī.	T	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is not located near to the main urban centre</li> <li>Could encourage the use of cars in and around the town</li> </ul>
Summary				
Greenfield development on hi	gh qual	ity agricu	ultural la	nd does not score well against criteria 2a.
	1	-		
Strengths		aknesse	<b>es</b> enfield si	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Good access and public rights of way to Green spaces</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not in Groundwater Source Protection Zor</li> <li>Provides access to affordable housing</li> <li>Could support CHP scheme</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>Lanagri</li> <li>Pote</li> <li>Pote</li> <li>the</li> <li>cons</li> <li>surr</li> <li>buile</li> <li>Ider</li> <li>sew</li> <li>Cou</li> <li>use</li> <li>incr</li> <li>cong</li> <li>Site</li> <li>tow</li> <li>Not</li> <li>Con</li> </ul>	d of grad cultural ( ential imp setting o servation rounding dings ntified co age capa Id encou of cars; eased loo gestion on the en suitable	<ul> <li>de 2</li> <li>guality</li> <li>pact upon</li> <li>of the</li> <li>area and</li> <li>listed</li> <li>Ensure the development does not impact upon the setting of the conservation area</li> <li>Ensure buildings fit with local character in terms of materials, size etc</li> <li>onstraints;</li> <li>acity</li> <li>arage the large site cal</li> <li>edge of</li> <li>for SUDS</li> <li>for SUDS</li> </ul>

# Royston

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b>	V	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site is well located in terms of access and other facilities.</li> <li>Improvement of existing facilities on site and development of residential properties which has potential to support and encourage the local economy.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	√√	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Regeneration of existing facilities and development of residential properties to fund improvements.</li> <li>The site is Brownfield</li> <li>The benefits of developing on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>This site has been identified as being likely to have contaminated land and so provides an opportunity to reduce quantity of unremediated contaminated land.</li> <li>Mitigation – contaminated land survey and remediation if necessary.</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	√	<ul> <li>Park is located to the south of the site (within 400m to the site)</li> <li>However, there is no right of way across the site.</li> <li>New residential properties will be close to a green space which is positive.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that access to the park is not infringed by new development.</li> </ul>	

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town. It is important to keep the health centre, town hall, police station and council offices central and accessible.</li> <li>Site is easily accessible (within 400m) to a bus service and stop locations</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Less than 50% of shoppers surveyed had driven into Royston. Most walk. Few use public transport</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site.</li> <li>There are trees and shrubs onsite</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>Mitigation - Ecological survey; tree survey; try to retain trees as part of improvement works.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area and in an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Proposed site use is improving existing facilities onsite.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>Mitigation – archaeological survey</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is located in Ground Water Source Protection Zone 3</li> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>The site is potentially contaminated from its existing use as car parking facilities</li> <li>The source is likely from underground fuel storage tanks</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area and is not on a north facing slope</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Regenerating existing site use.</li> <li>Mitigation – look at including CHP as part of the improvement works.</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>This development will contribute to the regeneration of Royston and helps to retain important services and facilities in the town, e.g. health centre and council offices.</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	?	?	?	Proposed number of dwellings unknown
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Predominantly surrounded by residential properties.</li> <li>However, existing site use is similar.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Existing site use similar, so additional stress limited though may place some extra pressure on water supply because of residential development. However this site has not been identified as being problematic.</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration</li> <li>Housing within town centre (within 800m)</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>

### Summary

The site is proposed upon an existing Brownfield site with evidence of land contamination. The proposed uses range from a police station to residential to offices.

Overall, the site supports the sustainable criteria and objectives well however 3c & 3d would need to be addressed before development could take place.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Improving existing facilities</li> <li>Retaining facilities and services in town centre, e.g. council offices and health centre</li> <li>Access to Green space within 400m</li> <li>Benefits to local</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>Site is in area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Next to congested road (Town Centre and Retail Study)</li> <li>Surrounded by residential properties</li> <li>Biological Records Centre indicates that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree survey</li> <li>Retain trees as part of development</li> <li>Ecological survey</li> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Contaminated land survey and remediation if appropriate.</li> <li>Ensure that development is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>Archaeological survey</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

	What i predic	tion: R/m3, Shopping Para What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
5A Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
L Achieve sustainable evels of prosperity and economic growth	√√	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Site is central and well located in terms of access and other facilities.</li> <li>Refurbishment or redevelopment of retail properties in Royston is very important as this will help to attract other businesses to the area and improve the environment of the town.</li> <li>Occupiers in Royston are currently unsatisfied with the range and quality of facilities and the environment of the town. Therefore, this proposed refurbishment/redevelopment meets the sustainability criteria well.</li> <li>Benefits of regeneration should start in the short term and last for the long term.</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?</li> <li>2(b) Provide access to green spaces</li> </ul>	<b>√√</b>	<b>√√</b>	<b>√√</b>	<ul> <li>Refurbishment or redevelopment of a run down shopping parade</li> <li>The site is Brownfield</li> <li>There is no evidence of land contamination</li> <li>The benefits of redeveloping on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>The site is within 400m of Priory Gardens</li> <li>The site has no accessible public rights of way</li> <li>The development would have no impact on access to green spaces</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	√ X	√ X	√ X	<ul> <li>The site is central to the town. Improving the facilities in Royston will help to keep and attract shoppers to the town centre, helping the town to compete with out of town retailers thus improving sustainable location patterns.</li> <li>The site is easily accessible within 400m to bus service and stops</li> <li>The site is located further than 800m to the train station</li> <li>Less than 50% of shoppers surveyed had driven into Royston. Most walk.</li> <li>The benefits of town centre regeneration start in the short term and are long lasting. Particularly if transport can be successfully addressed.</li> <li>Mitigation – find ways of encouraging alternative ways to access the town centre.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>This is a brown field site with a similar existing use.</li><li>It has no ecological designations</li></ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	√ X	√ X	√ X	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area and in an area of archaeological interest <ul> <li>It is surrounded by listed buildings.</li> </ul> </li> <li>However, the site has been identified as being run down and needing improvement.</li> <li>Negative impacts of construction on the conservation area will be worse in the short term, but will be less once the regeneration is completed. Refurbishing the shopping parade will improve the environment of the shopping centre</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that development does not impact upon listed buildings and is in keeping with character of local area, e.g. materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>Archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – try to adopt a joint management plan for the shopping parade to ensure that it does not become run down in the future.</li> </ul>

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is located in Ground Water Source Protection Zone 3</li> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> </ul>
				There is no evidence of land contamination
CLIMATE CHANGE		1		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change <b>A JUST SOCIETY</b>	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not in a high flood risk area</li> <li>The site is on a slight north facing slope</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Look at the possibility of installing CHP as part of the refurbishment/redevelopment</li> </ul>
5(a) Share benefits of	-/-/	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	This development will contribute to the regeneration of Deveten and will
prosperity fairly	$\sqrt{}$	vv	vv	<ul> <li>This development will contribute to the regeneration of Royston and will improve the environment of the town, which may tempt more people to do their shopping in the town.</li> <li>Site is not within a deprived area according to the Deprivation study</li> <li>Positive impacts should be long lasting.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	N/A
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Surrounded by retail and commercial properties; Unlikely to have significant changes</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE	1		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0 X	οх	0 X	<ul> <li>Proposed development is refurbishment or redevelopment of existing shopping parade, so do not anticipate additional stress.</li> <li>Identified sewage constraints from the Transport and Utilities study; large development could increase pressure upon these services</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>Town centre regeneration – should encourage shops and services into town centre and encourage more shoppers.</li> <li>Site is located within the town centre (easily within 800m)</li> <li>Positive impacts should start in the short term and be long lasting.</li> </ul>
				e and is proposed to redevelop the existing retail centre. ,3c & 6a. These constraints do not support the proposed sustainable criteria.

The positives aspects towards the sustainability of the site are reflected within 1, 2a, 3a, 3c, 4a, 5a & 7

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Refurbishment or redevelopment of run down shopping parade thus improving environment of town</li> <li>Encourage other businesses into town</li> <li>No evidence of land contamination</li> <li>Encourage more shoppers to the town</li> <li>The site is easily accessible within 400m to bus service and stops</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> <li>Site is located within the town centre (easily within 800m)</li> <li>The site is within 400m of Priory Gardens</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site is in a conservation area</li> <li>In area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Surrounded by listed buildings</li> <li>The site is located further than 800m to the train station</li> <li>Site is on a slight north facing slope</li> <li>Identified sewage constraints from the Transport and Utilities study</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encourage use of alternative transport</li> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Ensure that development does not impact upon listed buildings and is in keeping with character of local area, e.g materials, style of buildings, height restrictions.</li> <li>Archaeological survey</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

Type of Site and Number: Other/Mixed Use Site Reference and Location: R/02, Royston Hospital, London Road, Royston.							
What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?				Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	ShortMedLongtermtermterm						
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY							

	r – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –			
1 Achieve sustainable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Proposal for housing on hospital site to fund improvements.</li> </ul>
levels of prosperity and				• The site is on the edge of the settlement of Royston, but this is quite
economic growth				close to the town, so is good in terms of accessibility.
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>The benefits of redeveloping on brownfield land and avoiding greenfield land are long lasting.</li> <li>It is likely that this site is contaminated and so provides an opportunity to reclaim contaminated land</li> <li>Mitigation - contaminated land survey and remediation where necessary.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	~	V	V	<ul> <li>The development is on the edge of the settlement near to plenty of open space (site is easily accessible to Green space within 400m)</li> <li>However, the information says that the site is grassed, so it is important to maintain a garden/outside area for patients and visitors to sue.</li> <li>There is a public right of way along the boundary of the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that the public right of way is maintained and not blocked.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure outside space is maintained for hospital patients and visitors.</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	~	~	~	<ul> <li>The site is located over 800m from the nearest train station</li> <li>The site has access to Bus routes and stops within 400m of the site</li> <li>The site is close to the town centre and should encourage people to access facilities and services by foot or alternative transportation.</li> <li>In the town centre and retail study, a high proportion of shoppers in Royston came into the town by foot.</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This is a brown field site</li> <li>It has no ecological designations</li> <li>There are some trees present</li> <li>Mitigation - tree survey and try to retain trees as part of development</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	<ul> <li>The site is located within a moderate to high capacity area according to the Royston capacity study</li> <li>The site is located within an area of low sensitivity according to the sensitivity study for Royston</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This site is not in a conservation area

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is located in Ground Water Source Protection Zone 3</li> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>The Site has potential contamination from its use as a hospital</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not in a flood risk area</li> <li>The site is on a slight north facing slope</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan</li> <li>Mitigation - Look at the possibility of installing CHP as part of the development</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The development of housing on this site has the potential to fund improvements to the local hospital which is positive for local people and the area.</li> <li>The site is not within a deprived area according to the Deprivation study</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This development will provide 'decent' housing</li> <li>The number of proposed houses on the site is unknown</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure a portion of housing is 'affordable housing'.</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>May be noise issues for potential residents – ambulances etc</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WAS	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	×	×	X	• Sewage capacity is limited in Royston up till 2015.
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>This site would provide housing near to the town centre which will support the local economy and promote sustainable living patterns</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of the town centre itself</li> <li>The development will fund improvements to the local hospital.</li> </ul>
Summary			_	
The site is proposed upon a f	Brownfie	ld site ur	oon the o	outskirts of Royston. The proposed use of the site is for housing development.
The current constraints upon	ı the site	are 3d,	5c, 6a. S	ite has potential to support a significant number of the sustainable objectives.
Strengths		aknesse		Potential mitigation

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Brownfield site	<ul> <li>Site is on a north</li> </ul>	Encourage use of alternative transport

<ul> <li>Accessible for facilities and services in the town</li> <li>Benefits to local economy</li> <li>Potential to reclaim and remediate contaminated land</li> <li>Close to lots of open space (Green space within 400m of the site)</li> <li>Helps to fund improvements to local hospital</li> <li>The site has access to Bus routes and stops within 400m of the site</li> <li>The site is located within a moderate to high capacity area according to the Royston capacity study</li> <li>The site is located within an area of low sensitivity according to the sensitivity study for Royston</li> <li>The site is located within 800m of the town centre itself</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>facing slope</li> <li>Site is located in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>Public right of way along site boundary</li> <li>Is this the hospital's outside space?</li> <li>Possible issues with parking conflicts between hospital users and residents</li> <li>May be noise issues for future residents – ambulances etc</li> <li>Limited sewage capacity</li> <li>The site is located over 800m from the nearest train station</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Look at making development more sustainable by incorporating CHP</li> <li>Contaminated land survey and remediation where necessary.</li> <li>Ensure that the public right of way is maintained and not blocked.</li> <li>Ensure some outside space is maintained for hospital patients and visitors.</li> <li>Tree survey and try to retain trees as part of development</li> <li>Ensure a proportion of the development is 'affordable housing'.</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Uncertain – Urban area)						
Site Reference and Locati	Site Reference and Location: R/r10 Land adj 20, Lindsay Close, Royston					
	What is the			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	predicted effect on					
	each SA objective?					
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long			
	term	term	term			

			ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
0	0	0	• N.a
1ENT PA	TTERNS	5	
?	?	?	<ul> <li>There is general uncertainty as to whether the site is Greenfield or Brownfield</li> <li>There is no impact on areas of ecological or environmental importance</li> <li>Long term – Potentially located on a Greenfield site within an urban area; Loss of Green space within town</li> <li>Mitigation – Assessment of land type; definition of whether site is Greenfield or Brownfield</li> <li>Mitigation – Tree surveys; trees present on current boundary, incorporate as many trees as possible into the site</li> </ul>
?	?	?	Greenspace may be provided within development of adjacent r/r11
x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site would be accessible through Lindsay Close for car use</li> <li>Bus and coach route along Burns way</li> <li>Train service is not located within close proximity (not within 800m)</li> <li>Lack of easy access could contribute to car usage</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve public transport access routes towards site</li> <li>Mitigation - Sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
CTION			
0	0	0	<ul> <li>Land type is defined as Scrub land</li> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> </ul>
0	0	0	• N.a
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of historical interest</li> <li>Site does not impede on archaeological or conservation areas</li> </ul>
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>The site is not located in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.</li> </ul>
V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not located in an area at risk of flooding.</li> <li>SUDS viable</li> </ul>
	MENT PA ? ? X X CTION 0 0 √ √	MENT PATTERNS       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?       ?	MENT PATTERNS?????????XXXXXXOOO $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site will not contribute to specific deprived areas.</li> <li>Housing estimated only a small development</li> <li>Located on border of town itself</li> <li>Limited access to local services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The dwelling estimate for the site is 6, indicates potentially there may not be low cost housing on this site</li> <li>More house provision within the Royston area and adjoining Baldock</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities <b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	X	0	0	<ul> <li>The initial impacts would be the pressures of construction on the surrounding residential areas.</li> <li>Small development, long term would be unlikely to contribute to noise factors and other constraints</li> </ul>
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	xx	X	X	<ul> <li>Sewage works within area; restricted development until 2015; Need for redevelopment of Infrastructure before development can take place</li> <li>Potential pressures on infrastructure</li> <li>Energy supplies need redevelopment</li> <li>Short term – Initial development pressures likely to disrupt and pressurise services</li> <li>Mitigation – Sustainable energy solutions</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES 7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located further than 800m to the town centre; public transport insufficient to support access to town services</li> </ul>
Strengths	and the	access o	of the site	e to transport and services is out of range to support a sustainable development Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>The site is not locate on in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> <li>Within 400m of regu bus route</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Train service is not located within close proximity (not within 800m)</li> <li>General topography is undulating with a large central depression; need for landscape groundwork prior to development</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>in close</li> <li>Greenfield or Brownfield</li> <li>Tree surveys; trees present on current boundary, incorporate as many trees as possible into the site</li> <li>Potential Green Space designation and improved accessibility</li> <li>Improve public transport access routes towards site</li> <li>Sustainable transport initiatives</li> <li>Sustainable energy solutions</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

Tou     aff     Poi     cor     sev     Sit     tha     cer     tra     su     ser
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)						
Site Reference and Location: R/r12 The Warren Car Park, London Road, Royston						
Site Kelerence and Ebeat	What i			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	predic	ted effe	ct on	······································		
		A objec				
SA Objectives	Short		Long			
-	term	term	term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Housing estimated large size development		
levels of prosperity and				Located in town historic centre		
economic growth				<ul> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	ATTERN	S			
2(a) Minimise the	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The site is located on a Brownfield area.		
development of greenfield				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Incorporate Greenspace into the site development</li> </ul>		
land and other land with				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Some mature trees present; surveys to protect and</li> </ul>		
high environmental and				incorporate them in to the development		
amenity value?						
2(b) Provide access to	X√	X√	X√	• Green space currently part of the site usage – could lead to loss of green		
green spaces				space		
				Within 400m of green space		
				<ul> <li>Several footpaths evident but not adjoining site itself</li> </ul>		
				Mitigation – Retain Green space in development		

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	V	V	<ul> <li>Site currently used as bus station; Could lead to loss of potential bus services</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Over 800m to train station</li> <li>Site also used for car parking; lead to loss of car parking facilities, could be more sustainable in centre</li> <li>Short term could be problematic in services coping</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Some vegetation present on site; mature trees and grass</li> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located within Royston historic core</li> <li>Located in conservation area and archaeological areas of interest</li> <li>Listed buildings are present around the site</li> <li>Mitigation – Archaeological survey of site and surrounding area</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure that development is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style, height</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	Х?	Х?	X?	<ul> <li>There are significant constraints on the capacity of the Royston sewage treatment works (STW). Additional housing development will require significant improvements to the STW to ensure that it does not have a negative impact on the water quality of Whadden Brook.</li> <li>Site is located over a zone 2 Groundwater source protection zone.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Improvements required to the Royston STW to facilitate development are likely to be energy intensive.</li> <li>Site is not located in a flood risk area</li> <li>CHP could be a suitable sustainable option for size of site.</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is proposing to remove a bus station and service; effects within the centre in terms of public transport</li> <li>Long term - Site has potential to support local services within the retail centre</li> <li>Not identified as a deprived area</li> </ul>

$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The size of the site is proposed at 55 dwellings; providing affordable housing</li> <li>Housing located within the town centre, accessible to range of social classes</li> </ul>
x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase likely to disrupt centre and contribute to generated noise</li> <li>Located within residential and retail centre, could have little impact in the long term</li> </ul>
TE			
0	0	0	• A large development such as this will increase water use in the area, though it is understood from the Draft Infrastructure Development Plan that there are no significant constraints on water supply in this area.
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>New housing located in the town centre (within 800m)</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre</li> <li>Could reduce commuting for employment in centre.</li> </ul>
	TE 0		

### Summary

Brownfield residential development within the town centre.

Main constraints would be utilities, historic/cultural restrictions and groundwater.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Location of site within centre, support for economy - within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Provide access to affordable housing</li> <li>New housing located in the town centre.</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre</li> <li>Could reduce commuting for employment in centre.</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of current use as bus station</li> <li>Could lead to loss of green space</li> <li>Over 800m to the station</li> <li>Located in conservation area and archaeological areas of interest</li> <li>Listed buildings are present around the site</li> <li>There are significant constraints on the capacity of the Royston sewage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure that the STW can effectively protect water quality before proceeding with development.</li> <li>Ensure high levels of water efficiency in development Incorporate green space into the site development</li> <li>Some mature trees present; surveys to protect and incorporate them in to the development</li> <li>Retain Green space in development</li> <li>Relocation of bus stop/service to counteract the site</li> <li>Sustainable transport; local bus for in and around centre</li> <li>Archaeological survey of site and surrounding area</li> <li>Ensure that development is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style, height</li> <li>Potential groundwater impacts from development</li> <li>Sustainable energy solutions for large scheme</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

Within 400m of bus	treatment works
stop	(STW). Additional
<ul> <li>Within 400m of green</li> </ul>	housing development
space	will require significant
	improvements to the
	STW to ensure that it
	does not have a
	negative impact on the
	water quality of
	Whadden Brook.
	Improvements
	required to the
	Royston STW to
	facilitate development
	are likely to be energy
	intensive.
	intensive.
	Site is located over a
	zone 2 Groundwater
	source protection
	zone.
<u> </u>	

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)							
Site Reference and Location: R/r13 Industrial estate, Lower Gower Road, Royston							
	What i	s the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
	predicted effect on						
	each SA objective?						
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long				
	term	term	term				
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable	Х	Х	Х	<ul> <li>Site proposes to remove local businesses and a thriving employment</li> </ul>			
levels of prosperity and				area			
economic growth				Doesn't support prosperity or economic growth for the local economy			
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS							

2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to	√ ?	√ ?	√ ?	<ul> <li>The site is located on a designated Brownfield area.</li> <li>Land is suitable for remediation</li> <li>Site is not specified for agricultural amenity or of environmental importance.</li> <li>Limited access to Green spaces from site – some spaces within 400m,</li> </ul>
green spaces	•	-	-	but no evidence of public rights of way surrounding site.
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The local bus service with regards to the site has a restricted service</li> <li>Bus stop within 400m</li> <li>Station within 800m</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The site currently has no evidence of visible vegetation present</li> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of historical interest</li> <li>Site does not impede on archaeological or conservation areas</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	Χ?	Χ?	Χ?	<ul> <li>There are significant constraints on the capacity of the Royston sewage treatment works (STW). Additional housing development will require significant improvements to the STW to ensure that it does not have a negative impact on the water quality of Whadden Brook</li> <li>The site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>The site is not located on in Groundwater Source Protection Zone.</li> <li>Site has evidence of land contamination; from historic laundry and current garages</li> <li>Mitigation – Land contamination assessment ; remediation if needed</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE 4(a) Reduce greenhouse	?	?	?	Improvements required to the Royston STW to facilitate development
gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	F	F	f	<ul> <li>Improvements required to the Royston STW to facilitate development are likely to be energy intensive.</li> <li>The site is not located in an area at risk of flooding.</li> <li>SUDs viable.</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Removal of local "thriving" family businesses</li> <li>Small development, reduced support for existing services</li> <li>Area is not identified as being deprived</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	sup	dwelling estimate for the site is relatively large; therefore would port affordable housing e house provision within the Royston area and adjoining Baldock
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	x	x	ger	e will be located adjacent to railway line, noise and pollution erated from this gation – Noise reduction barriers
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE	·			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	are	nedium sized development such as this will increase water use in the a, though it is understood from the Draft Infrastructure Development n that there are no significant constraints on water supply in this a.
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Enc</li> </ul>	v housing in close proximity to the town centre – within 800m ouraging people to use local shops and town centre Ild reduce commuting for employment in centre.
Summary					
The main constraints are rela	ated to u	ıtilities ar	nd the po	tential noise	from the railway.
Strengths	We	eaknesse	es		Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>The site is located or designated Brownfie area.</li> <li>Site has evidence of land contamination; suitable for remediation</li> </ul>	۱a	<ul> <li>Weaknesses</li> <li>Removal of local business; economic and local service effects</li> <li>Noise effects from railway</li> <li>Infrastructure pressures currently and long term from site build</li> <li>Contaminated land</li> <li>There are significant constraints on the capacity of the Royston sewage</li> </ul>		onomic vice	<ul> <li>Ensure that the STW can effectively protect water quality before proceeding with development.</li> <li>Ensure high levels of water efficiency in development</li> <li>Incorporate green space into the site development</li> <li>Land contamination assessment; remediation if needed</li> <li>Alternative CHP schemes for smaller developments</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>treatment works</li> <li>treatment works</li> <li>(STW). Additional</li> <li>housing development</li> <li>will require significant</li> <li>improvements to the</li> <li>STW to ensure that it</li> <li>does not have a</li> <li>negative impact on the</li> <li>water quality of</li> <li>Whadden Brook.</li> <li>Improvements</li> <li>required to the</li> <li>Royston STW to</li> <li>facilitate development</li> <li>are likely to be energy</li> <li>intensive.</li> </ul>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number:	Residen	tial (Brov	wnfield)	
				d Court, Stamford Avenue, Royston
	What i	s the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
		ted effe		
	each S	A objec	tive?	
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long	
	term	term	term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Royston attracted high levels of office space to date</li> </ul>
levels of prosperity and				<ul> <li>Site located in good access to employment and business sector</li> </ul>
economic growth				
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	ATTERN	5	
2(a) Minimise the	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is located on a designated Brownfield area.</li> </ul>
development of greenfield				
land and other land with				
high environmental and				
amenity value?				
2(b) Provide access to	Х	Х	Х	There is limited access to Green spaces from site – but some green
green spaces				space within 400m
				No evidence of public rights of way surrounding site.

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>There is a regular bus route along Queens and Mill road adjacent to site – bus stop within 400m</li> <li>Site is in close proximity to the train station (within 800m)</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site has evidence of dense scrubland present; potential habitats present</li> <li>Initial development could disrupt habitats that may exist there</li> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of historical interest</li> <li>Site does not impede on archaeological or conservation areas</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Not in Groundwater Source Protection Zone</li> <li>Not contaminated</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	1	1		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is not located in an area at risk of flooding.</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site has potential to support existing services and support new proposals in the long term</li> <li>Royston is not identified as being deprived</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The proposed dwelling estimate for the site is 15; could provide affordable housing</li> <li>Essentially ideal for people working in and around the centre</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The initial impacts would be the pressures of construction on the surrounding residential areas.</li> <li>Long term - Small development would be unlikely to contribute to noise factors within a current residential area</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	XX	X	X	<ul> <li>Sewage works within area; restricted development until 2015; Need for redevelopment of Infrastructure before development can take place</li> <li>Site pressures during construction</li> <li>Energy supplies need redevelopment</li> </ul>

			• Mit	igation – Sustainable energy solutions			
TOWN CENTRES							
7 Promote sustainable urban living		V	End to	w housing located in the town centre (within 800m). couraging people to use local shops and town centre; reduce the need leave for shopping and other purposes uld support centre uld reduce commuting for employment in centre.			
Summary							
Brownfield residential development within 800m of town centre and close to bus stop and train station. Main constraints will be utilities.							
Strengths	Weakness			Potential mitigation			
<ul> <li>Brownfield site</li> <li>Affordable housing</li> <li>Support local services in town centre; supports sustainable urban living</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> <li>Not in Groundwater Source Protection Zor</li> <li>Not contaminated</li> <li>Within 800m of statio</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> </ul>	e Par sur n nev inc	ace within rastructur ssure, se ergy servi king cong rounding v housing	s and / – 400m re wage and ces gestion of roads;	<ul> <li>Alternative CHP schemes for smaller developments</li> <li>Sustainable energy solutions</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>			

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Brownfield)						
Site Reference and Locati	on: R/r2	3 The Ma	altings, G	Green Drift, Royston		
	What i	s the		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	predicted effect on		ct on			
	each S	A objec	tive?			
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long			
	term	term	term			
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The brown field residential development within the town could contribute		

levels of prosperity and economic growth				<ul><li>to economic growth in that area.</li><li>Removal of existing local business in the long term could have potential impacts</li></ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	1ENT PA	TTERNS	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is located on a designated Brownfield area.</li> <li>Land has potential for remediation</li> <li>Site is not specified for agricultural amenity or of environmental importance.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	X	X	X	<ul> <li>There is limited access to public footpaths and other rights of way</li> <li>Few areas of Green space readily accessible to site – some spaces within 400m</li> <li>Mitigation – Green Space mapping and designation</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is a frequent Bus route through the adjacent road to the site and a bus stop within 400m of the site</li> <li>The train station within local vicinity and within 800m</li> <li>Mitigation - improve access to public transport; encourage sustainable transport strategy, increase pedestrian routes</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There are few trees and vegetation present around the site but limited in terms of additional vegetation</li> <li>Site not listed as area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	• N.a
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of historical interest</li> <li>Site is located close to conservation area combined with numerous listed buildings; No short term impacts</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	X	x	<ul> <li>The site location is within a Zone 1 Groundwater protection area</li> <li>There is evidence of potential land contamination through former use with railways and industrial history</li> <li>Mitigation – Groundwater assessment and development implications</li> <li>Mitigation – Contaminated land survey and remediation</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Not located in a flood risk area</li> <li>CHP could be a suitable sustainable option for size of site and the number of dwellings proposed</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of	0	0	0	Site is proposing to remove a current centre of employment

prosperity fairly 5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable	$\checkmark$	√	√	<ul> <li>Long term - Site has potential to support local services within the retail centre</li> <li>Royston is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>Site would provide affordable housing as it does adjoin other housing</li> </ul>
housing 5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Located parallel to train lines; potential generated noise effects</li> <li>Potential associated health effects from being in direct contact with train lines</li> </ul>
health inequalities				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Health assessment of railway</li> <li>Mitigation – Noise barriers</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Sewage works within area; restricted development until 2015; Need for redevelopment of Infrastructure before development can take place</li> <li>Large site indicated potential pressures on infrastructure</li> <li>Energy supplies need redevelopment</li> <li>Mitigation – Sustainable energy solutions</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES			•	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	V	V	V	<ul> <li>New housing in close proximity to the town centre (within 800m)</li> <li>Encouraging people to use local shops and town centre</li> <li>Could reduce commuting for employment in centre.</li> </ul>
Summary				
This is a residential developn Main constraints are related				with good public transport links and within 800m of the town centre. ter Source Protection Zone
Strengths	We	aknesse	es	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Potential for land remediation</li> <li>Site would provide affordable housing</li> <li>SUDs viable</li> <li>Within 800m of town centre</li> <li>Bus stop within 400n</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Loss loca emp</li> <li>Limi Gree pub</li> <li>Loca</li> </ul>	s of an ex l area of oloyment ited acce en space lic rights ated in undwate	<ul> <li>Land contamination assessment; remediation of land if needed</li> <li>Green Space mapping and designation</li> <li>Noise barriers</li> <li>SUDS</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Train station within 800m</li> </ul>	<ul><li>protection Zone 1</li><li>Evidence of land contamination</li></ul>	
	•	

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
	leim	term	leim	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	■ n/a		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	√	V	Brownfield site		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Site is located across the road from green space (within 400m)		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is close to the town centre (within 800m)</li> <li>Site is within 400 m of a bus stop</li> </ul>		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is not a designated ecological site, however it is vegetated and contains mature trees</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey, tree survey</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	■ n/a		
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	X	x	<ul> <li>Sited in a conservation area, and in the garden of listed buildings</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure that development does not impact upon the character or setting of the conservation area, e.g. building materials, style, height.</li> </ul>		

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	V	<ul> <li>No evidence of site contamination</li> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>Not located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1 or 2 (is in 4)</li> </ul>	
CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Not on a north facing slope</li> <li>Not at risk of flooding.</li> <li>Potential for SUDS</li> <li>Mitigation - SUDS</li> </ul>	
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Not likely to have a large impact on community cohesion – small development of 5 residences</li> </ul>	
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Housing provision within Royston, but only 5 residences</li> </ul>	
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Small development in existing residential area</li> </ul>	
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>May be problems with utilities, capacity limited to additional 150 dwellings up to 2015. This is a small development but there would be cumulative effects if all other residential developments go ahead.</li> <li>Mitigation – Investigate sewage capacity on site specific basis and possible reinforcement of energy supply</li> </ul>	
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Housing within 800 m of town centre thus helping to promote sustainable urban living.</li> </ul>	
Summary         Small residential development close to centre of Royston – good use of a vacant brownfield site. Possible cumulative constraints on utilities.         Strengths       Weaknesses       Potential mitigation					
StrengthsWeaknessesHousing within 800m of town centreMay be problems with utilities, capacitySite is next to a bus stop, so well within 400 mImited to additional 150 dwellings up to 2015				acity possible reinforcement of energy supply dditional • SUDS	

: : : :	Brownfield site Good access to green spaces SUDS possible Not on north facing slope No evidence of site contamination Site does not border a watercourse Not located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1 or 2 (is in 4)	<ul> <li>Sited in a conservarea, and in the garden of listed buildings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure that development does not impact upon the character or setting of the conservation area, e.g. building materials, style, height.</li> </ul>
------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Sandon

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Greenfield) S/r3						
Site Reference and Locati	on: Lanc	l north o	f Payne E	End, Sandon		
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	• The site is likely to support the existing services within the village		
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	MENT PA	TTERNS	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The land is designated as Agricultural grade 3 (a or b?)</li> <li>The site location is defined as Greenfield</li> <li>The current land use is a paddock currently used to keep horses</li> </ul>		

2(b) Provide access to green spaces 2 (c) Deliver more	√ 0	√?	√?	<ul> <li>The site is located near several bridleways and footpaths within the village</li> <li>These public rights of way lead to large expanses of open green land</li> <li>Cumulative effects – Long term regarding proposed Gypsy site located on open space near to Residential site</li> <li>Potential loss of extensive Greenfield areas within one village</li> <li>Mitigation – Greenfield mapping and site designation</li> <li>Housing study indicates a regular bus service within the village itself and</li> </ul>
sustainable location	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	site is within 400m of bus stop
patterns and reduce the				People likely to commute and use personal transport
use of motor vehicles				Mitigation – Improve public transport
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		ī	1	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is not located within an area of ecological or environmental sensitivity, however, building on a greenfield site is unlikely to improve biodiversity</li> <li>Long term cumulative impacts regarding combined effects from proposed Gypsy site and residential area; potential to cause impacts to surrounding habitats</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey; tree survey</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	X	X	X	<ul> <li>LCA describes plateau landform and predominantly arable use – development may have a detrimental impact on this rural character</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	X	x	X	<ul> <li>In a conservation area</li> <li>In an area of archaeological impact</li> <li>There are numerous listed buildings located near to the proposed site</li> <li>Long term potential to impact upon these areas</li> <li>Mitigation – Archaeological surveys</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	?	?	?	<ul> <li>No information regarding Groundwater Source Protection Zones for this village</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding</li> <li>The site may be unsuitable for a SUDS scheme according to SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site could contribute to supporting existing rural services within the village</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V	The proposed number of dwellings would provide affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No identified constraints in the village
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.a
Summary				

Residential development on a greenfield site in a conservation area with archaeological interest; clashes with several criteria, notably 2b, 3a, 3b and 3c.

If gypsy site was to go ahead there would be cumulative impacts concerning the population growth.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Potential to support existing rural services</li> <li>Would provide affordable housing</li> <li>Potential to support existing rural services</li> <li>Regular bus service, school and post office in village</li> <li>Easy access to Green Spaces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In archaeological area</li> <li>In conservation area</li> <li>Potential ecological losses</li> <li>LCA describes plateau landform and predominantly arable use - development may have a detrimental impact on this rural character</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improve public transport</li> <li>Conservation and Archaeological surveys</li> <li>Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> <li>Ecological survey</li> <li>Tree survey</li> </ul>

## Therfield

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Unknown) Site Reference and Location: T/r1 Nine Elms (adj Windrush Barn), Police Row, Therfield						
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed site has potential to support existing rural services.</li> <li>Due to the lack of services within the village, there is potential to encourage new businesses to the area</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	1		1			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not specified as being Brownfield or Greenfield</li> <li>The land type is defined as a derelict garden space with a derelict house</li> <li>There is evidence of trees present on the site</li> <li>Mitigation – Tree surveys; need to incorporate as many trees in to the design as possible</li> <li>Mitigation – Greenfield or Brownfield definition assessment</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There are numerous footpaths surrounding the site location</li> <li>The rights of way lead to several expanses of Green space area (within 400m)</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>There is no regular bus service within the village itself; this reduces the available transport to the surrounding town of Royston – bus stop within 400m</li> <li>No station within 800m</li> <li>Likely to contribute to car usage</li> <li>Mitigation – Sustainable transport strategy; improve bus service links primarily</li> </ul>		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The site is currently a derelict garden area (scrubland) with a derelict house situated on it</li> <li>There is evidence of trees and some basic vegetation acting as a temporary boundary for the site</li> <li>Potential for development to disrupt habitats within garden area.</li> <li>Mitigation – Ecological surveys</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>LCA notes that local visual impact on northern edge caused by water towers and telecommunications masts.</li> </ul>		

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is located within an archaeological area</li> <li>The site is located within a conservation area</li> <li>There are numerous listed buildings located around the site itself.</li> <li>Mitigation - To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Conduct archaeological surveys on the site prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development adheres to the local context i.e. size, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from	?	?	?	Lacking information regarding Groundwater Source Protection Zones
any source				
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	√?	√?	√?	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding</li> <li>Mitigation - The site needs to be assessed in order to see if CHP can be implemented</li> <li>SUDs : unsuitable Drift/Suitable Solid</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site has potential to support existing services within the rural area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	~	Access to `decent' affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	Limited information regarding the site constraints
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.a
				and not a regular bus service.
Strengths	We	eakness	es	Potential mitigation

<ul> <li>Potential to support existing rural services</li> <li>Will provide affordable housing</li> <li>Green space within 400m</li> <li>LCA notes that local visual impact on northern edge caused by water towers and telecommunications masts.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No regular bus service. Village location is likely to result in commuting for work and to access services</li> <li>Located within an archaeological area</li> <li>Located within a conservation area</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree surveys; need to incorporate as many trees in to the design as possible</li> <li>Greenfield or Brownfield definition assessment</li> <li>Sustainable transport strategy; improve bus service links primarily</li> <li>Ecological and environmental surveys</li> <li>To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites</li> <li>Ensure the development adheres to the local context i.e. size, design etc</li> <li>The site needs to be assessed in order to see if CHP can be implemented</li> <li>SUDS may be viable</li> </ul>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Unknown)						
				Tussocks, The Causeway, Therfield		
	What i predict		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The proposed site has potential to support existing rural services.</li> <li>Due to the lack of services within the village, there is potential to encourage new businesses to the area. No food shop or post office in the village</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	5			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not specified as being Brownfield or Greenfield</li> <li>The land type is defined as a Greenspace</li> <li>There is evidence of lots of trees present on the site</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree surveys; need to incorporate as many trees in to the design as possible</li> <li>Mitigation - Greenfield or Brownfield definition assessment</li> </ul>		

<ul> <li>2(b) Provide access to green spaces</li> <li>2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles</li> </ul>	x	x	x	<ul> <li>There are numerous footpaths surrounding the site location</li> <li>The rights of way lead to several expanses of Green space area (within 400m)</li> <li>Long term development of a current Green Space is reducing accessibility to these areas</li> <li>There is no regular bus service within the village itself; this reduces the available transport to the surrounding town of Royston</li> <li>Bus stop within 400m</li> <li>No station within 800m</li> <li>Likely to contribute to car usage</li> <li>Mitigation – Sustainable transport strategy; improve bus service links primarily</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION		<u> </u>	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is currently Greenspace area</li> <li>There is evidence of trees and large grass areas on the site</li> <li>Potential for development to disrupt habitats within the area</li> <li>There is a pond sited next to the development</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>LCA notes that local visual impact on northern edge caused by water towers and telecommunications masts.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is situated within an archaeological area</li> <li>The site is situated within a conservation area</li> <li>There are numerous listed buildings located around the site itself.</li> <li>Mitigation - To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Conduct archaeological surveys on the site prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development adheres to the local context i.e. size, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from	?	?	?	No info on Groundwater Source Protection Zones in this area
any source CLIMATE CHANGE			L	•
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change A JUST SOCIETY	√?	√?	√?	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding</li> <li>Mitigation - The site needs to be assessed in order to see if CHP can be implemented</li> <li>SUDs : unsuitable Drift/Suitable Solid</li> </ul>

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site has potential to support existing services within the rural area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	X	x	<ul> <li>The proposed number of dwellings is 5, unlikely to increase access to low cost housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Limited information regarding the site constraints</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.a
Summary				

Residential development in a rural village with poor transport links and few local services.

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
<ul> <li>Support for existing rural services</li> <li>Within 400 m of green space</li> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>LCA notes that local visual impact on northern edge caused by water towers and telecommunications masts.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No regular bus service. Village location is likely to result in commuting for work and to access services</li> <li>Area of low landscape capacity and high sensitivity</li> <li>Located within an archaeological area</li> <li>Located within a conservation area</li> <li>Not large enough to support affordable housing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tree surveys; need to incorporate as many trees in to the design as possible; assess for tree protection orders</li> <li>Greenfield or Brownfield definition assessment</li> <li>Sustainable transport strategy; improve bus service links primarily</li> <li>Ecological and environmental surveys</li> <li>To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites</li> <li>Conduct archaeological surveys on the site prior to development</li> <li>Ensure the development adheres to the local context i.e. size, design etc</li> <li>The site needs to be assessed in order to see if CHP can be implemented</li> <li>SUDS may be viable</li> </ul>

## St Paul's Walden

Type of Site and Number: Residential (Greenfield) WH/r02 Site Reference and Location: Land south of High Street, Whitwell (St Pauls Walden Parish)						
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b>	V	<b>√</b>	The size of the proposed development could contribute to the improvement of the local economy and support of the existing services		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	ATTERN	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Greenfield site</li> <li>The land is classified as Agricultural of Grade 3 quality (a or b?)</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located within close proximity to areas of Green space</li> <li>Several existing public rights of way uses the site for access to Green spaces</li> <li>The existing use of the site is gardens and tennis area</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>The village has a regular bus service in operation</li> <li>Village location suggests people will commute out to work contributing to commuting</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve public transport</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not on a site of ecological sensitivity, however, building on a greenfield site is unlikely to improve biodiversity</li> <li>There are numerous dense hedgerows present; need to survey potential habitats within</li> <li>Mitigation – Ecological surveys of site and surrounding</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Landscape character includes steep sided valley and a dominance of agricultural land. This development could impact upon the rural nature of this village</li> </ul>		

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	• The • Miti	e site is adjacent to a conservation area are are numerous listed buildings near to the site gation – ensure development does not impact upon the setting of the servation area
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	?	?	?		information on Groundwater Source Protection Zone in this village contaminated
CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	• The Via • The	at risk from flooding site appears to be suitable for SUDS scheme from the SUDS bility Map site has potential to support a CHP scheme gation – SUDS and CHP
A JUST SOCIETY		<b>1</b> -	-	1	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	• The	e village of Whitwell is identified as having good services large size of the development is likely to support existing services; ential to encourage new ones to the area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	√	$\checkmark$		size of the development and the number of proposed dwellings ports affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	nur • The	initial construction phase of the development could provide nerous impacts on the village adjacent development proposal combined would be likely to nerate large amounts of construction noise
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	.Е				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	• No	identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• N.a	
Summary					
					ng rural services and potential improvement within the Whitwell ent such as Greenfield construction and development of agricultural
Strengths	W	Weaknesses			Potential mitigation
Site would contribute     to existing local		Residents are likely to commute to work by			<ul> <li>Improve public transport</li> <li>Ecological surveys of site and surrounding</li> </ul>

services and support the local rural economy Bus stop within 400m of the site Site viable for SUDS scheme CHP Provides access to affordable housing	<ul> <li>car, despite the existence of a bus service</li> <li>Large scale housing plot could pressure local road networks from generated car usage</li> <li>Two large scale housing plots likely to contribute to existing and future noise and congestion; social impacts for local residents</li> <li>Loss of informal and formal recreation areas</li> <li>The site is adjacent to a conservation area</li> <li>Landscape character includes steep sided valley and a dominance of agricultural land. This development could impact upon the rural nature of this village</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure development does not impact upon the setting of the conservation area</li> <li>SUDS</li> <li>CHP</li> </ul>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Sites appraised 2009 and 2013 (site numbers = digits only)

### Ashwell

Type of Site and Number: 1 Residential					
Site Reference and Location: 07/0852 Ashwell (Land east of Ashridge farm)					
What is the	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				
predicted effect on					

	each SA objective?		tive?			
SA Objectives	Short		Long			
	term	term	term			
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This site is located within walking distance to local services.</li> <li>Development could help to encourage new businesses to start up in the area, improving the quality of local jobs available in the District.</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This area is a greenfield site. A paddock bordered via hedgerows.</li> <li>The land is agricultural land grade 3.</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul><li>There is green sp</li><li>ace located nearby linked via public rights of way.</li></ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	<b>x/√</b>	<b>x/√</b>	x	<ul> <li>This site is within walking distance to the town centre for fit, abled people. The elderly and disabled will require private transport in the form of taxis or private cars.</li> <li>There is a school within walking distance and a recreational ground.</li> <li>Transport and Utilities Constraints study outlines there is no regular bus service running into the town centre or to the train station. The train station is not within easy walking distance.</li> <li>Due to poor bus links it is more than likely that private transport will be used to and this will create congestion.</li> <li>The train station is 1km outside of the village centre. However does have quick links to Cambridge and London King's Cross. Which may suggest this town will predominantly become a commuter town.</li> <li>There is no bus service to the station, private transport will be the prominent form of transport</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport links</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION						
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	́?/ ∿	<ul> <li>There are no wildlife sites identified within the area.</li> <li>The site is a greenfield site. A paddock bordered via hedgerows. The hedgerow is of higher biodiversity significance than the paddock</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that the development retains and enhances the hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>		

3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Steeple Mordon</li> <li>Landscape Conservation area Landscape character consists of Medium to large arable fields.</li> <li>Landscape is common and impact of built development is moderate</li> <li>This site falls within an area of archaeological Interest</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an archaeological assessment</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure sensitive design and that the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	√	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>This site is not situated within a source protection zone however is located within a ground water vulnerable zone</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> <li>Mitigation - ensure development does not pollute ground water</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			I	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Residential site will create an increase in private transport due to the lack of public transport links. Therefore greenhouse gas emissions are likely to increase</li> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS unclear</li> <li>Mitigation - Investigate potential for SUDS</li> <li>Improve public transport links</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY			1	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This village is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>The site is likely to support local services within the village</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This site provides access to affordable and decent housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health</li> <li>May create noise impact during construction</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WAS	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	No constraints identified
TOWN CENTRES			-	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Easy access to public rights of way.

Summary		
Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
This site is likely to support local services and provide affordable housing.	This site is a greenfield site and is graded 3 agricultural land, surrounded by established hedgerows	Ensure hedgerows are retained and enhanced within the development perimeter. Undertake an archaeological assessment.
This site contains no wildlife designations and the area is not of high biodiversity importance	This site falls within an area of archaeological interest	Ensure sensitive design and that the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials
This site does not border a water course. The site is within walking distance of the town centre, school, recreational ground, green spaces and local amenities.	This site is within a ground water vulnerable zone. There is no efficient bus service and the station is located out of town. This will increase the use of private transport and the resultant greenhouse gas emissions.	Ensure no pollution of ground water. Improve public transport links for villagers. Encourage cycle storage facilities, and other sustainable forms of transport.

Type of Site and Numbe Site Reference and Loca	tion: 07/		1		
r/o 67 Station Road Ash	What i predic	s the ted effe A objec		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	<ul> <li>ECONOMIC ACTIVITY</li> <li>This site is located within walking distance to local services.</li> <li>Only 3 residential units, therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on local businesses</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS					

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>This area is a brownfield site. Residential garden, bordered by hedgerows.</li> <li>The land is agricultural land grade 2.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\sqrt{}$	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby, and is linked via a public right of way</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	<b>X</b> /√	<b>X</b> /√	<b>X</b> /√	<ul> <li>This site is within walking distance to the town centre for fit-abled people. The elderly and disabled will require private transport in the form of taxis or private cars.</li> <li>There is a school within walking distance and a recreational ground.</li> <li>Transport and Utilities Constraints study outlines there is no regular bus service within the village itself, it is more than likely that private transport will be used and this will create congestion.</li> <li>The train station is 1km outside of the village centre. However does have quick links to Cambridge and London King's Cross. Which suggests this town will predominantly become a commuter town.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport access</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>The site is a brownfield residential garden bordered via hedgerows.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure an ecological assessment is undertaken, ensure the hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Steeple Mordon</li> <li>Landscape Conservation area Landscape character consists of Medium to large arable fields.</li> <li>Landscape is common and impact of built development is moderate</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site borders an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake archaeological assessment</li> <li>Ensure development is sensitive to local area</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>Site is not located within a source protection zone.</li> <li>Site is within a groundwater vulnerable zone</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> <li>Ensure ground water</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	X	X	x	<ul> <li>Residential site will create an increase in private transport due to the lack of public transport links. Therefore greenhouse gas emissions are likely to increase</li> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS unclear</li> </ul>

				• Mit	tigation – Investigate potential for SUDS
					prove public transport links
A JUST SOCIETY				1 1 111	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		is village is not identified as a deprived area e site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	• No	comment – development to small
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0		e site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health y create noise impact during construction
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ГЕ				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• No	constraints identified
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• Ea:	sy access to public rights of way.
Summary		-			
Strengths	We	eaknesse	es		Potential mitigation
This site contains no wildlife designations and the area is not of high biodiversity importance	lan gar	Graded as grade 2 agricultural land, though it is in fact a garden.		fact a	Ensure no pollution of ground water. Improve public transport links for villagers. Encourage cycle storage facilities, and other sustainable forms of transport.
This site does not border a water course.		This site is within a ground water vulnerable zone.			Undertake an archaeological survey
The site is within walking distance of the town centre, school, recreational ground, green spaces and local amenities.	ser loca inc tra gre	There is no efficient bus service and the station is located out of town. This will increase the use of private transport and the resultant greenhouse gas emissions. Site borders an area of			Mitigation – Ensure sensitive design and that the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials

archaeological in	terest
-------------------	--------

Type of Site and Number:	Type of Site and Number: 5 Residential				
Site Reference and Location: 08/3690/1					
Land adjacent site 7: Gree					
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long		
	term	term	term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This site is located within walking distance to local services.</li> <li>Development could help to encourage new businesses to start up in the area, improving the quality of local jobs available in the District.</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This area is a brownfield site. Residential garden bordered by residential and agricultural</li> <li>This site would reduce the need for greenfield sites to come forward for development</li> <li>Land is grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	There is green space located nearby.	

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	<b>X</b> /√	<b>X</b> /√	<b>X/√</b>	<ul> <li>This site is within walking distance to the town centre for fit-abled people.</li> <li>There is a school within walking distance and a recreational ground.</li> <li>Transport and Utilities Constraints study outlines there is no regular bus service within the village itself</li> <li>Due to poor bus links within the town centre, it is more than likely that private transport will be used and this will create congestion.</li> <li>There is no regular bus service running to the train station, and the train station is not within easy walking distance.</li> <li>The train station is 1km outside of the village centre. However does have quick links to Cambridge and London King's Cross. Which suggests this town will predominantly become a commuter town.</li> <li>The elderly and disabled will require private transport in the form of taxis or private cars.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport access</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is a brownfield site however would require an ecological assessment due to established trees and plants within garden,</li> <li>There are no wildlife sites within this area.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment. Retain trees and some plant life within site boundaries</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Steeple Mordon</li> <li>Landscape conservation area - landscape character consists of medium to large arable fields.</li> <li>Landscape is common and impact of built development is moderate</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site is bordering conservation area</li> <li>Mitigation - ensure sensitive construction measures are in place so no damage is created to conservation areas</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	0	0	0	<ul><li>This site does not border a watercourse.</li><li>This site is not contaminated, there is no landfill site within 250m</li></ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS unclear</li> <li>Mitigation - Investigate potential for SUDS</li> <li>Residential site will create an increase in private transport due to the lack of public transport links. Therefore road congestion is likely to increase.</li> <li>Mitigation improve public transport facilities</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	~	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$		This village is not identified as a deprived area The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	•	Site is too small to provide this
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		The site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health May create noise impact during construction
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b> 6(a) Use natural resources		0	0	•	No constraints identified
efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible					
TOWN CENTRES	<u>.</u>				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	•	Easy access to public rights of way
Summary	L			•	
Strengths	W	eaknes	ses		Potential mitigation
This site is likely to support local services.		e site bor nservatio			Ensure sensitive construction measures are in place so no damage is created to adjacent conservation areas.
This is a brownfield site This site contains no wildlife designations	sei	ere is no rvice and ated out	the stati	ion is	Encourage more sustainable forms of transport, public transport, cycle facilities areas for battery powered
This site does not border a water course and is not locat				sultant	Undertake an ecological assessment. Ensure development includes measures to enhance ecology of the area.
within a source protection zone.	icu gr	greenhouse gas emissions			Ensure that the development retains and enhances the hedgerows around the perimeter.
This site is not near or does not contain historical monuments.					
The site is within walking distance of the town centre,					

school, recreational ground,	
green spaces and local	
amenities	

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Loc	ation:	07/08	52 Land	l south of 120 - Station Road Ashwell
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
	term	term	leim	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	√	<ul> <li>This site is located within walking distance to local services.</li> <li>Development could help to encourage new businesses to start up in the area, improving the quality of local jobs available in the District.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site. agricultural land bordered on one side by trees and hedgerows</li> <li>The land is agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	There is green space located nearby.

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	×/√	×/√	×/√	<ul> <li>This site is within walking distance to the town centre for fit and abled people</li> <li>There is a school within walking distance and a recreational ground.</li> <li>The elderly and disabled will require private transport in the form of taxis or private cars.</li> <li>Transport and Utilities Constraints study outlines indicates there is not a regular bus service running into the town centre or to the train station; the train station is not within easy walking distance.</li> <li>Due to poor bus links it is more than likely that private transport will be used to and this will create congestion.</li> <li>There is a narrow access lane for the development potential residents.</li> <li>The train station is 1km outside of the village centre. However does have quick links to Cambridge and London King's Cross. This suggests that this town will predominantly become a commuter town.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport access</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	×/√	×/√	×/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site. There are no designated wildlife sites and the area is stated to be of low ecological interest. However established hedgerows and trees border this site which increases the biodiversity potential.</li> <li>Development of this site is unlikely to protect or enhance biodiversity</li> <li>Mitigation – Undertake an ecological assessment and ensure established hedgerows and trees are kept or more are planted as boundaries to the development</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site falls within the landscape Character Area of North Baldock Chalk Uplands</li> <li>Area is a landscape conservation area -landscape character consists of medium to large arable fields.</li> <li>Landscape is common and impact of built development is moderate</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	×	X	x	<ul> <li>Site falls within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Mitigation – Undertake an archaeological assessment</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure that the development is sensitive and is in keeping with the local area and causes no damage to adjoining conservation areas.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source CLIMATE CHANGE	0	0	0	<ul> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>This site is not contaminated, there is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate	x	x	×	<ul> <li>Residential site will create an increase in private transport due to the lack of public transport links. Therefore road congestion is likely to increase.</li> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> </ul>

change				• Su	itability for SUDS unclear
				• Mi	tigation – Investigate potential for SUDS
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		is village is not identified as a deprived area e site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	• Th	is site potentially provides access to affordable and decent housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		e site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health ay create noise impact during construction.
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ГЕ	-		-	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• No	o constraints identified
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	0	0	0	• Ea	sy access to public rights of way
Summary				•	
Strengths	We	eaknes	ses		Potential mitigation
This site is likely to support local services and provide affordable housing.	This	This site is a greenfield site and is agricultural land grade			Encourage more sustainable forms of transport. Improve the bus services within this town. Undertake an ecological assessment and ensure hedgerows and
This site contains no wildlife designations	ser loca	There is no efficient bus service and the station is located out of town. This will			trees are kept as borders to the site. Undertake an archaeological assessment
This site does not border a water course.	trar	increase the use of private transport, increasing greenhouse gas emissions			Ensure that the development is sensitive and is in keeping with the local area and causes no damage to adjoining conservation areas.
The site is within walking distance of the town centre, school, recreational ground, green spaces and local amenities.			thin an a cal intere		

## Baldock

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Loo	cation: 07/0901, Land What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		edicted	r/o 1-23, Letchworth Road, Baldock Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS					
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul><li>The site is currently a private garden.</li><li>No contamination identified.</li></ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	green spaces located within 400m	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre (within 400m)</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m</li> <li>Mitigation – Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>	
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION		•		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is a brownfield site</li> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>The site is a garden, so development is likely to result in some loss of habitat.</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>	
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Baldock gap</li> <li>Site contains grasslands – may include species which are notably rare (uncommon habitat)</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake survey of site.</li> </ul>	

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls outside of the town's conservation area.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	×	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> <li>limited capacity of the Sewage Treatment Works</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		1		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located near the A1(M) which may affect health and quality of life.</li> <li>Mitigation - Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WAS	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	<u>х</u>	x	x	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies potential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education.</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> <li>The area has adjoining sewerage facilities with Letchworth</li> <li>Due to the potential size of the development, the chances of increased pressure on services are more likely</li> <li>Potential incapacity to cope with increased dwelling development pressures</li> <li>Road networks face initial constraints; limited additional development in order to ease pressures</li> <li>Energy provisions and services need reinforcing</li> <li>Education constraints in local schools; need expansion to cope with more students/staff</li> </ul>

				• Mi	tigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
TOWN CENTRES			•		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	V	V	V	lev • Ba ho • Lo	cated in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting vels to employment sectors. aldock has least visited centre according to Town and Retail study; busing could benefit this iccation provides good access to services accourage people to live in town centre areas, good access to main ea
Summary					
Strengths	Wea	Weaknesses			Potential mitigation
Not in conservation or archaeological areas		Site is in close proximity to A1(M)			Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).
Suitable for SUDS		The site is located in Groundwater Source Protection			Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders
Within 400m of green space	Zone	Zone 1			Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
Within 400m of bus stop				; sewage,	SUDS
May provide affordable housing	Furtl	energy and education. Further than 800m from the			Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter - incorporate as much
The site is not designated as an important ecological site	stati	on			vegetation as possible and re-use garden in to design

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Lo			7/1 La	nd r/o 1-5, Letchworth Road, Baldock
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long	
	term	term	term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOR	PMENT PA	<b>TTERNS</b>		

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is currently a private garden.</li> <li>No contamination identified.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	green spaces located within 400m
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre (within 400m)</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m</li> <li>Mitigation – Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is a brownfield site</li> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>The site is a garden, so development is likely to result in some loss of habitat.</li> <li>Site photos show some trees and hedgerows are present</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Baldock gap</li> <li>Site contains grasslands – may include species which are notably rare (uncommon habitat)</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake survey of site.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls outside of the town's conservation area.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> <li>limited capacity of the Sewage Treatment Works</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area

prosperity fairly				
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	No impact -site too small
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located near the A1(M) which may affect health and quality of life.</li> <li>Mitigation - Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE		1	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies potential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education.</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> <li>The area has adjoining sewerage facilities with Letchworth</li> <li>Due to the potential size of the development, the chances of increased pressure on services are more likely</li> <li>Potential incapacity to cope with increased dwelling development pressures</li> <li>Road networks face initial constraints; limited additional development in order to ease pressures</li> <li>Energy provisions and services need reinforcing</li> <li>Education constraints in local schools; need expansion to cope with more students/staff</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Located in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting levels to employment sectors.</li> <li>Baldock has least visited centre according to Town and Retail study; housing could benefit this</li> <li>Location provides good access to services</li> <li>Encourage people to live in town centre areas, good access to main area</li> </ul>
Summary				
Strengths	Wea	knesse	s	Potential mitigation
Not in conservation or archaeological areas	Site A1(N		e proxim	
Suitable for SUDS	The	site is lo	cated in	Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders

Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1	Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
Constraints identified; sewage, energy and education.	SUDS
Further than 800m from the	Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter - incorporate as much vegetation as possible and re-use garden in to design
	Zone 1 Constraints identified; sewage, energy and education.

	effect o	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
	•			ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site is brownfield land</li> <li>The site is currently a private garden.</li> <li>No contamination identified.</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	green spaces located within 400m		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre (within 400m)</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m</li> <li>Mitigation – Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>		

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is a brownfield site</li> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>The site is a garden, so development is likely to result in some loss of habitat.</li> <li>Site photos show some trees and hedgerows are present</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Baldock gap</li> <li>Site contains grasslands - may include species which are notably rare (uncommon habitat)</li> <li>Mitigation - undertake survey of site.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls outside of the town's conservation area.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	×	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> <li>limited capacity of the Sewage Treatment Works</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	Site is too small
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located near the A1(M) which may affect health and quality of life.</li> <li>Mitigation - Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies potential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education.</li> </ul>

recycled where possible			Th     Du     pr     Po     pr     Ro     in     En     Ed     mo     Mi	tigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity) e area has adjoining sewerage facilities with Letchworth he to the potential size of the development, the chances of increased essure on services are more likely tential incapacity to cope with increased dwelling development essures had networks face initial constraints; limited additional development order to ease pressures ergy provisions and services need reinforcing ucation constraints in local schools; need expansion to cope with ore students/staff tigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		cated in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting vels to employment sectors.
			• Ba	ldock has least visited centre according to Town and Retail study;
				using could benefit this cation provides good access to services
				courage people to live in town centre areas, good access to main
			are	
Summary				
Strengths	Weakness	ses		Potential mitigation
Not in conservation or archaeological areas	Site is in c A1(M)	lose proxim	nity to	Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).
Suitable for SUDS	The site is Groundwat		Protection	Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders
Within 400m of green space	Zone 1	Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1		Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
Within 400m of bus stop	Constraints energy and			SUDS
The site is not designated as	,			Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line
an important ecological site	Further that station	an 800m fro	om the	and hedgerows around the perimeter - incorporate as much vegetation as possible and re-use garden in to design

## Type of Site and Number: 12 Residential Site Reference and Location: 07/1012, Land North of George IV PH, London Road, Baldock

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOPI	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS						
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	×	<ul> <li>This site is greenfield land</li> <li>No contamination identified.</li> <li>Land is a paddock</li> </ul>			
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	green spaces located within 400m			
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	~	V	V	<ul> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre (within 400m)</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m</li> <li>Mitigation - Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION			· · · ·			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>The site is a paddock, so development is likely to result in some loss of habitat.</li> <li>Site photos show established trees and hedgerows border the site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>			
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Baldock gap</li> <li>Site contains grasslands - may include species which are notably rare (uncommon habitat)</li> <li>Mitigation - undertake survey of site.</li> </ul>			
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls outside of the town's conservation area.</li> </ul>			
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> <li>limited capacity of the Sewage Treatment Works</li> </ul>			

CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	√	V	V	<ul> <li>Not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	<u>,                                     </u>	,		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	√	V	V	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E	•		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies potential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education.</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> <li>The area has adjoining sewerage facilities with Letchworth</li> <li>Due to the potential size of the development, the chances of increased pressure on services are more likely</li> <li>Potential incapacity to cope with increased dwelling development pressures</li> <li>Road networks face initial constraints; limited additional development in order to ease pressures</li> <li>Energy provisions and services need reinforcing</li> <li>Education constraints in local schools; need expansion to cope with more students/staff</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> </ul>
7 Promote sustainable	2/	√	$\checkmark$	Located in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting
urban living	v	v	v	<ul> <li>Elocated in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting levels to employment sectors.</li> <li>Baldock has least visited centre according to Town and Retail study; housing could benefit this</li> <li>Location provides good access to services</li> </ul>
Summary				
Strengths	Wea	knesses	5	Potential mitigation

Not in conservation or archaeological areas	Established trees and hedgerows surrounding site	Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders
Suitable for SUDS	greenfield site	Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
		SUDS
Within 400m of green space	The site is located in Source	
	Protection Zone 1	Incorporate as much vegetation as possible and re-use garden in to
Within 400m of bus stop		design
	Constraints identified; sewage,	
May provide affordable	energy and education.	Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line
housing		and hedgerows around the perimeter.
	Further than 800m from the	
The site is not designated as	station	
an important ecological site		

	What is effect o objectiv			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is a greenfield site</li> <li>Land is agricultural land grade 3.</li> <li>No contamination identified.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Public rights of way bordering site</li> <li>green spaces located within 400m</li> <li>Reducing green space available for residents living nearby</li> </ul>

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles <b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	1	√   2(-/	√   2(-(	<ul> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre (within 400m)</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m</li> <li>Mitigation – Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is a greenfield agricultural site</li> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>Established trees and hedgerows border the site and split the site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Baldock gap – large arable fields, with sparse woodland cover</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake survey of site.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls outside of the conservation area.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	X	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Not at risk of flooding</li> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	•			
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	√	V	V	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE		T	
6(a) Use natural resources	x	х	x	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies</li> </ul>

efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible				<ul> <li>Mi</li> <li>Th</li> <li>Du</li> <li>pr</li> <li>Po</li> <li>pr</li> <li>Rc</li> <li>in</li> <li>En</li> <li>Ed</li> <li>mt</li> </ul>	tential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education. tigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity) he area has adjoining sewerage facilities with Letchworth ue to the potential size of the development, the chances of increased essure on services are more likely tential incapacity to cope with increased dwelling development essures bad networks face initial constraints; limited additional development order to ease pressures hergy provisions and services need reinforcing lucation constraints in local schools; need expansion to cope with ore students/staff tigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
7 Promote sustainable urban living	V	V	V	lev • Ba ho • Lo	cated in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting vels to employment sectors. Iddock has least visited centre according to Town and Retail study; pusing could benefit this cation provides good access to services accourage people to live in town centre areas, good access to main ea
Summary					
Strengths		knesses			Potential mitigation
Not in conservation or archaeological areas Suitable for SUDS Within 400m of green space Public rights of way borders the site Within 400m of bus stop	Site land trees The Grou Zone Cons	Site is greenfield land Site is classified as agricultural land grade 3, with established trees and hedgerows. The site is located in Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1 Constraints identified; sewage, energy and education.			Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter - incorporate as much vegetation as possible and re-use garden in to design. Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity) SUDS
May provide affordable housing The site is not designated as an important ecological site	stati	Further than 800m from the station			

Type of Site and Number: 15 Residential Site Reference and Location: 08/2172, Land North of Willian Road, Baldock What is the predicted Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures					
		on each		Justification for assessment and any initigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
		-		ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	T		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	×	<ul> <li>The site is a greenfield site</li> <li>Land is agricultural land grade 3.</li> <li>No contamination identified.</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Public rights of way bordering site</li> <li>green spaces located within 400m</li> <li>Reducing green space available for residents living nearby</li> </ul>	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre (within 400m)</li> <li>Train station is located further than 800m</li> <li>Mitigation – Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>	
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is a greenfield agricultural site</li> <li>The site is not designated as an important ecological site</li> <li>Established trees and hedgerows border the site and split the site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>	
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Baldock gap – large arable fields, with sparse woodland cover</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake survey of site.</li> </ul>	
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls outside of the conservation area.</li> </ul>	

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	×	×	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE           4(a) Reduce greenhouse	√	√	√	Not at risk of flooding
gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change				<ul> <li>The site is situated in an area that is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		•		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located near the A1(M) which may affect health and quality of life.</li> </ul>
good health and reduce health inequalities				<ul> <li>Mitigation - Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WAS	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	xx	xx	xx	<ul> <li>The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper identifies potential problems with Sewage, Energy and Education.</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> <li>The area has adjoining sewerage facilities with Letchworth</li> <li>Due to the potential size of the development, the chances of increased pressure on services are more likely</li> <li>Potential incapacity to cope with increased dwelling development pressures</li> <li>Road networks face initial constraints; limited additional development in order to ease pressures</li> <li>Energy provisions and services need reinforcing</li> <li>Education constraints in local schools; need expansion to cope with more students/staff</li> <li>Mitigation – Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES 7 Promote sustainable	-/	_/		Located in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting
urban living	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Located in close proximity to town centre, could lower commuting levels to employment sectors.</li> <li>Baldock has least visited centre according to Town and Retail study;</li> </ul>

			• Lo	busing could benefit this location provides good access to services locourage people to live in town centre areas, good access to main ea
Summary Strengths	Weaknesse	5		Potential mitigation
Not in conservation or archaeological areas	Site is locate which may af quality of life	d near th fect hea		Site design and landscaping to mitigate noise, vibration from A1(M).
Suitable for SUDS	Site is greenfield land			Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter - incorporate as much
Within 400m of green space Public rights of way borders	Site is classified as agricultural land grade 3, with established			vegetation as possible and re-use garden in to design. Tree surveys; potential Tree protection orders
the site	trees and hee			Utilities assessment (gas, electric and sewerage capacity)
Within 400m of bus stop	The site is loo Groundwater		Protection	SUDS
May provide affordable housing	Zone 1			
The site is not designated as an important ecological site	Constraints in energy and e			
	Further than station	800m fro	om the	

## Barkway

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Lo			5/1, La	ind East of Burrs Lane, Barkway
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	

				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The proposed development has potential to support local services     within the village
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	IENT PA	TERNS	1	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on greenfield land</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>This site is bordered by public rights of way</li> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Housing Development Study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area (accessible within 400m) however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services and improve local public transport</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	1	1	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>This site is not ecologically sensitive</li> <li>Site is bordered by paths and tracks. However an established tree line and hedgerow surrounds this site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter. (Undertake a tree survey)</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barkway plateau. Gently rolling, arable land use. Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to conservation areas, ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>This site will not enhance the historic environment</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>

CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate	V	<b>√</b>	V		s not within a flood zone has all the main facilities within walking distance
change					
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The s	site development is likely to support local services within the
prosperity fairly		-	-	villag	
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	V		site proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide nt affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions	x	0	0	• The s	site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health
and services that engender		_	_		I construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance
good health and reduce					ts to local residents
health inequalities					
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>			•	T	
6(a) Use natural resources	0	0	0	Site	has no identified constraints
efficiently; reuse, use					
recycled where possible	_				
TOWN CENTRES 7 Promote sustainable				A	an ta muhlia vielta af unau
urban living	x	x	X		ss to public rights of way ted within a geographically remote area
urban nying					d encourage commuting to the surrounding towns
Summary				• Could	
Strengths	We	aknesse	5	6	Potential mitigation
Not at risk of flooding		site is a			mprove local public transport
Not at hok of hooding				agricultural	
Will provide affordable housin			grade E	-	
Close to public rights of way	The	cito is cit	w bater	: L	nsure that the development retains and enhances the tree
and green spaces	Grou	The site is situated within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.		Drotoction II	ne hedgerows around the perimeter. (Undertake a tree survey).
Regular bus service within village	2011				
Not in an area of archaeological interest					

Situated within 400m of green space	
Landscape is common Within the district	
No designated wildlife sites fall within this site	

Type of Site and Numbers Site Reference and Locat				tesidential st of Cambridge Road, Barkway			
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		dicted	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The proposed development has potential to support local services within the village			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS						
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on a greenfield area</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>			
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> </ul>			
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Housing Development Study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area (accessible within 400m) however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services and improve local public transport</li> </ul>			
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION						

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site. However site is bordered by woodland.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment. Ensure that woodland bordering is retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The site is not situated within a historic conservation area. Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building.</li> <li>The site borders Historic Parks and Gardens.</li> <li>Mitigation – To ensure the development does not impact on the Historic Parks and Gardens</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site development is likely to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide decent affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance effects to local residents</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WAS		1		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	There are no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES				

7 Promote sustainable	x	x	x	•	Located within a geographically remote area
urban living				•	Could encourage commuting to the surrounding towns
Summary					
Strengths	Wea	knesses	5		Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy Accessibility to green space and public rights of way Regular bus service within village	This class land The Grou Zone Peop rely	site is a sified as a undwater e 2. ole living on the us	greenfie grade 2 a cuated wi Source here are se of per	agricultur ithin a Protection : likely to sonal car	al       Undertake an ecological assessment.         al       To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites         n       Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design etc
Not in an area of archaeological interest Situated within 400m of green space Landscape is common Within the district No designated wildlife sites fall within this site	gard		iistoric p	arks and	

Type of Site and Numb Site Reference and L			est of C	Cambridge Road, Barkway
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Short Med Long		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The proposed development has potential to support local services

levels of prosperity and				within the village
economic growth				
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	IENT PA	TERNS		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on a greenfield area</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Public rights of way sites pass though this site</li> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The Housing Development Study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area (accessible within 400m) however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Site is agricultural land therefore biodiversity value is likely to be low.</li> <li>Land contains established trees and hedgerows which are likely to be high in biodiversity</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment and tree survey.</li> <li>Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barkway plateau. Gently rolling, arable land use. Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to conservation areas ancient monuments or listed building.</li> <li>Site adjacent to historic parks and gardens</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to historic parks and gardens.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>

CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate	$\checkmark$	V	V		not within a flood zone has all the main facilities within walking distance
change A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	√	√	The si	te development is likely to support local services within the
prosperity fairly	v	v	v	village	
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	The si	te proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide t affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial</li> </ul>	te is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance s to local residents
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	Έ	-			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• Site h	as no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	Locate	s to public rights of way ed within a geographically remote area encourage commuting to the surrounding towns
Summary					
Strengths		aknesse	-		otential mitigation
Not at risk of flooding Will provide affordable housin	clas	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land			evelop local facilities and services ndertake an ecological assessment and tree survey.
Close to public rights of way and green spaces	Grou	The site is situated within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.			sure that the development retains and enhances the tree line d hedgerows around the perimeter.
Regular bus service within village					
Not in an area of archaeological interest					

Situated within 400m of green space		
Landscape is common Within the district		
No designated wildlife sites fall within this site		

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
	•			ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	V	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services within the village</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	X	x	X	<ul> <li>The site is located on a greenfield area</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	<b>√</b>	V	<ul> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> <li>This site is bordered by public rights of way</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>There is evidence of a regular bus service, however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services and improve local public transport</li> </ul>		

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site.</li> <li>However site is contains established trees and hedgerows</li> <li>Site has high biodiversity potential</li> <li>Development unlikely to enhance biodiversity. Development is likely to lead to deterioration in the ecological value of this site.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment and a tree survey</li> <li>Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building.</li> <li>Historic environment would not be enhanced by development of this site</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site development is likely to support local services within the village</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>The site proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide decent affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>			1	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	There are no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES				

7 Promote sustainable	x	X	x	•	Located within a geographically remote area
urban living Summary				•	Could encourage commuting to the surrounding towns
Strengths	Wea	knesse	s		Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy Accessibility to green space and public rights of way Regular bus service within village Not in an area of archaeological interest Landscape is common Within the district No designated wildlife sites fall within this site	This s classi land The s Grou Zone Peopl rely c	site is a ified as site is sit ndwater 2. le living	greenfie grade 2 tuated w Source here are	agricultu	Undertake an ecological assessment. Undertake a tree survey Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced. Improve local public transport

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Lo			5/1, La	nd North of Nuthampstead Road, Barkway
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services within the village</li> </ul>

economic growth				
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	IENT PA	TERNS		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on a greenfield area</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> <li>This site is located near to a public rights of way</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>There is evidence of a regular bus service, however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services and improve local public transport</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	0/√	0/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site.</li> <li>However site is bordered by established trees and hedgerows and contains grasslands.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment and a tree survey</li> <li>Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building.</li> <li>Site borders a Historic conservation area.</li> <li>Site borders area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development does not impact on conservation sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Undertake an archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure sensitive design and that the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>Gas works is located to the North of the site</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>

CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	• Are	e is not within a flood zone ea has all the main facilities within walking distance s stop is within 400m
A JUST SOCIETY				-	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	villa	5
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V		e site proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide cent affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	• Init	e site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health cial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance ects to local residents
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WASTE</b>					
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• The	ere are no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x		ated within a geographically remote area uld encourage commuting to the surrounding towns
Summary				•	<u> </u>
Strengths	Wea	aknesses	5		Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and	clas	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land			Undertake an ecological assessment. Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.
supporting its local economy		The site is situated within a			Improve local public transport
Site will increase access to affordable housing		Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.			Ensure sensitive development and there is no impact on conservation sites
Accessibility to green space and public rights of way		People living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars			Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials
Regular bus service within village	Site	borders	conserva	ation area	Undertake an archaeological survey
_	Site	borders	an archa	eological	

Not in an area of archaeological interest	site	
Landscape is common Within the district		
No designated wildlife sites fall within this site		

Type of Site and Number: 22 Residential Site Reference and Location: 07/0853, Land East of Royston Road, Barkway						
	effect o	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services within the village</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on a greenfield area</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> <li>This site is located near to a public rights of way</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>There is evidence of a regular bus service, however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services and improve local public transport</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION					

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site.</li> <li>However site is bordered by established trees and hedgerows and contains grasslands.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment and a tree survey</li> <li>Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building.</li> <li>Historic environment would not be enhanced by the development of this site</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site development is likely to support local services within the village</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	~	<ul> <li>The site proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide decent affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance effects to local residents</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	There are no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES		-		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Located within a geographically remote area</li> <li>Could encourage commuting to the surrounding towns</li> </ul>

Summary		
Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land	Undertake an ecological assessment, retain hedgerow border
supporting its local economy	The site is situated within a	Improve local public transport
Site will increase access to affordable housing	Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	
Accessibility to green space and public rights of way	People living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars	
Regular bus service within village		
Not in an area of archaeological interest		
Landscape is common Within the district		
No designated wildlife sites fall within this site		

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Loo			4, Тор	Field, Royston Road, Barkway		
What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?				Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
	•			ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth✓✓✓✓•The proposed development has potential to support local services within the village						
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS					

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is located on a greenfield area</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>The site location is well placed in terms of access to green space areas for future residents</li> <li>This site is located near to a public rights of way</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	×	x	<ul> <li>The housing study identifies the village as having some services</li> <li>There is evidence of a regular bus service, however people living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Mitigation – develop local facilities and services and improve local public transport</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		1 -	1 -	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site.</li> <li>However site is bordered by established trees and hedgerows and contains grasslands.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment and a tree survey</li> <li>Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site falls within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building.</li> <li>Mitigation - undertake an archaeological survey</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		-		
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site development is likely to support local services within the</li> </ul>

prosperity fairly				vill	age
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	• Th	e site proposal meets the expected criteria in order to provide cent affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	• Ini	e site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health tial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance ects to local residents
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WASTE</b>					
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• Th	ere are no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x		cated within a geographically remote area uld encourage commuting to the surrounding towns
Summary					
Strengths	Wea	knesses	5		Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy Site will increase access to affordable housing	class land The Grou	The site is situated within a Groundwater Source Protection			Undertake an ecological assessment, retain hedgerow border Improve local public transport Undertake an archaeological survey
Accessibility to green space and public rights of way	Peop	Zone 2. People living here are likely to rely on the use of personal cars			
Regular bus service within village	Site falls within an area of archaeological interest				
No designated wildlife sites fall within this site					
Landscape is common Within the district					

## Barley

		the pre on each : ve?		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• The proposed site has potential to support local services within the rural area however is not big enough to make a significant impact	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	X	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>The site is agricultural land grade 2 or 3</li> <li>Mitigation: ecological assessment should be undertaken.</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is access within 400m of the site to green fields and green space areas</li> </ul>	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The Housing Development Study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area (accessible within 400m)</li> </ul>	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment and a tree survey</li> <li>Ensure that trees and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>	
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	V	V	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Scarp slopes. An undulating area which overlooks Royston. Chalk scarp is a comparatively common feature</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>	

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>The site falls on the border of the town's conservation area.</li> <li>Mitigation - To ensure the development does not impact on conservation sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			•	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This village is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>The site is likely to support local services within the village</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>N.a – site is too small</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health</li> <li>May create noise impact during construction</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE		•	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	Site has no identified constraints
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Access to food shops, post office, surgery, schools</li> <li>Access to public rights of way</li> </ul>
Summary				
Strengths	Wea	knesses	5	Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people			greenfiel grade 2 a	d site, To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or archaeological sites

to the village area and supporting its local economy Accessibility to green space and public rights of way	land The site is situated within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design etc Undertake an ecological assessment.
Regular bus service within village	Borders a conservation area	
Not in an area of archaeological interest		
Situated within 400m of green space		
No designated wildlife sites fall within this site		

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Loo	cation: N	1illers (		- · · ·		
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	• The proposed site has potential to support local services within the rural area however is not big enough to make a significant impact		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>The site is agricultural land grade 2 or 3</li> <li>Mitigation: ecological assessment should be undertaken.</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	There is access within 400m of the site to green fields and green space areas		

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	√	<b>√</b>	V	<ul> <li>The Housing Development Study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area (accessible within 400m)</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		•	·	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site. However site is bordered by established hedgerows and tree lines.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment. Ensure that hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	×	x	<ul> <li>The site falls within the town's conservation area.</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>Mitigation - To ensure the development does not impact on conservation sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	√	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		-	-	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>This village is not identified as a deprived area</li><li>The site is likely to support local services within the village</li></ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	<ul> <li>N.a – site is too small</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health</li> <li>May create noise impact during construction</li> </ul>

health inequalities					
RESOURCE USE AND WASTE			1		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible TOWN CENTRES	0	0 0 • There are no identified constraints			
7 Promote sustainable	1	1	√		cess to food shops, post office, surgery, schools
urban living	v	v	· ·		cess to public rights of way
Summary					
Strengths	Wea	knesse	5		Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy Accessibility to green space and public rights of way Regular bus service within village	class land The Grou Zone Situa	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land The site is situated within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2. Situated within a conservation area		agricultural vithin a Protection	Undertake an ecological assessment. To ensure the development is sensitive to conservation areas Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design etc
Not in an area of archaeological interest Situated within 400m of green space No designated wildlife sites fall within this site					

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Lo				nage Road, Barley		
What is the predicted         Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures           effect on each SA         objective?						
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						

1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b>	V	V	The proposed site has potential to support local services within the rural area
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to	×	×	×	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site bordered by hedgerows</li> <li>The site is agricultural land grade 2 or 3</li> <li>Mitigation: ecological assessment should be undertaken. Ensure that the development retains and enhances the hedgerows around the perimeter</li> <li>There is access within 400m of the site to green fields and green space</li> </ul>
green spaces	v	v	v	areas
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The Housing Development Study highlights a regular bus service operating in the area.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site. However site is bordered by established hedgerows.</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake an ecological assessment. Ensure that hedgerows are retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	V	V	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?	?	?	<ul> <li>The site is situated on the border of the town's conservation area.</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>Mitigation - To ensure the development does not impact on conservation sites</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	?	?	?	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	·			
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Area has all the main facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> </ul>

A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		is village is not identified as a deprived area
prosperity fairly					e site is likely to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• Th	is site provides access to affordable and decent housing
decent and affordable					
housing					
5(c) Improve conditions	x	0	0	. Th	e site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health
and services that engender	X	U	U		ay create noise impact during construction
good health and reduce				• Ma	iy create hoise impact during construction
health inequalities					
RESOURCE USE AND WAST	-			1	
					are are no identified constraints
6(a) Use natural resources	0	0	0	• In	ere are no identified constraints
efficiently; reuse, use					
recycled where possible					
TOWN CENTRES		· ·	1 /	I -	
7 Promote sustainable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• Ac	cess to food shops, post office, surgery, schools
urban living					
Summary					
Strengths	Wea	Weaknesses			Potential mitigation
Site could support local	This	This site is a greenfield site,		ld site,	Undertake an ecological assessment.
services by attracting people	clas	sified as	grade 2 a	agricultural	
to the village area and	land				To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or
supporting its local economy					archaeological sites
	The	site is si	tuated w	ithin a	
Accessibility to green space	Gro	undwater	<sup>-</sup> Source	Protection	Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size,
and public rights of way	Zon	e 2.			design etc
		- 4 - 4			
Regular bus service within			the borde	er of a	
village	cons	conservation area			
Not in an area of					
archaeological interest					
Situated within 400m of green					
space					
No designated wildlife sites fal					
within this site					

## Site 204 (enlarged site 27) at Pudding Lane

SA Objectives	Score	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed site has potential to support local services within the rural area</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTE	RNS	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 2</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	√	<ul> <li>There is access within 400m of the site to green fields and green space areas</li> <li>The site is bordered by public rights of way providing access to open countryside</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	X / ?	<ul> <li>Bus stop is within 400m, with a regular bus service operating in the area.</li> <li>Village has a number of key facilities within walking distance</li> <li>Village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	x	<ul> <li>This site incorporates part of Barley Church wildlife site.</li> <li>The site is bordered by established hedgerows and a woodland to the north and north east of the site.</li> <li>Mitigation - ecological assessment should be undertaken. Ensure that the development retains and enhances the hedgerows around the perimeter. Undertake a tree survey.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0 / X	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Barley Scarp slopes, which has moderate to high sensitivity</li> <li>The landscape capacity for incremental small scale developments is considered to be moderate</li> <li>Landscape is comparatively common within the district</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment and use of existing vegetation to aid with screening to lessen visual impact</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	<ul> <li>The site contains part of the village's conservation area and is adjacent to a number of listed buildings.</li> <li>The site includes an area of archaeological significance</li> <li>Mitigation – Archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse however is located within a groundwater vulnerability area.</li> <li>There is tip within the site area, so the area is likely to be contaminated</li> <li>Mitigation - Land contamination assessment; remediation of land if needed</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Site is not within a flood zone</li><li>There may be some viability issues with SuDS</li></ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This village is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>The site is likely to support local services within the village</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	This site provides access to affordable and decent housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X / 0	<ul> <li>The site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health</li> <li>May create noise impact during initial construction</li> </ul>
RESOURCE USE AND WASTE		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	There are no identified constraints in this area
TOWN CENTRES		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X / ?	<ul> <li>Barley provides access to a food shop, post office, surgery, and schools, however the residents will use of private transport to commute into the nearest large town for work and entertainment</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site could support local services by attracting people to the village area and supporting its local economy	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land	An ecological assessment should be undertaken. Ensure that the development retains and enhances the hedgerows
	The site is surrounded by hedgerows and	around the perimeter.
Accessibility to green space and public rights of way	there is established woodland in the top right hand corner of the site. This site is likely to be of high biodiversity importance.	Undertake a tree survey.

Regular bus service within village	Site contains part of wildlife site	To ensure the development does not impact on the conservation or
Situated within 400m of green space	The site is situated within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone 2. There is a tip situated within the site Part of site contains the conservation area	archaeological sites Ensure the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design etc Landscape assessment Land contamination assessment; remediation of land if needed

# Clothall

Type of Site and Number: 28 Residential				
Site Reference and Locat	ion: Lan	d at Clo	thall, As	hanger Lane, Clothall
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x/√	x/√	V	<ul> <li>The site is situated in a rural area</li> <li>There are not many facilities or services nearby</li> <li>The site has the potential to support the rural economy; number of proposed dwellings on the site is high – 252</li> <li>In the long term demand for services and facilities will increase within the area and bring in new business</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way border and run through this site</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>The nearest village to Clothall with services and facilities is Weston, which is over a mile away. It is not known whether there is a bus service or not in Clothall. It is not listed in the Housing Background Paper.</li> <li>Clothall is 10 miles away from Royston</li> <li>There is no train station within 800m</li> <li>Therefore it is assumed that the village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport services</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	X	X	x	<ul> <li>Site borders a designated wildlife site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>Mitigation - Consider sensitive construction measures to protect the wildlife site</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees and hedgerows where possible</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	<ul> <li>This village is located within the Scarp Slopes landscape character area.</li> <li>The development on the edge of the village should not have too much of an impact upon the landscape as long as it is in keeping with the local style</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	XX	XX	xx	<ul> <li>Site is located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site is located near listed buildings</li> <li>Half the site contains an ancient monument</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake an archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is situated within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li><li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS</li></ul>

Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change				according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)	
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	x	x	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>In the short term there are not adequate facilities and services within Clothall</li> <li>In the long term the site has potential to support local services within the village</li> </ul>	
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing	
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively large</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> <li>Affordable units contribute to long term health benefits in terms of providing much needed housing</li> </ul>	
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No information on constraints	
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>/x ?/x</li> <li>Clothall's location make use of private cars likely</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute into the nearest large town for worl and other purposes</li> </ul>		
Summary					
Strengths	We	aknesse	es	Potential mitigation	
No evidence of land contamination		This is a greenfield site agricultural land grade 3		······································	
The site does not border a watercourse	Not	Not many facilities and		and Improve facilities and services	
Not at risk of Flooding		services located nearby Site borders a wildlife		Encourage and improve alternative transport	

Affordable housing	designated site	SUDS
Site is not within a conservation area	Site is located within a source protection zone	Undertake an archaeological survey Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development
Site provides access to green space	The sites location is likely to promote commuting	and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc
SUDs are suitable upon solid geology	Site contains an Ancient Monument	Consider sensitive construction measures to protect the wildlife site
	Site is within an area of archaeological interest	
	Site is near to listed buildings	
	No information on resource constraints	
	No information on Public transport (assumed none)	
	SUDs are unsuitable upon drift geology materials	

### Cockernhoe and east of Luton

Site 212b and 212c – Land Adjoining East of Luton

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	?	<ul> <li>If Century Park is developed, there is potential for employment development in conjunction with housing, however, this development on its own does not incorporate any employment land.</li> </ul>

<i>Land use and development patterns</i>		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x x	<ul> <li>Greenfield site</li> <li>Site located upon Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>This area is considered to have generally high value based on its proximity to the AONB.</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	V	<ul> <li>The development is on the edge of the settlement and contains rights of way, meaning that access to the open countryside would be further away for existing residents of Luton / Mangove Green / Cockernhoe</li> <li>Site 212b would provide significant greenspace and opportunity for wider links to green infrastructure</li> <li>Existing greenspace is within 400m. Rights of Way run through the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace and recreational amenity. Ensure existing ROW are maintained. Development should ensure that existing greenspace does not negatively affect overall provision. There is an opportunity to connect historic parks and gardens into public footpaths and into existing Level 2 Green Link heading east toward Stevenage.</li> </ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	x	<ul> <li>A site of this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development, including bus links, and could be linked with new employment opportunities. However, the site is a long distance from the Luton town centre and the topography is undulating, which would be a discouragement to walking or cycling into the town. The site is over 3km from Luton station, so residents are likely to use private vehicles to commute and access services in Luton.</li> <li>The likelihood is that residents of this development will drive to Luton / Hitchin for work and entertainment</li> <li>Local road network to the east is substandard, development in this area will make issue worse</li> <li>Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures, minimise</li> </ul>

		access to existing road network to the east. Inclusion of new road infrastructure
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Vegetation present in the form of overgrown tree belts, hedgerows and grassed areas</li> <li>Site 212b would provide significant greenspace and opportunity for wider links to green infrastructure, which may have a positive effect on biodiversity in the medium to long term.</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows in development ensuring a net gain for biodiversity</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	x x	<ul> <li>A site of this size and scale in this location is likely to have a significant visual impact on landscape. The site is located on top of the plateau ridge and so will impact on surrounding views of the site. and will lead to the coalescence of Luton with nearby villages. The site is located within Landscape Character Area 202 Breachwood Green Ridge, which is considered in the 2011 landscape assessment<sup>8</sup> to have a moderate sensitivity. However the study also suggests that the landscape capacity for urban extensions greater than 5ha is low to moderate. The site is also close to the AONB.</li> <li>Other landscape studies have also been undertaken of the proposed development area (including sites EL1, EL2 and EL3), in particular a LUC report in 2008<sup>9</sup> and a review of the LUC report in 2009. The 2009 report notes that development of the scale proposed would have significant impacts on the landscape character and the visual and recreational resource, as well as local villages. Whereas the proposed development in EL3 (previously 212a) is below the ridge line visible from Lilley Bottom, sites 212b and 212c will be visible, thus devaluing the quality of the Lilley Bottom Character Area.</li> </ul>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See http://www.north-herts.gov.uk/202\_2011.pdf
 <sup>9</sup> The first report was Environmental Sensitivity Assessment Supplementary Report (LUC December 2008) and this was reviewed in 2009 by the Landscape Partnership – see <a href="http://www.north-herts.gov.uk/east of luton final report all.pdf">http://www.north-herts.gov.uk/east of luton final report all.pdf</a>

3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	x	<ul> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessments to minimise the impact on the countryside and enhance existing landscape features and pattern. Development into the valley bottom will be particularly visible and difficult to mitigate</li> <li>Site includes Mangrove Green and Cockernhoe archaeological area. Site also close to Mangrove Hall Listed Buildings.</li> <li>Site borders Puttridge Bury Historic Park and Gardens</li> <li>Mitigation - Archaeological survey of the site and surrounding area.</li> <li>Mitigation – sensitivity to the setting of historic buildings through</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	0	<ul> <li>design</li> <li>Site unlikely to be contaminated.</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4 Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve the districts ability to adapt to climate change?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Potential surface water flooding issues onsite. There are constraints on the use of SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan, however other alternatives for SuDS should be sought.</li> <li>Opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development, particularly in conjunction with EL1 and EL2.</li> </ul>
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is poorly related to Luton and North Hertfordshire, although could provide housing for deprived areas of Luton in the medium to long term. Site is poorly related to Luton and North Hertfordshire, although could provide housing for deprived areas of Luton in the medium to long term.</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site 212c would provide 600 additional dwellings in North Hertfordshire, including affordable housing</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	х	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding residents</li> <li>The site is close to Luton noise contours</li> <li>Development of this large greenfield site will inevitably involve</li> </ul>

Resource use and waste		<ul> <li>increased light, air and noise pollution.</li> <li>Mitigation: Construction Management Plan. Review noise impacts on potential residents, and incorporate mitigation within development</li> </ul>
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	<ul> <li>Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. The Environment Agency has identified that the STW is constrained on all sides. Should upgrades be required, this is a constraint to development. Not currently clear if upgrades are required or not.</li> <li>Mitigation - Further discussion with EA and Water companies</li> <li>Mitigation - ensure water efficiency in new development and use of SuDS</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	x	<ul> <li>Site unlikely to contribute to town centre based on its out of town location, although there are local centres in closer proximity that it may serve.</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site 212c would provide 600 additional dwellings in North Hertfordshire,	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site, agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>	Use of SuDS throughout development;
<ul> <li>including affordable housing</li> <li>Site 212b would provide significant greenspace and opportunity for wider links to green infrastructure, with and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Both sites include designated archaeological area</li> <li>Site borders Puttridge Bury Historic Park and Gardens</li> </ul>	Apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace provision;
<ul> <li>improvements to biodiversity</li> <li>Opportunity for sustainable energy solutions if linked with other east of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The area provides recreation space for existing residents of Luton, Cockernhoe.</li> <li>A site of this size and scale in this</li> </ul>	Archaeological survey of the site and surrounding area;
Luton developments A site of this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development.	location is likely to have a significant visual impact on landscape. The site is located on top of the plateau ridge and so	Landscape assessment Inclusion of sustainable transport
	will impact on surrounding views of the site, particularly from Lilley Bottom.	measures
	<ul> <li>Constraints on use of SuDS.</li> </ul>	Work with EA and Water companies re

Potential surface water flooding issues	Sewage Treatment Works;
<ul> <li>onsite.</li> <li>The likelihood is that residents of this development will drive to Luton / Hitchin for work and entertainment.</li> <li>Local road network to the east is sub-</li> </ul>	Retain existing trees and hedgerows, and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through the development
<ul> <li>standard, development in this area will make this worse, and reduce accessibility for existing residents.</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be likely</li> </ul>	Consideration of noise contours from Luton airport; and Water efficiency and SuDS.
<ul><li>to create noise and other disruption for surrounding local residential areas.</li><li>Development of this large greenfield site</li></ul>	Potential contribution to Green Infrastructure Project ST9
will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution.	Surface Water Management Plan: Construction Management Plan.

# Codicote

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Locat			Land at	Codicote House, Heath Road, Codicote
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	√	V	V	The site has potential to support the rural economy
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>

2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<ul><li>green space is within 400m</li><li>Public rights of way nearby</li></ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Housing Background Paper indicates a good regular bus service within the village</li> <li>The site is located within approximately 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>However, village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION	•		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation – retain existing trees and hedgerows where possible</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Codicote Plateaux LCA (recommendation: improve and conserve)</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site is adjacent to listed buildings</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake an archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	x	X	<ul> <li>The site is situated within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	1 -	1 -	1 -	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	• Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing

5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities <b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	X	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper study states that the village is free from constraints	
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x		dicote's location make the residents use of private transport likely to mmute into the nearest large town for work, and entertainment
Summary					
Strengths	We	aknesse	es		Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.		This is a greenfield site			Retain as many trees on the site as possible
No evidence of land	ayı	agricultural land grade 3		ue J	Improve public transport
contamination		Site is located within a source protection zone		n a source	Encourage and improve alternative transport
The site does not border a watercourse		The sites location is likely to			Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
Affordable housing	pro	promote commuting			SUDS
Site contains no listed buildings		Site is within a conservation area		ervation	Improve facilities Ensure development is in-keeping with existing settlement pattern
Site provides access to green space		Site is located near listed buildings		listed	Undertake Archaeological surveys
SUDs are suitable		e is within an area of naeological interest			Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc
					More detailed landscape assessment.

Type of Site and Number: 31 Residential

Site Reference and Locati	ion:07/1	L006/2	Land so	uth of Heath Road, Codicote		
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term		Long			
	1			ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The site has potential to support the rural economy; number of proposed dwellings on the site is high</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	<b>ATTERN</b>	S			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way nearby</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Housing Background Paper indicates a good regular bus service within the village</li> <li>The site is located within approximately 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>However, village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		ī	T			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>However site is opposite a designated wildlife site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees and hedgerows where possible</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure development is sensitive the wildlife site</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Codicote Plateaux LCA (recommendation: improve and conserve)</li> </ul>		
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is not located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site does not contain listed buildings</li> </ul>		

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	X	X	<ul><li>Site</li><li>Thi</li><li>Mit</li></ul>	e site is situated within a source protection zone e does not border a water course s site is potentially contaminated due to landfill to the West igation - Undertake land contamination survey and remediation if cessary
CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	• The NH	od risk is not identified as a problem within this area. e site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from DC. e is not contaminated
A JUST SOCIETY		-	-	1	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The	e site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• Site	e and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	res • Dev sig	tial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local idential areas velopment is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be nificant evidence of noise constraints identified.
RESOURCE USE AND WAS	TF				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	√	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		e Transport and Utilities Constraints Background Paper study states the village is free from constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x		dicote's location make the residents use of private transport likely to nmute into the nearest large town for work, and entertainment
Summary					
Strengths	We	aknesse	25		Potential mitigation
The site does not border a watercourse	This	s is a gre	enfield s		Retain as many trees on the site as possible
Affordable housing		icultural	-		Improve public transport
	Site	e is locate	ed withir	n a source	Encourage and improve alternative transport

Site provides access to green	protection zone	Dedectrice cycle and wellowey route manning
space	The site's leastion is likely to	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
SUDs are suitable	The site's location is likely to promote commuting	SUDS
Site is not within a conservation area	Not designated as ecologically sensitive location, however is	Improve facilities
	located opposite wildlife	Ensure development is in-keeping with existing settlement pattern
Site is not located near listed	designation	
buildings		Ensure development is sensitive the wildlife site
	Site is potentially	
Site is not within an area of archaeological interest	contaminated	Undertake land contamination survey and remediation if necessary
_		More detailed landscape assessment.

# Graveley

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Loo	cation: 0	8/306	2/2 Ch	f sports/leisure facilities esfield Downs, Jack Hill, Graveley
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	√	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Currently a golf course</li> <li>Sports facilities likely to increase jobs</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	<b>TTERNS</b>		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is linked by public rights of way</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>Site is linked by a public right of way</li> </ul>

2 (c) Deliver more	?/x	?/x	?/x	Site is within 400m of bus stop
sustainable location				No train station within 800m     There are regular but against
patterns and reduce the				There are regular bus services     Site is a suidistant from Utable Labebuseth and Stavenese
use of motor vehicles				Site is equidistant from Hitchin, Letchworth and Stevenage
				It is in a location to serve all 3 major towns.
				<ul> <li>However this location encourages private transport use.</li> </ul>
	TTON			Mitigation – Improve public transport
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		24	24	This she is a successful to a
3(a) Protect and enhance	?/√	?/√	?/√	This site is a greenfield site.
biodiversity				<ul> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and</li> </ul>
				hedgerows retained and enhanced.
3(b) Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Landscape character falls within Arlesey – Great Wymondley – A
landscapes				Landscape conservation zone 2
				However the landscape is common
				<ul> <li>Impact of built development would have a moderate affect</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where	x	x	x	Site contains an area of archaeological interest
appropriate, enhance the	Λ	~		<ul> <li>The site does not fall within a conservation area</li> </ul>
historic environment				<ul> <li>Site does not contain listed buildings</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Undertake archaeological assessments</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and</li> </ul>
				development and incorporates the local character including size,
				materials, design etc
3(d) Reduce pollution from	X	X	X	Site is situated within a source protection zone.
any source				This site is not contaminated
				This site does not border a watercourse
				There is no landfill site within 250m
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse	X	x	X	Part of the site borders flood zone 2
gas emissions and	<i>~</i>			<ul> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> </ul>
Improve the District's				<ul> <li>Mitigation: undertake flood prevention measures</li> </ul>
ability to adapt to climate				<ul> <li>Consider SUDs</li> </ul>
change				
A JUST SOCIETY		I	I	
5(a) Share benefits of	?/√	?/√	?/√	This town is not identified as a deprived area
prosperity fairly	-, .	-, ,	-, ,	However there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area.
			1	
, ,				There is a school and a doctor's surgery

				se	ervices
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0		te is a leisure facility oes not increase access to affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	V	~	V	• Le	eisure facilities will improve people's health and quality of life
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• No	o information provided about resource supply
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x	• Lo	te is located is accessible by 3 towns ocation of the site would increase commuting via private transport ccess to public rights of way
Summary					
Strengths		knesse	-		Potential mitigation
Site is not contaminated	This	site is a	greenfie	eld site	Improve public transport links
Site is not within a conservation area		site cla cultural		as grade 3	Improve the level of facilities
contains no listed buildings					Ensure that the tree line and hedgerows are retained and
Site would support the local economy	Gra sho		bes not	have	enhanced.
economy					Consider the use of SUDs
No wildlife designations			an area al interes		Flood prevention measures
Viable for SUDS			e outskirt worth ar		Archaeological surveys
Site is within 400m of a bus stop	Stev			encourage	Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials,
Access to green space	Site	borders	flood zor	ne 2	design etc
Leisure facilities will increase					

good health	Site is in a source protection	
Could potentially serve 3 towns	zone	

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
		•	•	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Caravan park</li> <li>This site is on the edge of a village and would be poor in terms of accessing local services and facilities – Housing Background Paper shows that services are limited, e.g. no food shop</li> <li>Increased local population may support existing services in the neighbouring villages</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is bordered by a public rights of way</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>Site is linked by a public right of way</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	×	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>There are regular bus services</li> <li>Site is equidistant from Hitchin, Letchworth and Stevenage</li> <li>People living here are likely to rely on personal cars.</li> <li>There are no local shops</li> <li>No train station within 800m</li> </ul>		

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity 3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?/ √ 0	?/ √ 0	?/ √ 0	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> <li>Landscape character falls within Arlesey - Great Wymondley - A Landscape conservation zone 2</li> <li>However the landscape is common</li> <li>Impact of built development would have a moderate affect</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site contains an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>The site does not fall within a conservation area</li> <li>Site does not contain listed buildings</li> <li>Mitigation - Undertake archaeological assessments</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	<u> </u>	1	11	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within the flood zone</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> <li>Consider SUDs</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This town is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>However there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area.</li> <li>There is a school and a doctor's surgery</li> <li>However development is likely to bring demand for an increase in services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	Caravan sites meets this criteria
5(c) Improve conditions	X	x	x	Site borders the A1(M)

and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities <b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b> 6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	Г <u>Е</u> О	0	0	• Mit	ise is likely to be an issue tigation –landscape design to reduce the impact of noise information provided about resource supply
7 Promote sustainable urban living Summary	x	X	x	• Th • Lo	e is located is accessible by 3 towns ere are no local shops cation of the site would increase commuting via private transport cess to public rights of way
Strengths	Wea	aknesse	S		Potential mitigation
Site is not contaminated Site is not within a conservation area contains no listed buildings Site would support the local economy No wildlife designations Site is not within a flood zone Viable for SUDS Site is within 400m of a bus stop Access to green space	This agri Gra sho Site Hitc Stev com Site arch Site zone Site	site is a site cla icultural veley dc ps is on the hin, Letch venage, t muting is within aeologica is in a sc e	greenfie ssified a land bes not e outskird his will e an area al interes burce pro	as grade 3 have ts of and encourage of st	Improve public transport links Improve the level of facilities Ensure that the tree line and hedgerows are retained and enhanced. Consider the use of SUDs Archaeological surveys Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc landscape design to reduce the impact of noise

## Hitchin

	What is the predic effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS		•
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	xx	xx	xx	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Hitchin lacks green space and development should be restricted to brownfield land</li> <li>This land is part recreational land, important for people's quality of life</li> <li>Mitigation: Leave large areas for recreational use or seek replacement recreational site</li> <li>Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Improve public rights of way</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	XX	xx	XX	<ul> <li>There is no green space located nearby</li> <li>Development on this land would could restrict access to green space for a large proportion of residents, however, some site area is to be lef for open space</li> <li>Site is linked by a public right of way</li> <li>Improve access to green space</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is located within 800m walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve public transport</li> </ul>

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/ √	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site has no landscape designation</li> <li>Site is a recreational site</li> <li>It is important to protect amenity areas.</li> <li>Mitigation: Ensure a large proportion of this site is set aside for recreational use</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>The site is adjoining the town's conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE		•		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is not within a floodplain</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS is uncertain</li> <li>Loss of green areas acting as a natural soak way</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	·	1 -		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	×	<ul> <li>Site is likely to decrease the quality of life for residents due to restricted access to green space</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance effects to local residents</li> </ul>

<b>RESOURCE USE AND WASTE</b>				
6(a) Use natural resources	xx xx	XX	• Hit	chin has identified constraints regarding utilities
efficiently; reuse, use				ter supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for
recycled where possible			pre	essure boosters
				ergy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)
				igation – Improve initial utilities prior to development
			Mit	igation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES		-		
7 Promote sustainable	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		e is located within Hitchin and is within 800m from the town centre
urban living			• Wi	II reduce the need for private transport
			• Ac	cess to public rights of way
Summary	•			
Strengths	Weakness	es		Potential mitigation
Not in a area of     archaeological interest	develop	ot minimis ment on g	se greenfield	Ensure a large proportion of this site is set aside for recreational use ensure that if developed in the future the
No landscape designations	land			tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.
Would provide some     additional open space		conversa		Improve initial utilities prior to development
Provides access to     affordable housing			ying fields	Undertake an ecological assessment. Incorporate as much vegetation as possible
	<ul> <li>Identifie</li> </ul>	ed constra	aints on	
Site is not in a source     protection zone	utilities			Improve public transport links
Site would support the local economy	Site is a	in amenit	y site	Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including
	Constra	ints on th	e use of	size, materials, design etc
No wildlife designations	Sustain System		n Drainage	,
Planned houses will be     800m from the town     centre				

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
			1	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	T			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to green spaces	×	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Hitchin lacks green space and development should be restricted to brownfield land</li> <li>Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Development on this land would restrict access to green space for a large proportion of residents</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site is near a public right of way</li> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is within walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve public transport</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/ x	?/ x	?/ x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>There are no wildlife designations within or bordering this site</li> <li>However the site is an established woodland – likely to be of high ecological interest</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake a Tree survey – and TPO</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> <li>Mitigation – Ecological and habitat survey (tree survey); incorporate as much vegetation as possible</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	There are no landscape designations		

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment 3(d) Reduce pollution from	× √	x v	×	The     The     Miti	site falls within an area of archaeological interest site does not fall within a village conservation area. site does not include listed buildings gation – undertake archaeological survey site is not within a source protection zone.
any source					site does not border a watercourse
CLIMATE CHANGE					
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	<b>√</b>	V	Suit	is not within a flood zone ability for SUDS is uncertain is not contaminated
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This	town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	• This	site provides access to affordable and decent housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		al construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance cts to local residents
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ΓE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	x	x	<ul> <li>Wat pres</li> <li>Ene</li> <li>Mitia</li> </ul>	hin has identified constraints regarding utilities er supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for ssure boosters rgy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric) gation – Improve initial utilities prior to development gation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES		<b>1</b> -	1 -		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		is located within Hitchin within 800m from the town centre ess to public rights of way
Summary					
Strengths		Weaknesses			Potential mitigation
Provides access to affordable housing	dev	Does not minimise development on greenfield			Improve initial utilities prior to development
Site would support the local economy	lanc	1			Undertake an ecological assessment.

Viability for SUDs is certain for some areas within site (large site)	If site were developed, it would reduce local residents access to green space	Undertake Tree surveys and investigate TPO Incorporate as much vegetation as possible
No wildlife designations	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural	Improve public transport links
Planned houses will be 800m from the town centre	land	Consider Suds viability
Site not within a flood zone	Viability for SUDS is uncertain	Undertake archaeological survey
Site is not contaminated	Site is in an area of archaeological interest	
Site does not contain a conservation area		
Site contains no listed buildings		

Type of Site and Numbe Site Reference and Lo				ield farm, Stevenage Road (A602) St Ippolyts
	What is effect o objectiv	n each :		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long	
	term	term	term	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site located on the outskirts of village close to the town of Hitchin.</li> <li>Could contribute to the improvement of the local economy and support of the existing services</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELO	PMENT PA	TTERNS	1	

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby. However this land is green space which is easily accessible to a large proportion of residents. It is adjoined by a wildlife site. Development of this site would reduce the amount of green space available for public access</li> <li>Site is adjoining a public rights of way</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure access to green space is improved via public rights of way through the site</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is located within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Regular bus routes connect site to town centre</li> <li>Site on the edge of town – residents likely to commute</li> <li>Mitigation – Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage and promote sustainable transport initiatives.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION		1	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>There is no wildlife designation</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	Site has no landscape designation
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is not within a village conservation area</li> <li>Site is not within an area archaeological interest.</li> <li>There are no listed buildings within the site.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is potentially contaminated</li> <li>Site is within a flood zone</li> <li>Undertake flood mitigation measures.</li> <li>undertake a Land contamination assessment</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is within floodzone 2</li> <li>Site is suitable for SUDs</li> <li>Site large enough to consider CHP</li> </ul>

A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This	town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	• The	size of site provides access to affordable and decent housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	×/√	×/√	<ul> <li>Initia effect</li> <li>Part</li> </ul>	site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health al construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance cts to local residents of site borders A602 sider Landscape design to mitigate noise
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	×	Wate press     Ener     Mitig	nin has identified constraints regarding utilities er supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for sure boosters gy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric) gation – Improve initial utilities prior to development gation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/√	?/√	?/√	cent	on edge of Hitchin Encouraging people to use local shops and town re ever may increase commuting
Summary					
Strengths		aknesse			Potential mitigation
Site located on the outskirts village close to the town of Hitchin.	If si	Greenfield site If site were developed, it would			Improve public transport Improve initial utilities prior to development
Could contribute to the improvement of the local		reduce the access to green space to local residents.			Undertake flood mitigation measures.
economy and support of the existing services		Site is potentially contaminated agricultural land grade 3		f	Improve public access to green space via creation of public footpaths
Located within 400m of a bus service	s	Identified constraints on utilities			undertake a land contamination assessment/ remediation
Not on a source protection zone	Site	Site is within flood zone 3			Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage and promote sustainable transport initiatives.
20110	Part	of Site d	loes bord	ler the 🛛 🕻	Consider landscape design for noise issues of the A602

The site would be suitable to implement SUDS scheme according to the SUDS Viability Plan	A602 which may cause a few noise issues	Ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.
Site does not fall within a conservation area		
Site does not fall within an area of archaeological interest		
Site does not contain listed buildings		
Site provides affordable houses		

### Site 209 – Reduced Version of SW Hitchin

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development is likely to include a neighbourhood centre and community facilities providing jobs and services to support the local community.</li> <li>The provision of new housing is likely to have a positive impact on affordability, particularly if it includes significant amounts of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	хх	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site, which includes some highly valued landscapes and environments</li> <li>Site is located upon grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	?	<ul> <li>A development of this size and scale on the edge of the settlement means that access to the countryside would be further away for existing residents.</li> <li>The development would provide new greenspace provision and could therefore bring greater access, however the specifics are not yet known</li> </ul>

2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	x x	<ul> <li>Greenspace is within 400m to certain parts of the site and rights of Way run through the site.</li> <li>Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace and recreational amenity. Ensure existing ROW are maintained as are important existing open spaces, such as Priory Park. Development should ensure that existing residents continue to have access to open space and to open countryside. Site could contribute to Green Infrastructure project ST8.1 Greenway for Hitchin and improve Level 2 green links between Hitchin and the Langley Valley to enhance access to greenspace such that there is no net detriment to recreational amenity</li> <li>A development of this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities and services to meet requirements of a new population and reduce the need to travel, that said not all residents are likely to work in Hitchin and so commuting is likely. The site is not proximate to the train station.</li> <li>Parts of the site are within 200m of a bus stop, however new bus stops will be required as part of the development.</li> <li>traffic associated with large number of homes will increase the level of local traffic. Potential unknown impact associated with Luton airport expansion, adding west to east movements.</li> <li>Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures</li> </ul>
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	x x	<ul> <li>Site contains Priory Park, Pirton Road Allotments and The Willows Wildlife sites and is adjacent to Charlton Mill Pool. There is potential for a significant negative impact. (site no longer contains SSSI).</li> <li>The site also contains large amounts of greenfield land which incorporates trees, hedgerows and greenspaces.</li> <li>Mitigation – detailed of consideration of wildlife sites within design to ensure that sites do not become fragmented as some sites are likely to be completely surrounded by development – provision of wildlife corridors and green lungs within design to allow green links in the development</li> <li>Mitigation – A habitat study will be required to consider how to mitigate impact on biodiversity. Retain existing trees and hedgerows and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through the development of green features and sensitive design.</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	x x	<ul> <li>A site this size is likely to have a significant visual landscape impact. The site is located within Landscape Character Area 214 Langley Valley. Evaluation for this area describes development of greater than 5ha as not appropriate. The site borders the AONB to west</li> <li>The LUC assessment identifies that the area includes areas of high sensitivity</li> </ul>

3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	X	<ul> <li>north of the A505. Moderate - high sensitivity A505 - B656 and moderate sensitivity B656 - railway line. The ability to accommodate residential and mixed development in a number of these areas is relatively low in landscape terms</li> <li>Mitigation: development should be restricted toward the boundary of the AONB. Production of landscape assessments will aid with design.</li> <li>The development is likely to negatively impact on the Charlton Conservation area. The site also adjoins Hitchin Conservation area and the boundary incorporates the curtilage of the Priory. Site also contains Foxholes Archaeological Area.</li> <li>Mitigation - Archaeological survey of site and surrounding area</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure that development is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style, height. Development must be sensitive to setting of historic features</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	? / X	<ul> <li>Some of the site is located above Source Protection Zone 1 and 3 or a Principal Aquifer Class H1, H2, H3, HU, I1, I2 and likely potential to be affected by contamination, based on it containing a landfill.</li> <li>Mitigation – contaminated land survey</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site contains parts of the River Hiz at Wellhead, and so contains some land classified as functional floodplain.</li> <li>SuDS likely to be viable according to the SuDS viability Plan. Significant opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development</li> <li>Mitigation – Sequential and exception tests for flood risk areas</li> </ul>
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	√/?	<ul> <li>The reduced scale of development will mean that in overall terms development is not so concentrated in this location. It will contribute toward supporting local facilities and services and provide additional affordable housing which will open up opportunities for those not able to afford market housing in this area</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Development would provide 2,880 dwellings in North Hertfordshire, with a proportion being affordable.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Development on a greenfield site will result in a loss of tranquillity for the area. New development will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution. These impacts will need to be managed with appropriate mitigation</li> </ul>

Resource use and waste		<ul> <li>measures. Construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints</li> </ul>
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	<ul> <li>Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. Environment Agency have not identified any absolute constraints associated with the urban extension, although identify the requirement for additional work in relation to capacity of the Hitchin STW.</li> <li>A large new housing development will put increased pressure on the sewerage and water supply infrastructure.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development and use of SuDs, continue to work with Water stakeholders</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	?	<ul> <li>The site is located on the edge of Hitchin, but has the potential to complement local services and facilities in the town centre, although development of a new centre could also potentially compete. On the positive side, the development would be of an appropriate size to offer facilities such as schools, shops, community centres which would promote sustainable living.</li> <li>Residents would be in a long way from the train station, therefore improvements to public transport will be important</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
A site this size is likely to be able to	Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3;	Application of greenspace standards in
provide significant facilities within the		conjunction with wildlife corridors and green
development	Landscape Impacts and potential impact	infrastructure to improve access to
	on AONB;	greenspace and mitigate loss. Contributions
Development would provide 2800		towards green infrastructure projects
dwellings in North Hertfordshire; and	Site contains a number of Wildlife sites and	
	existing trees and hedgerows;	Sustainable transport measures including
Significant opportunities for sustainable		provision of bus stops
energy, given the size of the	Site contains designated archaeological	
development.	area and has potential to impact on	Habitat study required to consider how to
	adjacent conservation areas;	mitigate impact on the wildlife
	Services could compete with town centre;	Landscape assessments to inform detailed

and	design.
Additional traffic associated with the development will increase congestion	Sequential / Exception tests for flood risk areas
	Water efficiency and SuDS; and
	Working with water companies

# Kimpton

Type of Site and Number:	45 Resi	idential					
Site Reference and Locati	ion:08/3	3235/1	Land at	Ash Drive (north) Kimpton Bottom, Kimpton			
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long				
	term	term	term				
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Services within the village stated as good from the Housing Background Study.</li> <li>Development could contribute to rural economy and support local services further.</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	<b>ATTERN</b>	S				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>			

2(b) Provide access to	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	green space is within 400m
green spaces	ļ!			<ul> <li>Public rights of way does not link this site up to Kimpton</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more	x	x	x	Site is out of town
sustainable location				Bus services infrequent to this site
patterns and reduce the				• No access to rail services within the village; station is further than 800m
use of motor vehicles				to the site
				<ul> <li>Long term – likely to encourage use of private transport for commuting</li> </ul>
				to village areas.
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Increase public transport services</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> </ul>
biodiversity				Trees present on site.
				• The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the
				area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible;</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Site falls within the Kimpton and Whitwell Bottom.
landscapes	•	•	•	The landscape is frequent and the impact of development is low
3(c) Conserve and where	0	0	0	Site is not located near conservation areas
appropriate, enhance the		•	•	Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest
historic environment				
3(d) Reduce pollution from	x	x	x	The site impacts upon a source protection zone
any source	^	^	^	<ul> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> </ul>
any source				<ul> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.
gas emissions and	•	•	•	• The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from
Improve the District's				NHDC.
ability to adapt to climate				Site is not contaminated
change				
A JUST SOCIETY		I		
5(a) Share benefits of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site is relatively small scale; unlikely to have significant impacts
prosperity fairly		•	•	within the rural area.
				<ul> <li>The site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Number of dwellings not identified, however the size of size is likely to</li> </ul>
decent and affordable		•	•	provide affordable housing
housing				
lieusing				
5(c) Improve conditions	x	0	0	Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local
and services that engender			-	residential areas
and bervices that engender	/	1		

good health and reduce health inequalities				sig	velopment is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be nificant evidence of noise constraints identified.
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>					
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	x	• Ide	entified constraints on sewage within the village
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	• Re	e is not within village sidents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest ge town for work
Summary					
Strengths	We	akness	es		Potential mitigation
The site could contribute to retaining local services in the village.	gree	Development located on greenfield land Land is agricultural grade 3			Retain as many trees on the site as possible Retain public right of way
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	lanc	1		-	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
Site will not impact upon	Sew	erage c	onstraint	S	Encourage and improve alternative transport
archaeological sites			e locatior o commu		Improve public transport
Site does not impact of					SUDS
conservation areas		Site is located within a source protection zone			Improve sewage system
No evidence of land					
contamination		Site is located outside of Kimpton, therefore likely to			
The site does not border a watercourse	incr	increase commuting via private transport			
SUDS are viable	Infr	equent	ocal bus	service	

### Type of Site and Number: 46 Residential Site Reference and Location:08/3235/1 Land at Ash Drive (south) Kimpton Bottom, Kimpton

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
		term		ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Services within the village stated as good from the Housing Background Study.</li> <li>Development could contribute to rural economy and support local services further.</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	<b>TTERN</b>	S				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	×	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>			
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way does not link this site up to Kimpton</li> </ul>			
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is out of town</li> <li>Bus services infrequent to this site</li> <li>No access to rail services within the village; station is further than 800m to the site</li> <li>Long term - likely to encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>			
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION						
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees present on site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation – Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible;</li> </ul>			
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site falls within the Kimpton and Whitwell Bottom.</li> <li>Landscape designations are Landscape Conservation Area, and the Hoo park designation</li> <li>The landscape is frequent and the impact of development is low</li> </ul>			
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is not located near conservation areas</li> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> </ul>			

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site impacts upon a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>		
CLIMATE CHANGE						
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	√	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>		
A JUST SOCIETY						
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	√	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is relatively small scale; unlikely to have significant impacts within the rural area.</li> <li>The site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> </ul>		
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	0	0	0	N/a site too small to affect this objective		
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>		
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	ГЕ					
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Identified constraints on sewage within the village</li> </ul>		
TOWN CENTRES						
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is not within village</li> <li>Residents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest large town for work</li> </ul>		
Summary						
Strengths	We	Weaknesses		Potential mitigation		
The site could contribute to retaining local services in the village.	De gre	velopmer eenfield la nd is agri	nt locate and	d on Retain as many trees on the site as possible Retain public right of way		
Not designated as ecologicall		-	carcarar	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping		

sensitive location.		
	Sewerage constraints	Encourage and improve alternative transport
Site will not impact upon	Dural village legation may	Improve public transport
archaeological sites	Rural village location may contribute to commuting	Improve public transport
		SUDS
Site does not impact of	Site is located within a source	
conservation areas	protection zone	Improve Sewage system
No evidence of land	Site is located outside of	
contamination	Kimpton, therefore likely to	
	increase commuting via	
The site does not border a	private transport	
watercourse		
	Infrequent local bus service	
SUDS are viable		
	Identified constraints on	
	sewage within the village	

Type of Site and Number				alows Lowronce End Boad Bater's Creen Kimpton
Site Reference and Locat	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	alows, Lawrence End Road, Peter's Green, Kimpton Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	-	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Peter's Green Scores 2. within the housing background paper, indicating there are inadequate facilities to justify any level of development other than affordable housing</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The development is located on a brownfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way border the site however does not link this site up to Kimpton</li> </ul>

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is out of town</li> <li>Bus services infrequent to this site</li> <li>No access to rail services within the village; station is further than 800m to the site</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> </ul>
				Mitigation – Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping     Mitigation – Increase public transport convises
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	[		Mitigation – Increase public transport services
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees present on site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible;</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site falls within the Kimpton and Whitwell Bottom.</li> <li>Landscape designations are Landscape Conservation Area, and the Hoo park designation</li> <li>The landscape is frequent and the impact of development is low</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site is not located near conservation areas</li> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site impacts upon a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is relatively small scale; unlikely to have significant impacts within the rural area.</li> <li>The site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	x	x	<ul> <li>site too small to affect this objective. Therefore this site will not provide affordable housing</li> <li>Housing Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development as the only reason for allowing a site within this area</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local</li> </ul>

and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	-			• De sig	sidential areas evelopment is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be inificant evidence of noise constraints identified.
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b> 6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	<u>Е</u> Х	X	X	• Ide	entified constraints on sewage within the village
TOWN CENTRES         7 Promote sustainable         urban living         Summary	x	x	x	• Re:	e is not within village sidents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest ge town for work
Strengths	Wo	aknesse			Potential mitigation
Development located on brownfield land Not designated as ecologically sensitive location. Site will not impact upon archaeological sites Site does not impact of conservation areas No evidence of land contamination The site does not border a watercourse SUDS are viable	Lan land Sev Rur con Site pro Site fincr priv Infr Ide sew The ade	d is agrid verage co al village tribute to e is locato tection z e is locato tection, th rease con vate tran requent l ntified co vage with e site doe	cultural g onstraint e locatior o commu ed withir one ed outsic erefore l nmuting sport ocal bus onstraint in the vi es not ha cilities to	rs n may uting n a source de of ikely to via service s on llage	Retain as many trees on the site as possible         Retain public right of way         Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping         Encourage and improve alternative transport         Improve public transport         SUDS         Improve facilities         Improve sewage system

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Peter's Green Scores 2. within the housing background paper, indicating there are inadequate facilities to justify any level of development other than affordable housing</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	X	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way border the site however does not link this site up to Kimpton</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	×	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is out of town</li> <li>Bus services infrequent to this site</li> <li>No access to rail services within the village; station is further than 800m to the site</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees present on site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible;</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes</li> <li>3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the biotection environment.</li> </ul>	√ 0	√ 0	√ 0	<ul> <li>Site falls within the Kimpton and Whitwell Bottom.</li> <li>Landscape designations are Landscape Conservation Area, and the Hoo park designation</li> <li>The landscape is frequent and the impact of development is low</li> <li>Site is not located near conservation areas</li> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> </ul>
historic environment 3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	x	x	<ul> <li>The site impacts upon a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is suitable for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The site is relatively small scale; unlikely to have significant impacts within the rural area.</li> <li>The site could aid in retaining local services within the area.</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	X	x	<ul> <li>site too small to affect this objective. Therefore this site will not provide affordable housing</li> <li>Housing Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development as the only reason for allowing a site within this area</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ГЕ			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	Х	X	X	Identified constraints on sewage within the village
TOWN CENTRES			1	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	X	X	<ul> <li>Site is not within village</li> <li>Residents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest large town for work</li> </ul>
Summary				

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	Development located on greenfield land	Retain as many trees on the site as possible
Site will not impact upon archaeological sites	Land is agricultural grade 3 land	Retain public right of way Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
Site does not impact of conservation areas	Sewerage constraints	Encourage and improve alternative transport
No evidence of land	Rural village location may contribute to commuting	Improve public transport
contamination The site does not border a watercourse SUDS are viable	Site is located within a source protection zone Site is located outside of Kimpton, therefore likely to increase commuting via private transport	SUDS Improve facilities Improve sewage system
	Infrequent local bus service Identified constraints on sewage within the village The site does not have adequate facilities to warrant a development	
	This site is too small to cater for affordable development	

## Kings Walden

Type of Site and Number: 49 Residential Site Reference and Location:07/0961/1 Allotments South of Colemans Road, Breachwood Green, King's Walden					
What is the predicted effect on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures				

	each S	A objec	tive?	
SA Objectives	Short term		Long term	
	term	term	term	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	×	<ul> <li>This site is a rural, isolated site and would be poor in terms of accessing local services and facilities</li> <li>The nearest village which is Kings Walden does have a food shop and a post office.</li> <li>Increased local population may support existing services in the neighbouring villages, but is unlikely to encourage new business in the area.</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way is near this site</li> <li>Site contains allotments</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure alternative site is located for allotments</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is isolated and as such is not well served by public transport.</li> <li>People living here are likely to rely on personal cars.</li> <li>Not within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees present on site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - Retain existing trees where possible;</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Breachwood Green Ridge landscape area</li> <li>The landscape is common however built development has a moderate impact on the landscape</li> </ul>

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is not located near conservation areas</li> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site contains no listed buildings, however is located opposite some listed buildings</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site impacts upon a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is unsuitable Drift/ suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>There are not a lot of services and facilities within this area.</li> <li>There is no school or surgery</li> <li>However development is likely to bring demand for an increase in services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing</li> <li>Housing Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development as a reason for allowing a site within this area</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Evidence of noise constraints identified from the runway at Luton airport</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>Good quality housing will bring about good health</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>No information regarding potential supply constraints in this area</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES		1		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is not within Kings Walden village</li> <li>Residents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest large town for work and food</li> </ul>

Summary		
Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	This site is a rural, isolated site and would be poor in terms of	Retain as many trees on the site as possible
Site will not impact upon	accessing local services and facilities	Retain public right of way
archaeological sites	Rural village location likely to	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
Site does not impact of conservation areas	contribute to commuting	Encourage and improve alternative transport
No evidence of land	Development located on greenfield land	Improve public transport
contamination	Land is agricultural grade 3	SUDS
The site does not border a watercourse	Site is located within a source	Improve facilities
Affordable housing	protection zone	Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size,
-	The site does not have	materials, design etc
	adequate facilities to warrant a development, the	Ensure densities are sensitive to landscape
	nearest town King's Walden has food shops – however	
	residents are likely to use private transport	
	Size of development is likely to affect landscape	
	Noise constraints from Luton airport.	
	Developments are located within the flight path	

#### Type of Site and Number: 50 Residential Site Reference and Location:07/0365/6 Land North of Lower Road, Breachwood Green, King's Walden

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a rural, isolated site and would be poor in terms of accessing local services and facilities</li> <li>The nearest village which is Kings Walden does have a food shop and a post office.</li> <li>Increased local population may support existing services in the neighbouring villages, but is unlikely to encourage new business in the area.</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>green space is within 400m</li><li>Public rights of way is near this site</li></ul>	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is extremely isolated and as such is not well served by public transport.</li> <li>People living here are likely to rely on personal cars.</li> <li>Not within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	1				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Site borders an established woodland, development could affect the woodland</li> <li>Trees present on site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation – Tree Survey; retain existing trees where possible; ecology survey</li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes</li> <li>3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment</li> </ul>	?/x ?/x	?/x ?/x	?/x ?/x	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Breachwood Green Ridge landscape area</li> <li>The landscape is common however built development has a moderate impact on the landscape</li> <li>Site is not located near conservation areas</li> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is located opposite some listed buildings</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	×	x	x	<ul> <li>The site impacts upon a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE	1			
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is unsuitable Drift/ suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC.</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	T			
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>The village is not identified as deprived</li> <li>Although there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area.</li> <li>There is no school or surgery</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Size of development is for 7 dwellings. Which is enough to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Evidence of noise constraints identified from the runway at Luton airport</li> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>Good quality housing will bring about good health</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>No information regarding potential supply constraints in this area</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul><li>Site is not within Kings Walden village</li><li>Residents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest</li></ul>

	la	rge town for work and food			
Summary		×			
Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation			
Not designated as ecologically	This site is a rural, isolated site	Retain as many trees on the site as possible			
sensitive location.	and would be poor in terms of				
Cite will not impact upon	accessing local services and facilities	Retain public right of way			
Site will not impact upon archaeological sites	Tacincies	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping			
archaeological sites	Rural village location likely to	Pedestrian cycle and walkway foute mapping			
Site does not impact of	contribute to commuting	Encourage and improve alternative transport			
conservation areas	5				
	Development located on	Improve public transport			
No evidence of land	greenfield land				
contamination		SUDS			
The site does not border a	Land is agricultural grade 3	Improve facilities			
watercourse	Site is located within a source	Improve facilities			
	protection zone	Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and			
Affordable housing	F	development and incorporates the local character including size,			
	The site does not have	materials, design etc			
	adequate facilities to				
	warrant a development, the				
	nearest town King's Walden				
	has food shops – however				
	residents are likely to use				
	private transport				
	Noise from Luton airport. The				
	development is within the				
	flight path				

### Knebworth

Type of Site and Number: 54 Residential

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
	_			ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	√	√	V	<ul> <li>This site is a brownfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	There is green space located nearby		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is not located within walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Education constraints regarding the Knebworth primary school – almost at full capacity – resulting in additional trips to other schools</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve schools and social infrastructure</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a brownfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	~	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is within the landscape area of Knebworth</li> <li>Landscape designations consist of Landscape conservation Area</li> <li>Landscape is common</li> <li>Impact of built development is low</li> </ul>		
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not within a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>		

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE		1 -	1 .	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a floodplain</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	x/√	x/√	×/√	<ul> <li>This town is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>However there are constraints on schools and social infrastructure</li> <li>Improve social and school infrastructure</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>No mention of dwelling size, however site is large enough to provide affordable developments</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	×	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated next to a convergence between 2 railway tracks</li> <li>Mitigation of noise and vibration should be considered by site design and landscaping</li> <li>Good quality housing is good for health</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	X	x	<ul> <li>Knebworth has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Sewage network is unlikely to support demand from development</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation – Alternative energy resources</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located within on the periphery of Knebworth and is further than 800m from the town centre</li> <li>Will increase the need for private transport</li> <li>No access to public rights of way</li> </ul>
Summary				
Strengths		aknesse		Potential mitigation
Within a brownfield site Not in a area of archaeologic	zone	Site is in a source protection zone		tection Improve initial utilities prior to development
interest				Incorporate as much vegetation as possible

Not in a conservation area	Planned houses will not be 800m from the town centre	Improve access to green space
Site is not within a flood plain	Site is located next to the Railway tracks	Improve public transport links
No Landscape designations		Implement SUDs
Provides access to affordable housing	Identified constraints on utilities	Noise and vibrations from railways should be considered by site design and landscaping
Site would support the local	This site is classified as grade 3	Consider improvements in education facilities
economy	agricultural land	Create public right of way
No Wildlife designations	Issue with primary school education – almost full	
Suitable for SUDS	No public rights of way	
Not contaminated	located nearby	

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Loc			i Land I	North of Old Lane, Knebworth			
What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?				Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY						
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS					
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>			

<ul> <li>2(b) Provide access to green spaces</li> <li>2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles</li> </ul>	0/√ ?/√	0/√ ?/√	0/√ ?/√	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>No public right of way</li> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is located within walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Education constraints regarding the Knebworth primary school – almost at full capacity – resulting in additional trips to other schools</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve social infrastructure and schools</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b> 3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	<u>CTION</u>  ?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	√	√	V	<ul> <li>hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> <li>Site is within the landscape area of Knebworth</li> <li>Landscape is common</li> <li>Impact of built development is low</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not with a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	×	×	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			л 	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	√	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a floodplain</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> <li>Site is large enough to consider CHP</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	×/√	x/√	x/√	<ul> <li>This town is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>However there are constraints on schools and social infrastructure</li> <li>Improve social and school infrastructure</li> </ul>

5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	• Sit	e is large enough to include affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		itial construction could provide noise disturbances ood quality housing is good for health
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	x	• Se • Mi	ebworth has identified constraints regarding utilities wage network is unlikely to support demand from development tigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development tigation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES			-		
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		te is located within 800m from the town centre access to public rights of way
Summary					
Strengths		knesses	S		Potential mitigation
Not in a area of archaeologica interest Not in a conservation area	not	nin a gre minimis greenfiel	e develo	site: does opment	Improve initial utilities prior to development Incorporate as much vegetation as possible
Site is not within a flood plain		Site is in a source protection zone			Improve public transport links
Planned houses will be 800m from the town centre	-	Identified constraints on utilities			Implement SUDs Consider improvements in education facilities. Improve social infrastructure and schools
No Landscape designations					
Provides access to affordable housing		This site is classified as grade 3 agricultural land			Create public right of way CHP
Site would support the local economy		Issue with primary school education – almost full			
No wildlife designations		No public rights of way located nearby			
Site large enough to consider					

СНР		
Suitable for SUDS		
Not contaminated		

			dicted	East of Stevenage Road, Knebworth Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
			•	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	There is green space located nearby		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is not located within walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Education constraints regarding the Knebworth primary school – almost at full capacity – resulting in additional trips to other schools</li> <li>Improve social infrastructure and schools</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION					

3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity 3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> <li>Site is within the landscape area of Knebworth</li> <li>Landscape designations consist of Landscape conservation Area</li> <li>Landscape is common</li> <li>Impact of built development is low</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not with a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	×	×	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	√	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Site is not within a floodplain</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	x/√	x/√	x/√	<ul> <li>This town is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>However there are constraints on schools and social infrastructure</li> <li>Improve social and school infrastructure</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	~	Site is large enough for affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated next to railway tracks</li> <li>Good quality housing is good for health</li> <li>Mitigation of noise and vibration should be considered by site design and landscaping</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE	_		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X	x	x	<ul> <li>Knebworth has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Sewage network is unlikely to support demand from development</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development</li> </ul>

			•	Mitigation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x x	x	•	Site is located within on the periphery of Knebworth and is further than 800m from the town centre Will increase the need for private transport No access to public rights of way
Summary	-			
Strengths	Weaknesse			Potential mitigation
Not in a area of archaeological interest	Within a gre site is classi agricultural	fied as gr		Improve initial utilities prior to development
Not in a conservation area	Does not n			Undertake an ecological assessment. Incorporate as much vegetation as possible
Site is not within a flood plain	developme		eenfield	Improve public transport links
No Landscape designations				Implement SUDs
Provides access to affordable housing	Site is in a source protection zone			Noise and vibrations from railways should be considered by site design and landscaping
Site would support the local economy	Planned ho 800m from			Improve access to green space
No Wildlife designations				Consider improvements in education facilities
Suitable for SUDS		Site is located next to the Railway tracks Identified constraints on utilities		Create public right of way
Not contaminated				
	Issue with p education –			
	No public rig nearby	ghts of wa	ay located	1

#### Type of Site and Number: 57 Residential

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>Public right of way is located near by</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is located within walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Education constraints regarding the Knebworth primary school – almost at full capacity – resulting in additional trips to other schools</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve school and social infrastructure</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE</b>	CTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	~	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is within the landscape area of Knebworth</li> <li>Landscape designations consist of Landscape conservation Area</li> <li>Landscape is common</li> <li>Impact of built development is low</li> </ul>		
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not with a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>		

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source           CLIMATE CHANGE           4(a) Reduce greenhouse	×	×	×	• This • This • The	e is situated within a source protection zone. s site is not contaminated s site does not border a watercourse re is no landfill site within 250m e is not within a floodplain
gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	•	V		Suit	table for SUDS e is large enough to consider CHP
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	x/√	×/√	×/√	• Hov	s town is not identified as a deprived area vever there are constraints on schools and social infrastructure prove social and school infrastructure
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	✓	V	• Site	e is large enough to include affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		ial construction could provide noise disturbances od quality housing is good for health
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	'E				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	×	x	• Sev • Miti	bworth has identified constraints regarding utilities vage network is unlikely to support demand from development gation – Improve initial utilities prior to development gation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		e is located within 800m from the town centre ess to public rights of way provided
Summary					
Strengths		aknesse	S		Potential mitigation
Not in a area of archaeologica interest Not in a conservation area	Doe dev	Within a greenfield site. Does not minimise development on greenfield			Improve initial utilities prior to development Incorporate as much vegetation as possible
Site is not within a flood plair	1	land Site is in a source protection			Improve public transport links

	zone	Implement SUDs
Planned houses will be 800m from the town centre	Identified constraints on utilities	Consider improvements in education facilities Site is large enough to consider CHP
No Landscape designations		
Provides access to affordable housing	This site is classified as grade 3 agricultural land	
Site would support the local economy	Issue with primary school education – almost full	
No Wildlife designations		
public rights of way located nearby		
Suitable for SUDS		
Not contaminated		
СНР		

Type of Site and Numbe Site Reference and Lo			5 Land	North of Watton Road, Knebworth
	What is effect o objectiv	n each :		Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
		term	term	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	<b>√</b>	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The proposed development has potential to support local services</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELO	PMENT PA	TTERNS		•

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>Public right of way borders site</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is located within walking distance to the town centre</li> <li>Education constraints regarding the Knebworth primary school – almost at full capacity – resulting in additional trips to other schools</li> <li>Mitigation- Improve school and social infrastructure</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is within the landscape area of Knebworth</li> <li>Landscape designations consist of Landscape conservation Area</li> <li>Landscape is common</li> <li>Impact of built development is low</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not with a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change <b>A JUST SOCIETY</b>	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not within a floodplain</li> <li>Suitable for SUDS</li> <li>Site is large enough to consider CHP</li> </ul>

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	<b>x</b> /√	×/√	x/√	• H • I	This town is not identified as a deprived area However there are constraints on schools and social infrastructure mprove social and school infrastructure
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	V	• 9	Site is large enough to include affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		nitial construction could provide noise disturbances Good quality housing is good for health
RESOURCE USE AND WAS			1	1.	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	×	×	• S	Knebworth has identified constraints regarding utilities Sewage network is unlikely to support demand from development Aitigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development Aitigation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES				<u> </u>	inigation vitemative energy resources
7 Promote sustainable urban living	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		Site is located within 800m from the town centre access to public rights of way provided
Summary					
Strengths		aknesse	S		Potential mitigation
Not in a area of archaeologic interest	Doe	hin a gro es not m relopmer	inimise		Improve initial utilities prior to development Incorporate as much vegetation as possible
Not in a conservation area	lan	•	5		incorporate as much vegetation as possible
Site is not within a flood plai		is in a s	ource pro	otection	Improve public transport links
Planned houses will be	zon		p		Implement SUDs
800m from the town cent	Ide	Identified constraints on		its on	Consider improvements in education facilities
No Landscape designations	util	utilities			CHP
Provides access to affordable housing	11115	s site is c cultural l		as grade 3	
Site would support the local economy		ie with pi cation –			

No Wildlife designations		
public rights of way located nearby		
Suitable for SUDS		
Not contaminated		
Site is large enough to consider CHP		

# Lilley

	ion: 08/3247/1 Land r/ What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is situated in a rural area</li> <li>According to the Housing Background Paper, Lilley does not have enough services to justify development.</li> <li>Lilley has no food shops, shops, no school, no doctors surgery</li> <li>Lilley is in a rural location outside of Luton</li> <li>The development is for 4 dwellings which isn't likely to create the demand required to develop new facilities and services in the near future</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way border and run through this site</li> </ul>

2 (a) Dalinear mart				
2 (c) Deliver more	X	x	x	<ul> <li>The nearest settlement to Lilley with services and facilities is Luton.</li> </ul>
sustainable location				There is a regular bus service within 400m     There is an explored by the service of the s
patterns and reduce the				There is no train station within 800m     Therefore it is accurated that the utility is location is likely to load to the
use of motor vehicles				<ul> <li>Therefore it is assumed that the village location is likely to lead to the increased one of points area</li> </ul>
				increased use of private cars
				Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives
	CTION			Mitigation – Improve public transport services
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		2//	2//	Cite data ask fall within an assault data ask dwithilfs with
3(a) Protect and enhance	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not fall within or near a designated wildlife site</li> </ul>
biodiversity				Trees are present around the perimeter of the site
				Mitigation – retain existing trees and hedgerows where possible
3(b) Protect and enhance	XX	XX	ХХ	• This village is located within the Lilley Bottom landscape character area.
landscapes				The site is located within an AONB – The Chilterns
				• Ensure that the development is sensitive to the surrounding landscape,
				and is in keeping with the existing settlements
2(a) Cancerta and where	2/2	?/X	2/2	Cite handens on anos of anchagolagical interact
3(c) Conserve and where	?/X	?/X	?/X	<ul> <li>Site borders an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is not leasted within a concentration area</li> </ul>
appropriate, enhance the				Site is not located within a conservation area
historic environment				Site is not located near listed buildings
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – undertake an archaeological survey</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and income the local building size.</li> </ul>
				development and incorporates the local character including size,
	/	/	/	materials, design etc
3(d) Reduce pollution from	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not situated within a source protection zone</li> </ul>
any source				Site does not border a water course
				There is no evidence of contamination upon the site
CLIMATE CHANGE		/		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> </ul>
gas emissions and				Suitability for SUDs is unclear
Improve the District's				
ability to adapt to climate				
change				
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of	X	x	x	Local services need improving
prosperity fairly				There are no shops, food shops, or schools
5(b) Increase access to	x	x	x	Site is not large enough to provide affordable housing
decent and affordable				<ul> <li>Affordable housing is criteria set out within the Housing Background</li> </ul>
housing				paper as justification for development
5(c) Improve conditions	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local</li> </ul>

and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities				• De sig	sidential areas velopment is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be nificant evidence of noise constraints identified.
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	• No	information on constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	• Re:	ey's location makes use of private cars likely sidents are likely to commute into Luton or Hitchin for work, and her purposes
Summary					
Strengths		cnesse			Potential mitigation
No evidence of land contamination The site does not border a watercourse Not at risk of Flooding Site is not within a conservation area Site provides access to green space Site does not contain a wildlife site Site is not located within a source protection zone Site does not contain listed buildings	agricu Accorr Backg not ha justify Lilley outsic Site d afford Not m servic Area o design The si	ultural I ding to ground ave end dave end dave end dis in a de of Lu loes no lable ho hany fa contain nation dites loca	ough ser opment. rural loc uton t provide	de 3 using uilley does vices to ation e nd rby	Retain as many trees on the site as possible Improve public transport Improve facilities and services Encourage and improve alternative transport SUDS Undertake an archaeological survey Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc Ensure that the development is sensitive to the surrounding landscape, and is in keeping with the existing settlements

Site is within an area of archaeological interest	
No information on resource constraints	xe
SUDs uncertain	

# Nuthampstead

Type of Site and Number:				
Site Reference and Locati	ion: 07/0846 Former de What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	epot, Park Lane, Nuthampstead Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is situated in a rural area</li> <li>It in not known what facilities are available as Nuthampstead is not listed within the housing background paper</li> <li>The nearest town with food shops is Barley which is 3 miles away</li> <li>Nuthampstead is 6 miles away from Royston</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	<b>TTERN</b>	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	V	V	V	<ul> <li>The development is located on a brownfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 2</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way are nearby</li> </ul>

2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	X	x	x	<ul> <li>The nearest village to Nuthampstead with a variety of services and facilities is Royston.</li> <li>It is not clear whether there is a bus service</li> <li>There is no train station within 800m</li> <li>Therefore it is assumed that the village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport services</li> </ul>
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not fall within or near a designated wildlife site</li> <li>Trees are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees where possible</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	V	V	~	<ul> <li>This village is located within the Nuthampstead landscape character area.</li> <li>Identified as a Landscape Conservation Area 2</li> <li>The site is not in a designated area</li> <li>The landscape is common and the impact of development is low.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not contain an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site is not located near listed buildings</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	X	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>The site borders a flood risk zone – flood zone 3</li> <li>Mitigation – consider flood prevention measures</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	×	×	×	<ul> <li>Local services need improving</li> <li>There are no shops, food shops, or schools</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	~	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> </ul>

good health and reduce health inequalities				<ul> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>		
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>				•		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No information on constraints		
TOWN CENTRES						
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	<ul> <li>x x</li> <li>Nuthampstead's location make use of private transport likely</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute into the nearest large urban areas fo work, and for other purposes</li> </ul>				
Summary						
Strengths	We	aknesse	es		Potential mitigation	
This is a brownfield site		Evidence of land contamination		tamination	Retain as many trees on the site as possible	
The site does not border a watercourse		Agricultural land grade 2 Site borders a flood risk zone			Improve public transport Improve facilities and services	
Affordable housing	0.00					
Site is not within a conservation area	loca	Nuthampstead is in a rural location, the nearest large town is Royston			Encourage and improve alternative transport SUDS	
Site provides access to green space		Not many facilities and services located nearby				
Site does not contain a wildlife site	pro	The sites location is likely to promote commuting, especially for employment				
Site does not contain listed buildings	No	No information on resource constraints				
Site is not within an area of archaeological interest		SUDs are unsuitable upon drift geology materials		upon drift		
SUDs are suitable upon solid geology		e is locate tection z		a source		

## Offley

### Site 213 – The Rookery, Kings Walden Road, Offley

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site has the potential to support the rural economy and rural facilities</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	<ul> <li>Site located on greenfield land, although includes allotments</li> <li>Site classified as grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Green space within 400m</li> <li>Rights of way nearby providing access to open countryside</li> </ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	x	<ul> <li>Housing Background Paper indicates a good regular bus service within the village</li> <li>The site is not located within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of overgrown hedgerows and grass areas</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	х	<ul> <li>Site located in Offley – St Paul's Walden Landscape Charcter area (211) which has moderate to high sensitivity</li> <li>The landscape capacity for incremental small scale developments is considered to be low.</li> <li>Mitigation – Landscape assessment</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	х	<ul> <li>Site includes part of the conservation area and a listed building</li> <li>Mitigation - ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc.</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	0	<ul><li>Site location in Source Protection Zone 2</li><li>Site unlikely to be contaminated</li></ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	0	<ul> <li>Site not in a flood zone</li> <li>Potential issues with SuDS viability</li> </ul>
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	• The site could contribute in retaining local rural services within the area
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas, although the site is some distance from the main core of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		

6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	No constraints identified
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	? / X	<ul> <li>Offley's location makes the residents use of private transport likely to commute into the nearest large town for work and entertainment, few services in the village</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site will support local services	Greenfield site, grade 3 agricultural land	Ecological survey
Site	Site not within 400m of hus ston	Landssana assassment
Within 400m of greenspace	Site not within 400m of bus stop	Landscape assessment
	Low capacity in landscape	Sensitive design relating to historic built
Does not include designated wildlife site		environment
Site will provide offerdable beyoing	Site contains listed building and conservation area	Improve public transport provision
Site will provide affordable housing		Improve public transport provision
Not in flood risk area		Noise and vibration should be
		considered in design

## **Pirton**

#### Site 214 – Holwell Turn, West Lane

SA Objective: Will the site		Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
-----------------------------	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	√	<ul> <li>The site is situated in a rural area</li> <li>There are not many facilities or services nearby</li> <li>The site has the potential to support the rural economy; number of proposed dwellings on the site is high</li> <li>In the long term demand for services and facilities will increase within the area and bring in new business</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	х	<ul> <li>Site is greenfield</li> <li>Site is located upon grade 2 and 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Green space is within 400m</li><li>Public rights of way are near this site</li></ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	х	<ul> <li>Bus stop within 400m, there is a regular bus service</li> <li>There is no train station within 800m</li> <li>There are no food shops and no doctors surgery, the nearest large town to Pirton is Hitchin which is 3 miles away</li> <li>The site location is likely to lead to increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation - Encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve public transport services</li> </ul>
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	? / √	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design.</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows and sensitive design to create net gain for biodiversity</li> </ul>

3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0	<ul> <li>This village is located within the Pirton Lowlands landscape character area, which has moderate to low sensitivity</li> <li>Landscape capacity for small scale incremental development is considered to be moderate</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure the development and its densities are in keeping with the surrounding areas, use and enhancing of existing hedgerows in screening of site.</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	0	<ul> <li>The site does not contain an area of archaeological interest, listed building or conservation area</li> <li>Site borders a conservation area</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	?/√	<ul> <li>The site is not in a flood risk zone</li> <li>The site is not viable for SUDs as identified in the SuDS viability Plan</li> </ul>
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>This area is not identified as deprived</li> <li>Local services need improving</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>The development is relatively large and the construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> <li>Provision and access to affordable housing improves health inequalities</li> </ul>

Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	No information on constraints
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	Х	<ul> <li>There are no shops, food shops as well as no doctors surgery in Pirton</li> <li>The location of the site will increase the use of private transport</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute into the nearest large town for work, and other trips</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site will support rural services	Greenfield site	Encourage sustainable transport initiatives
Site will provide affordable housing	Located upon grade 2 and 3 agricultural land	Improve public transport services
Site not in a flood risk area	Out of town location means commuting will occur	Sensitive design for setting of adjacent conservation area
No evidence of contamination		Design and densities in keeping with existing
Low landscape sensitivity		development
No designated wildlife site		

### Preston

Type of Site and Number: 65 Residential Site Reference and Location:07/0961/1 Land West of Back Lane, Preston

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a rural, isolated site and would be poor in terms of accessing local services and facilities</li> <li>Preston does not have a food shop or a post office.</li> <li>Increased local population may support existing services in the neighbouring villages, but is unlikely to encourage new business in the area</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way nearby</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is isolated. The nearest large towns for employment are Hitchin and Stevenage</li> <li>There is a regular bus service</li> <li>The site is within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>People living here are likely to rely on personal cars.</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	1			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees where possible</li> </ul>

3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes 3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x x	?/x x	?/x x	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Offley – St Paul's Walden landscape conservation area</li> <li>The landscape is common however built development has a moderate impact on the landscape</li> <li>Ensure development is in-keeping with existing settlement pattern</li> <li>Site is located within areas of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is partially located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site contains no listed buildings</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is not situated within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY	-	-		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	<ul> <li>No information regarding potential supply constraints in this area</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				

7 Promote sustainable urban living Summary	x x	x	• Th • Re	te is located a great distance from employment lere are no food shops within Preston esidents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest age town for work and food
				1
Strengths	Weaknes	ses		Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	This is a g			Retain as many trees on the site as possible
Site is not located within a	Agricultur	-		Improve public transport
source protection zone			solated site of access	Encourage and improve alternative transport
Site contains no listed buildings	local servi	ces and fa	cilities	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
No evidence of land	Rural villa contribute			SUDS?
contamination	Site is wit		5	Improve facilities
The site does not border a watercourse	archaeolo			Undertake an archaeological survey
Affordable housing	Site is par conservat	•	in a	Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size,
Site provides access to green	The site is	identified	as	materials, design etc
space	unsuitable for SUDS SUDS vial	insuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from		Ensure densities are sensitive to landscape
	NHDC. (D terrace de	posits, So	lid=	
	London Cl Bagshot B			
	Developr the lands		d impact	

#### Type of Site and Number: 67 Residential Site Reference and Location:07/0961/1 Land North of Chequers Lane, Preston

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a rural, isolated site and would be poor in terms of accessing local services and facilities</li> <li>The Preston does not have a food shop or a post office.</li> <li>Increased local population may support existing services in the neighbouring villages, but is unlikely to encourage new business in the area</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	s		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	×	x	X	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way run through this site</li> </ul>	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is isolated. The nearest large towns for employment are Hitchin and Stevenage</li> <li>There is a regular bus service</li> <li>The site is within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>People living here are likely to rely on personal cars.</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	-	· ·			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees where possible</li> </ul>	

3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes 3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment 3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	?/x 0 √	?/x 0 √	?/x 0 √	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Offley - St Paul's Walden landscape conservation area</li> <li>The landscape is common however built development has a moderate impact on the landscape</li> <li>Ensure development is in-keeping with existing settlement pattern</li> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site contains no listed buildings</li> <li>The site is not situated within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity will potentially provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No information regarding potential supply constraints in this area
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is a long distance from employment areas</li> <li>There are no food shops within Preston</li> <li>Residents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest large town for work and food</li> </ul>

Summary		
Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	This is a greenfield site	Retain as many trees on the site as possible
Site is not located within a	Agricultural land grade 3	Improve public transport
source protection zone	This site is a rural, isolated site and is poor in terms of access	Encourage and improve alternative transport
Site contains no listed buildings	local services and facilities	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
J	Rural village location likely to	SUDS?
No evidence of land contamination	contribute to commuting	Improve facilities
The site does not border a watercourse	The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the	Ensure densities are sensitive to landscape
Affordable housing	SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid=	
Site is not within an area of archaeological interest	London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)	
Site provides access to green space	Development could impact the landscape	
Site is not within a conservation area		

Type of Site and Number: 69 Residential Site Reference and Location: 08/3261/1 Land South of Crunnells Green, Preston					
What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?				Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	hort Med Long			

				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
			_	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a rural, isolated site and would be poor in terms of accessing local services and facilities</li> <li>Preston does not have a food shop or a post office.</li> <li>Increased local population may support existing services in the neighbouring villages, but is unlikely to encourage new business in the area</li> </ul>
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	1	r		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	×	x	×	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Green space is within 400m</li><li>Public rights of way run through this site</li></ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	X	x	X	<ul> <li>The site is isolated. The nearest large towns for employment are Hitchin and Stevenage</li> <li>There is a regular bus service</li> <li>The site is within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>People living here are likely to rely on personal cars.</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of a train station</li> <li>Long term - Will encourage use of private transport for commuting to village areas.</li> <li>Mitigation - Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping</li> <li>Mitigation - Increase public transport services</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	1		- · /	
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees where possible</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Offley – St Paul's Walden landscape conservation area</li> <li>The landscape is common however built development has a moderate impact on the landscape</li> <li>Ensure development is in-keeping with existing settlement pattern</li> </ul>

3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is not located within areas of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is borders a conservation area</li> <li>Site is situated near a listed building</li> <li>Site is opposite to historic parks and gardens</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>The site is not situated within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V	~	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity will potentially provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No information regarding potential supply constraints in this area
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is isolated</li> <li>There are no food shops within Preston</li> <li>Residents likely to use private transport to commute into the nearest large town for work and food</li> </ul>
Summary				

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	This is a greenfield site	Retain as many trees on the site as possible
	Agricultural land grade 3	Improve public transport
Site is not located within a source protection zone	This site is a rural, isolated site and is poor in terms of access	Encourage and improve alternative transport
No evidence of land contamination	local services and facilities	Pedestrian cycle and walkway route mapping
The site does not border a	Site is situated near listed buildings	SUDS?
watercourse		Improve facilities
Affordable housing	Site borders a conservation area	Ensure densities are sensitive to landscape
Site is not within an area of archaeological interest	Site is opposite historic parks and gardens	Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size,
Site provides access to green space	Rural village location likely to contribute to commuting	materials, design etc
	The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)	
	Development could impact the landscape	

## Reed

Type of Site and Number: 72 Residential

	What is effect o objectiv			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long		
	term	term	term		
			1	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	×/√	×/√		<ul> <li>The village centre does not have adequate facilities to cater for or to employ new residents. There are no shops including no food shops or post office</li> <li>Residents would have to commute to work and to go shopping.</li> <li>New housing would support local services and rural economy in the long term once facilities and services have improved within Reed.</li> <li>There is a school in Reed which would be within walking distance.</li> <li>Mitigation – create more facilities and employment opportunities</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>This site is agricultural land grade 2</li> <li>This site is comprised of Woodland bordered by agricultural land and residential land.</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• There is green space located nearby, linked by a public right of way	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>There is school a within walking distance</li> <li>There is a regular local bus service within 400m; however people are likely to rely upon private transport use.</li> <li>Site is not within 800m of train station</li> <li>There are no shops or a post office within the village centre.</li> <li>New housing would support local services and rural economy in the long term once facilities and services have improved within Reed.</li> <li>Mitigation: Improve local facilities</li> <li>Improve public transport facilities</li> </ul>	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Development of this site would not protect biodiversity.</li> <li>Mitigation: Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>	

3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	wh ara are • Ho • Sit	te falls within a Landscape Character Area of Therfield Reed Plateau hich identifies that buffer zones should be created between intensive able production and areas of semi habitat and the creation of habitat eas. wever landscape is relatively common within the area te is woodland which provides this buffer zone and creates links tween habitat areas.
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	• Sit list	te does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or ted building e site falls outside of the village conservation area.
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	√	√	• Th	is site is not contaminated, there is no landfill site within 250m
CLIMATE CHANGE				-	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	√		e is not within a flood zoneplain itability for SUDS unclear
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• Th	is village is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	• Th	is site potentially provides access to affordable and decent housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		e site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health y create noise impact during construction.
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	ΓE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	0	• No	constraints identified
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x		cess to public rights of way, sidents are likely to rely on private transport
Summary					
Strengths	We	akness	es		Potential mitigation
New housing would support local services and rural		site is a ides an e		d site and d	To develop facilities and services within village.

<ul> <li>economy in the long term once facilities and services have improved within Reed.</li> <li>There is the potential for the provision of affordable housing.</li> <li>Within walking distance of schools and green space via public rights of way</li> <li>Site does not fall within a conservation area, and is not situated near listed buildings, or ancient monuments.</li> <li>Site provides access to green space</li> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Site is not contaminated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>woodland</li> <li>Includes Grade 2 agricultural land</li> <li>There are no local facilities, shops within Reed.</li> <li>This will increase the use of private transport, increasing greenhouse gas emissions.</li> <li>This site does not promote sustainable urban living.</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS unclear</li> </ul>	Improve Public transport Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter Investigate potential for SUDs
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

				ppraised as proposed village boundary amendment) ed house, Jackson's Lane, Reed	
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long		
	term	term	term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x/√ (?)	x/√ (?)	√ (?)	<ul> <li>The village centre does not have adequate facilities to cater for or to employ new residents. There are no shops including no food shops or post office</li> <li>Residents would have to commute to work and to go shopping.</li> </ul>	
				<ul> <li>New housing would support local services and rural economy in the</li> </ul>	

LAND USE AND DEVELOP		TFRNS		<ul> <li>long term once facilities and services have improved within Reed.</li> <li>There is a school in Reed which would be within walking distance.</li> <li>Mitigation – create more facilities and employment opportunities (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	√ (?)	√ (?)	√ (?)	<ul> <li>This site is a brownfield site.</li> <li>If included in site boundary would provide potential land for development in the future.</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	√ (?)	√ (?)	√ (?)	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby.</li> <li>Site is near to a public rights of way</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x/√ (?)	x/√ (?)	√ (?)	<ul> <li>There is a school within walking distance</li> <li>There is a local bus service within 400m</li> <li>There is not train station within 800m</li> <li>There are no shops or a post office within the village centre.</li> <li>New housing would support local services and rural economy in the long term once facilities and services have improved within Reed.</li> <li>People are likely to rely upon private transport use.</li> <li>Mitigation: Improve local facilities</li> <li>Improve public transport</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	CTION	•		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/ √ (?)	? /√ (?)	?/ √ (?)	<ul> <li>This site is a brownfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site.</li> <li>Mitigation: Ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0 (?)	0 (?)	0 (?)	<ul> <li>Site falls within a Landscape Character Area of Therfield Reed Plateau which identifies that buffer zones should be created between intensive arable production and areas of semi habitat and the creation of habitat areas.</li> <li>However landscape is relatively common within the area (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x (?)	?/x (?)	?/x (?)	<ul> <li>Site borders village conservation area</li> <li>Mitigation: Ensure sensitive design and that the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>

3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	?/x (?)	?/x (?)	?/x (?)	<ul> <li>Site is not contaminated (However there is an unknown fill material present</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>Mitigation: Undertake a land contamination survey and remediation (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>	
CLIMATE CHANGE		_			
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	√ (?)	√ (?)	√ (?)	<ul> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS unclear</li> <li>Mitigation - Investigate potential for SUDS (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>	
A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	√ (?)	√ (?)	√ (?)	This village is not identified as a deprived area (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)	
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	√ (?)	√ (?)	√ (?)	• This site potentially provides access to affordable and decent housing (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)	
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x (?)	0 (?)	0 (?)	<ul> <li>The site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health</li> <li>May create noise impact during construction.</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>	
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0 (?)	0 (?)	0 (?)	<ul> <li>No constraints identified (depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>	
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X (?)	X (?)	X (?)	<ul> <li>Access to public rights of way,</li> <li>Residents are likely to rely on private transport to access facilities.</li> <li>(depends on whether or not site is developed in the future)</li> </ul>	
Summary					
Strengths		aknesse		Potential mitigation	
Site is a brownfield site Site is within easy walking distance from anywhere within the village				<ul> <li>This will</li> <li>Improve local facilities</li> </ul>	
There is a bus stop within	Site	is not wi	thin 800	Om of train • Ensure development retains and enhances the tree line and	

400m	station	hedgerows around the perimeter
There is a school within walking distance	This site does not promote sustainable urban living.	<ul><li>Investigate potential for SUDs</li><li>Land contamination study and potential remediation</li></ul>
Site increases access to affordable housing There are no wildlife	Suitability for SUDS unclear Site borders the village conservation area	<ul> <li>Ensure the development does not impact on conservation sites</li> </ul>
designations on this site Site contains no listed buildings	Site is unlikely to be contaminated however there is an unknown fill material on site	<ul> <li>Ensure sensitive design and that the development adheres to the local character i.e. size, design, building materials</li> </ul>
Site provides access to green space		
Site does not fall within a flood zone		

# Royston

Type of Site and Number: 126, Residential Site Reference and Location: 3514 Mile End Farm, London Road, Reed							
What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?				Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x/√	x/√	x/√	<ul> <li>The site is situated in a rural area</li> <li>There are not many facilities or services nearby</li> <li>The site has the potential to support the rural economy; relatively large number of proposed dwellings due to large site.</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5				

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	×	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site.</li> <li>The site is located upon grade 2 agricultural land.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	x	x	x	<ul> <li>There is no formal public open space nearby, although site is surrounded by countryside.</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is remote from both Reed and Royston.</li> <li>There is no railway station within 800m.</li> <li>This rural location would be likely to lead to increased use of private cars.</li> <li>Mitigation – encourage sustainable transport initiatives.</li> <li>Mitigation – improve public transport services.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance.</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present around the perimeter of the site.</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees and hedgerows where possible</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site falls within the 'Scarp slopes south of Royston' landscape character area.</li> <li>Landscape character consists of larger rectilinear arable fields.</li> <li>This type of landscape is relatively common within North Hertfordshire.</li> <li>One of the features of this landscape is its open character.</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Part of site is within an area of archaeological interest.</li> <li>Site is not located within a conservation area.</li> <li>Site contains no listed buildings.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>Site is located within groundwater source protection zone 2.</li> <li>Site is within a groundwater vulnerable zone.</li> <li>Potential for contamination from use as a farmyard.</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	x	×	<ul> <li>Residential site will create an increase in private transport due to the lack of public transport links. Therefore Green house gas emissions are likely to increase</li> <li>Site is not within a flood zone</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS unclear</li> <li>Mitigation – Investigate potential for SUDS</li> <li>Improve public transport links</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		nis is not identified as a deprived area ne site has potential to support local services within the area.
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• Si	te is large enough to provide affordable housing.
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0		ne site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health ay create noise impact during construction
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	0	0	<b>0</b> • No		o Constraints identified
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	X	X • Sit		te is remote from services and facilities.
Summary					
Strengths	We	aknesse	es		Potential mitigation
Not within a flood zone.	Par	Part of site within archaeological area.			Public transport improvements on the A10 corridor may partially reduce the impact of development here, but that would be both
No wildlife designations. May provide affordable housing.		Greenfield site, classified as grade 2 agricultural land.			marginal and likely at the expense of diverting services away from their existing routes through other villages.
		Within groundwater source protection zone 3. Site is not within walking distance of facilities.			

### Sandon

Type of Site and Number: 86 Residential Site Reference and Location: 08/3680/1 Land at Gannock Farm Gannock Green, Sandon

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site is likely to support the existing services within the village		
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS	5			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	X	x	X	<ul> <li>This site is greenfield land</li> <li>The land is designated as agricultural grade 2.</li> </ul>		
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Public rights of way run through this site</li> <li>Access to green space</li> </ul>		
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Housing study indicates a regular bus service within the village itself</li> <li>However there are not that many services</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute</li> <li>Mitigation - Sustainable alternative transport initiative</li> </ul>		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	-				
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>The site is not located within an area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows present on the site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>		
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Lies within Weston – Green End Plateaux LCA (recommendation: improve and conserve).</li> </ul>		
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/X	?/X	?/X	<ul> <li>The site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>This site is not located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>There are numerous listed buildings located near to the site itself.</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>		
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Land is not contaminated</li><li>Land is not situated near a water course</li></ul>		
CLIMATE CHANGE						
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding according to the environment agency</li> </ul>		

Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change				acc	e site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS ording to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river race deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, alk)
A JUST SOCIETY			<u>.</u>		
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The villa	e site could contribute to supporting existing rural services within the age
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	$\checkmark$	V		ge numbers of dwellings on the site therefore affordable housing will available
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	• Ini		e site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health ial construction phase could possibly cause noise effects to local siness
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	E				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	• Lim	ited information regarding the site constraints
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X	х	X	are	ated within a geographically remote area with regards to local urban as and town JId encourage commuting to the surrounding town
Summary			1		
Strengths	Wea	kness	es		Potential mitigation
Potential to support existing rural services Regular bus service, school	Villa resu and	WeaknessesVillage location is likely to result in commuting to work, and for shopping and other			Sustainable alternative transport initiative Ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.
and post office in village		services			
Easy access to Green Spaces	agrio	Includes designated agricultural land of grade 2 quality			Ensure development is in keeping with surrounding area Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development
Access to affordable housing Site is not at risk of flooding		within Plateau	Weston - x LCA	Green	and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc
	(recommendation: improve			mprove	

Site is not located within a conservation area	and conserve).	
	Limited information on	
Site is not located near an area of archaeological interest	constraints	
	Site is located near listed	
	buildings	

Type of Site and Numbers				orth of Rockells Jersey farm, green End, Sandon			
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures			
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term				
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	<ul> <li>This site consists of 1 dwelling</li> <li>The site is likely to provide a very small contribution in supporting the existing services within the village</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S				
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	X	X	<ul> <li>This site is greenfield land</li> <li>The land is designated as agricultural grade 2.</li> </ul>			
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Public rights of way border this site</li> <li>Access to green space</li> </ul>			
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	X	x	X	<ul> <li>Housing study indicates a regular bus service within the village itself</li> <li>However there are not that many services</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute</li> <li>Mitigation – Sustainable alternative transport initiative</li> </ul>			
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTE	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION						
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>The site is not located within an area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows present on the site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>			

3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?/X	?/X	?/X	<ul> <li>Lies within Weston – Green End Plateaux LCA (recommendation: improve and conserve).</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	×	X	X	<ul> <li>This site is partially located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>The site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>There are no listed buildings near this site</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Land is not contaminated</li><li>Land is not situated near a water course</li></ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	✓	V	V	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at no risk of flooding according to the environment agency</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site consists of 1 dwelling</li> <li>The site could contribute to supporting existing rural services within the village</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site size is large however only 1 dwelling affordable housing will be unlikely</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	×	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise effects to local business</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	1			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	Limited information regarding the site constraints
7 Promote sustainable urban living Summary	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Located within a geographically remote area with regards to local urban areas and town</li> <li>Could encourage commuting to the surrounding town</li> </ul>

Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Potential to support existing rural services	Greenfield land	Sustainable alternative transport initiative
	Village location is likely to	Ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows
Regular bus service, school and post office in village	result in commuting to work, and for shopping and other	retained and enhanced.
	services	Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development
Easy access to Green Spaces		and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design
,	Site falls partially within an area of archaeological interest	etc
Site is not at risk of flooding	5	Ensure development is in keeping with surrounding area
-	Includes designated	
Site is not contaminated	agricultural land of grade 2 quality	SUDs ?
Site is not located within a	, ,	
conservation area	Lies within Weston – Green	
	End Plateaux LCA	
Site is not located near to listed buildings	(recommendation: improve and conserve).	
	Limited information on	
	constraints	
	No access to affordable housing	

Type of Site and Number: 88 Residential Site Reference and Location: 08/3665/1 Rockells Jersey farm, green End, Sandon							
What is the         Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures           predicted effect on         each SA objective?							
SA Objectives	Short Med Long term term term						
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY			
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	0	0	0	<ul> <li>This site consists of 1 dwelling</li> <li>The site is likely to provide a very small contribution in supporting the existing services within the village</li> </ul>			

LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	IENT PA	TTERNS	5	
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	X	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is greenfield land</li> <li>The land is designated as agricultural grade 2.</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Public rights of way border this site</li><li>Access to green space</li></ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Housing study indicates a regular bus service within the village itself</li> <li>However there are not that many services</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute</li> <li>Mitigation – Sustainable alternative transport initiative</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC		<b>.</b>		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>The site is not located within an area of ecological or environmental sensitivity</li> <li>However site is large and is opposite a designated woodland</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows present on the site</li> <li>Sensitive construction measures are required to take into account the wildlife area</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	?/X	?/X	?/X	<ul> <li>Lies within Weston – Green End Plateaux LCA (recommendation: improve and conserve).</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is partially located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>The site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>There are no listed buildings near this site</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake an archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Land is potentially contaminated due to farmyard on site</li> <li>Land is not situated near a water course</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site appears to be at risk of flooding, site borders flood risk zone 3</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				

5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	0	0	0	• Tł vil	te consists of 1 dwelling. ne site could contribute to supporting existing rural services within the llage
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	x	x		te size is large however only 1 dwelling affordable housing will be nlikely
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	• In	ne site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health itial construction phase could possibly cause noise effects to local isiness
RESOURCE USE AND WAST			-	· · ·	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	• Lii	mited information regarding the site constraints
TOWN CENTRES				•	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	X	X	X	ar	ocated within a geographically remote area with regards to local urban eas and town ould encourage commuting to the surrounding town
Summary					
Strengths	We	aknesse	es		Potential mitigation
Potential to support existing rural services		enfield la		- 1 - 4 -	Sustainable alternative transport initiative
Regular bus service, school and post office in village	res		nmuting	to work,	Ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.
Easy access to Green Spaces	ser	and for shopping and other services			Consider sensitive construction due to wildlife site
Site is not located within a		Site falls near to a wildlife designated site		ildlife	archaeological survey
conservation area Site is not located near to listed buildings		Site falls partially within an area of archaeological interest Includes designated agricultural land of grade 2 quality			Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc
	agr				Ensure development is in keeping with surrounding area Flood prevention measures
		e is at ris	k of floo	ding	Undertake contamination survey and consider land remediation

Lies within Weston – Green End Plateaux LCA (recommendation: improve and conserve).	
Limited information on constraints	
No access to affordable housing	
Site is potentially contaminated	

# **St Ippolyts**

Type of Site and Number: Site Reference and Loc		7/092		and north of The Cresent, London Road St Ippolyts Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures		
	effect o objectiv	n each s				
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY		
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site located on the outskirts of village close to the town of Hitchin.</li> <li>Could contribute to the improvement of the local economy and support of the existing services</li> </ul>		
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS						
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>The land is designated as grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>		

2(b) Provide access to green spaces	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby.</li> <li>There is no public rights of way adjoining the site or near the site</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure access to green space is improved via public rights of way through the site</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is located within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>Site on the edge of town which will increase the use of private transport</li> <li>Mitigation - Enhance pedestrian routes; encourage and promote sustainable transport initiatives.</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION	1		
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Established trees and hedgerows are present throughout the site.</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	0	0	0	Site has no landscape designation
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site does not adjoin a village conservation area</li> <li>Site does not adjoin an area archaeological interest.</li> <li>There are no listed buildings within this site.</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Site is not situated near a water course</li><li>Site is not contaminated</li></ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE		<b>1</b> •	-	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	V		V	<ul> <li>Site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>Site is not in a flood risk area</li> <li>suitable for SUDS according to SUDS Viability Plan</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	This town is not identified as a deprived area
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	~	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender	x	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is not likely to cause any problems with regards to health</li> <li>Initial construction phase could possibly cause noise and nuisance</li> </ul>

good health and reduce				eff	ects to local residents
health inequalities					
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	•				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	<ul> <li>Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Water supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for pressure boosters</li> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development</li> </ul>		
				• Mit	igation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x	cer	e on edge of Hitchin Encouraging people to use local shops and town htre wever may increase commuting
Summary					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Strengths	Wea	knesses	5		Potential mitigation
Site located on the outskirts o village close to the town of Hitchin.		Greenfield site agricultural land grade 3			Improve public transport Improve initial utilities prior to development
Could contribute to the improvement of the local economy and support of the existing services	Site	Identified constraints on utilities Site location could increase commuting			Improve public access to green space via creation of public footpaths Encourage and promote sustainable transport initiatives.
Located within 400m of a bus service					ensure that the development retains and enhances the tree line and hedgerows around the perimeter
Not within a source protection zone					Incorporate SUDs
No wildlife sites are located within or near this site					
Site provides affordable housing					
The site would be suitable to implement SUDS scheme according to the SUDS Viabilit Plan	4				

Site not within a village conservation area		
Site does not contain areas of archaeological interest		
Site does not contain listed buildings		

### Site 219 – Land at London Road

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is located on the outskirts of the village, close to Hitchin</li> <li>Could contribute to the local economy potential and support existing services</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	х	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Site is within 400m of green space</li><li>Site is near to a right of way</li></ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	х	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not within 800m of station</li> <li>As this is a village location although adjoining Hitchin, however people are likely to commute out to work</li> <li>Mitigation – improve public transport</li> </ul>

Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	√/0	<ul> <li>Site located in Langley Valley Landscape Character Area (214), which has moderate sensitivity</li> <li>The landscape capacity for incremental small scale development is considered low to moderate</li> <li>The sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having moderate sensitivity and moderate to high capacity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site does not contain listed buildings, archaeological site or conservation area.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to a couple of listed buildings, therefore their settings will need to be considered</li> <li>Mitigation – consideration of adjacent listed buildings in design</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not located in a Source Protection Zone, although in a high water vulnerability area</li> <li>Site unlikely to be contaminated</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site not in a flood zone</li> <li>SuDS are viable</li> </ul>
A just society		

5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	Not a deprived area
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas, although the site is some distance from the main core of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	х	<ul> <li>Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> <li>Mitigation – improve initial utilities prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation – alternative energy resources</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is outside of large town, although on the outskirts</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute into surrounding towns for work and shopping although may walk to town and local centres</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
No designated wildlife sites	This is a greenfield site on agricultural Grade 3	Ecological survey and retention of existing vegetation
Site is not located in Source Protection		
Zone	The site is located on the edge of a village and will encourage commuting	Encourage sustainable transport measures
No evidence of land contamination		Landscape assessment
	Moderate-low capacity in landscape	
Not in a flood zone		Improve public transport provision
Site does not contain archaeological area, conservation area or listed		Improvement of utilities in advance of development

building	
Site will provide affordable housing	Alternative energy solutions
Within 400m of greenspace	

#### Site 220 – Land off Mill Road

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The site is located on the outskirts of the village, close to Hitchin</li> <li>Could contribute to the local economy and support existing services</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	х	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>Site is within 400m of green space</li><li>Site is near to a right of way</li></ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	х	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not within 800m of station</li> <li>As this is a village location people are likely to commute out to work</li> </ul>

		Mitigation – improve public transport
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0	<ul> <li>Site located in Langley Valley Landscape Character Area (214), which has moderate sensitivity. The landscape capacity of the LCA for incremental small scale development is considered to low to moderate</li> <li>The sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having moderate sensitivity and moderate capacity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site does not contain listed buildings, archaeological site or conservation area.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to a couple of listed buildings, therefore their settings will need to be considered</li> <li>Mitigation – consideration of adjacent listed buildings in design</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not located in a Source Protection Zone, although in a high water vulnerability area</li> <li>Site unlikely to be contaminated</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site not in a flood zone</li> <li>SuDS are viable</li> </ul>
A just society		

5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	Not a deprived area
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	x / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas, although the site is some distance from the main core of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	<ul> <li>Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> <li>Mitigation – improve initial utilities prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation – alternative energy resources</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site is outside of large town, although on the outskirts</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute into surrounding towns for work although may walk to town and local centres</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
No designated wildlife sites	This is a greenfield site on agricultural Grade 3	Ecological survey and retention of existing vegetation
Site is not located in Source		
Protection Zone	The site is located on the edge of a village and will encourage commuting	Encourage sustainable transport measures
No evidence of land contamination	5 5	Landscape assessment
	Moderate-low capacity in landscape	
Site does not contain archaeological		Improve public transport provision
area, conservation area or listed building		Improvement of utilities in advance of

Site will provide affordable housing	development
Within 400m of greenspace	Alternative energy solutions

#### Site 222: Land at Hitchin Lane

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	The development is likely to support local facilities and the town centre
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	х	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>Site is located upon grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	?	<ul> <li>The development is on the edge of the settlement, meaning that access to the open countryside would be further away for existing residents.</li> <li>Greenspace is within 400m and rights of way run through the site</li> <li>Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace and recreational amenity. Ensure existing ROW are maintained. Development should ensure that existing residents continue to have access to open space and loss of existing greenspace does not negatively affect provision. Site is within area identified for Green Infrastructure Project ST1: Enhance Blue Links in Hitchin River Corridors and in conjunction with other sites in this area this project could be delivered.</li> </ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	0	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Realistically not all residents are likely to work in Hitchin and so commuting is likely. The site is not proximate to the train station, therefore additional traffic is likely to contribute to existing traffic issues.</li> <li>Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures</li> </ul>

Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	X /?	<ul> <li>Site borders Folly Alder Swamp designated wildlife site</li> <li>Mitigation – A habitat study will be required to consider how to mitigate impact on biodiversity and ensure there is no negative impact or fragmentation as the site will surround the site to the east. Retain existing trees and hedgerows and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through the development of green features and sensitive design and inclusion of features such as provision of wildlife corridors and green lungs</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Site located in Arlesey – Great Wymondley Landscape Character Area (LCA 216) which is considered to have low sensitivity. The landscape capacity for large scale urban extensions or new settlements is considered to be low.</li> <li>The NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having moderate capacity and moderate sensitivity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment required and use of existing vegetation and landform to mitigate landscape impacts</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development does not include any archaeological areas, conservation areas or listed buildings.</li> <li>The site does extend close to Gosmore conservation area</li> <li>Mitigation - consideration of the setting of Gosmore conservation area in design, materialetc.</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	0	<ul> <li>Site is not located in a source protection zone although in an area of groundwater vulnerability</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site contains parts of the Ippolyts Brook and so contains some land classified as functional floodplain.</li> <li>SuDS likely to be viable according to the SuDS viability Plan.</li> <li>Mitigation – Sequential and exception tests for flood risk areas</li> </ul>
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development will aid towards regeneration of deprived areas of Hitchin, supporting local facilities.</li> <li>It will contribute toward supporting local facilities and services and provide additional affordable housing which will open up opportunities for those not able to afford market housing in this area</li> </ul>

5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Development would provide dwellings in North Hertfordshire, with a proportion being affordable.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	<ul> <li>A large new housing development will put increased pressure on the sewerage and water supply infrastructure.</li> <li>Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. Environment Agency have not identified any absolute constraints associated with the urban extension, although identify the requirement for additional work in relation to capacity of the Hitchin STW.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development and use of SuDs, continue to work with Water stakeholders</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	0	<ul> <li>The site is located on the edge of Hitchin. A local centre is within 800m, however town centre is further.</li> <li>Bus stop within 400m</li> <li>Residents would be in a long way from the train station and over a 1000m from the town centre, therefore improvements to public transport will be important</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Development would provide 225 dwellings in North Hertfordshire, of which a	Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3;	Application of greenspace standards in conjunction with wildlife corridors and green
proportion would be affordable;	Site adjacent to wildlife site	infrastructure to improve access to greenspace and mitigate loss
Opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development.	Site will mean open countryside is further away for existing residents.	Sustainable transport measures including
Site could contribute to deprived areas of	Site includes flood risk areas	provision of bus stops
Hitchin		Habitat study required to consider how to mitigate impact on the wildlife and adjacent

Site not located in a Source Protection	site	
Zone	Landscape assessments to inform detaile design.	ed
	Sequential / Exception tests for flood ris areas	k
	Water efficiency and SuDS; and	
	Working with water companies	
	Contribution to green infrastructure proj ST1	ect

### Site 223 – Land at Folly Lane

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	√	<ul> <li>The site is located on the outskirts of the village, close to Hitchin</li> <li>Could contribute to the local economy potential and support existing services</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	х	<ul> <li>This is a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon Grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site is located on open space and will reduce open space provision although the site will still be within 400m of green space</li> </ul>

2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles? Environmental protection	x	<ul> <li>Site is near to a right of way</li> <li>Mitigation – the site would need to deliver more accessible open space onsite or improve offsite provision to try and mitigate loss of existing open space. The site could contribute towards improvement of level 2 Green links between Hitchin and Langley Valley</li> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not within 800m of station</li> <li>As this is a village location people are likely to commute out to work</li> <li>Mitigation – improve public transport</li> </ul>
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Site located in Wymondley and Titmore Green Landscape Character Area (215), which has low to moderate sensitivity, the landscape capacity for incremental small scale development is considered to low to moderate for this Landscape character area</li> <li>LUC's landscape assessment for SW Hitchin development identifies this area as having moderate sensitivity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	0/?	<ul> <li>Site is adjacent to listed buildings, conservation area and archaeological area</li> <li>Mitigation - archaeological assessment</li> <li>Mitigation - ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and setting and development incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not located in a Source Protection Zone, although in a high water vulnerability area</li> <li>Site unlikely to be contaminated</li> </ul>

Climate change		
-		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site not in a flood zone</li> <li>SuDS are viable</li> </ul>
ability to adapt to climate change	v	
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity		Not a deprived area
fairly?	$\checkmark$	
5b. Increase access to decent and	$\checkmark$	The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of
affordable housing?	v	affordable housing.
5c. Improve conditions and services		<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local</li> </ul>
that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	residential areas, although the site is some distance from the main core of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	disruption.
Deserves use and weats		
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently;		Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities
reuse, use recycled where possible	x	<ul> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> </ul>
	~	<ul> <li>Mitigation – improve initial utilities prior to development</li> </ul>
Town control		Mitigation – alternative energy resources
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?		Outside of large town in a village location
	Х	<ul> <li>Residents are likely to commute into surrounding towns for work and</li> </ul>
		shopping and entertainment

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
No designated wildlife sites	This is a greenfield site on agricultural Grade	Ecological survey and retention of existing

Site is not located in Source Protection	3	vegetation
Zone	The site is located on the edge of a village and will encourage commuting	Encourage sustainable transport measures
No evidence of land contamination	Development could impact on landscape	Landscape assessment
Site will provide affordable housing	Site is currently greenspace	Improve public transport provision
Site not in a flood zone		Improvement of utilities in advance of development
		Alternative energy solutions
		Open space onsite and contribution to Level 2 green links

## Site 224 - Land east of Hitchin Lane at junction with A602

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	The development is likely to support local facilities and the town centre
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	х	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>Site is located upon grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	?	<ul> <li>The development is on the edge of the settlement, meaning that access to the open countryside would be further away for existing residents.</li> <li>Greenspace is within 400m and rights of way run through the site</li> <li>Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace and recreational amenity. Ensure existing ROW are maintained.</li> </ul>

2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	X / ?	<ul> <li>Development should ensure that existing residents continue to have access to open space and loss of existing greenspace does not negatively affect provision. Site is within area identified for Green Infrastructure Project ST1: Enhance Blue Links in Hitchin River Corridors and in conjunction with other sites in this area this project could be delivered.</li> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Realistically not all residents are likely to work in Hitchin and so commuting is likely. The site is not proximate to the train station, therefore additional traffic is likely to contribute to existing traffic issues.</li> <li>Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures</li> </ul>
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	? / √	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows</li> <li>Mitigation - ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows as they screen the site</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0	<ul> <li>Site located in Arlesey – Great Wymondley Landscape Character Area (LCA 216) which is considered to have low sensitivity</li> <li>There could be capacity for carefully located and designed small sale developments within the character area, particularly if they were in keeping with the existing character and incorporate into existing settlements.</li> <li>The NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having moderate sensitivity and moderate-high capacity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment and careful design</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development does not include any archaeological areas, conservation areas or listed buildings.</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	0	<ul> <li>Site is not located in a source protection zone although in an area of groundwater vulnerability</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site contains parts of the Ippolytts Brook and so contains some land classified as functional floodplain.</li> <li>SuDS likely to be viable according to the SuDS viability Plan.</li> <li>Mitigation - Sequential and exception tests for flood risk areas</li> </ul>

A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development will aid towards regeneration of deprived areas of Hitchin, supporting local facilities.</li> <li>It will contribute toward supporting local facilities and services and provide additional affordable housing which will open up opportunities for those not able to afford market housing in this area</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Development would provide dwellings in North Hertfordshire, with a proportion being affordable.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	<ul> <li>New housing development will put increased pressure on the sewerage and water supply infrastructure.</li> <li>Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. Environment Agency have not identified any absolute constraints associated with the urban extension, although identify the requirement for additional work in relation to capacity of the Hitchin STW.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development and use of SuDs, continue to work with Water stakeholders</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	0	<ul> <li>The site is located on the edge of Hitchin. Local centre is within 800m, however town centre is further.</li> <li>Bus stop within 400m</li> <li>Residents would be in a long way from the train station and over a 1000m from the town centre, therefore improvements to public transport will be important</li> <li>This site is detached and relies on site 225 being developed in the first instance</li> </ul>

ney hegative aneces	Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
---------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

Development would provide 48 dwellings in North Hertfordshire a proportion of	Greenfield site, agricultural grade 3;	Application of greenspace standards in conjunction with wildlife corridors and green
which would be affordable;	Site adjacent to wildlife site	infrastructure to improve access to greenspace and mitigate loss. Contribute to
No historic features within site	Site will mean open countryside is further away for existing residents.	Green Infrastructure Project ST1
Aid towards supporting deprived areas	Site includes flood risk areas	Sustainable transport measures including provision of bus stops
	Not located in a Source Protection Zone	Habitat study required to consider how to mitigate impact on the wildlife and adjacent site
		Landscape assessments to inform detailed design.
		Sequential / Exception tests for flood risk areas
		Water efficiency and SuDS; and
		Working with water companies

## Site 225 - Land west of Hitchin Lane at junction with A602

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	The development is likely to support local facilities and the town centre
Land use and development patterns		

2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	0 / X	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>Site is located upon partly non agricultural partly grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	?	<ul> <li>The development is on the edge of the settlement, meaning that access to the open countryside would be further away for existing residents.</li> <li>Greenspace is within 400m</li> <li>Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace and recreational amenity. Ensure existing ROW are maintained. Development should ensure that existing residents continue to have access to open space and loss of existing greenspace does not negatively affect provision. Site is within area identified for Green Infrastructure Project ST1: Enhance Blue Links in Hitchin River Corridors and in conjunction with other sites in this area this project could be delivered.</li> </ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Site not within 800m of train station</li> <li>Realistically not all residents are likely to work in Hitchin and so commuting is likely. The site is not proximate to the train station, therefore additional traffic is likely to contribute to existing traffic issues.</li> <li>Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures</li> </ul>
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	х	<ul> <li>Site borders Folly Alder Swamp</li> <li>Mitigation – A habitat study will be required to consider how to mitigate impact on biodiversity and ensure there is no negative impact or fragmentation occurs as this site will surround the site from the east. Retain existing trees and hedgerows and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through the development of green features and sensitive design through features such as provision of wildlife corridors and green lungs</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0 / X	<ul> <li>Site located in Arlesey – Great Wymondley (LCA 216) is considered to have low sensitivity</li> <li>There could be capacity for carefully located and designed small sale developments within the character area, particularly if they were in keeping with the existing character and incorporate into existing settlements.</li> <li>The NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this specific site as having high sensitivity and moderate capacity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment and careful design</li> </ul>

3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development does not include any archaeological areas, conservation areas or listed buildings.</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	0	<ul> <li>Site is not located in a source protection zone although in an area of groundwater vulnerability</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change A just society	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site contains parts of the Ippolytts Brook and so contains some land classified as functional floodplain.</li> <li>SuDS likely to be viable according to the SuDS viability Plan.</li> <li>Mitigation – Sequential and exception tests for flood risk areas</li> </ul>
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The development will aid towards regeneration of deprived areas of Hitchin, supporting local facilities.</li> <li>It will contribute toward supporting local facilities and services and provide additional affordable housing which will open up opportunities for those not able to afford market housing in this area</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Development would provide dwellings in North Hertfordshire, with a proportion being affordable.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities? Resource use and waste	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints</li> </ul>
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	<ul> <li>New housing development will put increased pressure on the sewerage and water supply infrastructure.</li> <li>Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. Environment Agency have not identified any absolute constraints associated with the urban extension, although identify the requirement for additional work in relation to capacity of the Hitchin STW.</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development and use of SuDs, continue to work with water stakeholders</li> </ul>

Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	0	<ul> <li>The site is located on the edge of Hitchin. Local centre is within 800m, however town centre is further.</li> <li>Bus stop is within 400m</li> <li>Residents would be a long way from the train station and over a 1000m from the town centre, therefore improvements to public transport will be important</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Development would provide 46 dwellings in North Hertfordshire; and Opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development. Contribute to deprived areas of Hitchin Site does not include any historic features	Greenfield site located on agricultural grade 3; Site adjacent to wildlife site Site will mean open countryside is further away for existing residents. Not within 800m of train station Site includes areas at risk from flooding	<ul> <li>Application of greenspace standards in conjunction with wildlife corridors and green infrastructure to improve access to greenspace and mitigate loss and contributions to Green infrastructure project ST1</li> <li>Sustainable transport measures including provision of bus stops</li> <li>Habitat study required to consider how to mitigate impact on the wildlife and adjacent site</li> <li>Landscape assessments to inform detailed design.</li> <li>Sequential / Exception tests for flood risk areas</li> <li>Water efficiency and SuDS; and</li> </ul>
		Working with water companies

## **St Pauls Walden**

Site Reference and Location: The Estate Yard, Hoo Park, St Paul's Walden         What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?       Justification for assessment and any mitigation measure         SA Objectives       Short term       Med term       Long term         1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth       x/√       x/√       √       • The site is situated in a rural area in the long term of the long term the site has the potential to support the long term the site has the potential to support the long term the site is located on a greenfield site is of the long term of the long term the site is located upon agricultural land grade 3 amenity value?	S				
SA ObjectivesShort termMed termLong term1Achieve sustainableX/√X/√√1Achieve sustainableX/√X/√√1Achieve sustainableX/√√•1Achieve sustainableX/√√•1Achieve sustainableX/√√•1Achieve sustainableX/√√•1Achieve sustainableX/√√•1Achieve sustainableX/√√•1Achieve sustainableX√•1Achieve sustainableII•1Achieve sustainableII•1Achieve sustainableII•1Achieve sustainableII•1Achieve sustainableII•1Achieve sustainableIII1Achieve sustainableIII2And DEVELOPHENT PHENTIII2Animise theXXXThe development is located on a greenfield site1And other land withIIIIhigh environmental andIIII					
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growthx/√x/√√•The site is situated in a rural area There are not many facilities or services nearby The nearest village with any sort of facilities is Whitwell away •LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS××××2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and×××******					
levels of prosperity and economic growthImage: Second Sec					
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS         2(a) Minimise the development of Greenfield land and other land with high environmental and       x       x       x       • The development is located on a greenfield site         • The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3       • The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3					
development of Greenfield• The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3land and other land with• The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3high environmental and• The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3	1				
2(b) Provide access to√√✓●Green space is within 400mgreen spacesPublic rights of way are near this site					
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehiclesxx• The nearest village with any sort of services is Whitwell shops • There is a regular bus service • There is no train station within 800m • The site location is likely to lead to the increased use of • Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives • Mitigation – Improve public transport services	f private cars				
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION					

<ul><li>3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity</li><li>3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes</li></ul>	?/√ ?/x	?/√ ?/x	?/√ ?/x	<ul> <li>Site does not fall within or near a designated wildlife site</li> <li>Trees are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees where possible</li> <li>This village is located within the Whitwell Valley landscape area.</li> <li>This is a Landscape Conservation Area, and within the Hoo park designation</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>However the landscape is common</li> <li>The impact of development is moderate</li> <li>Ensure the densities of the development are in keeping with the local area</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is surrounded by historic parks and garden</li> <li>Site is located near listed buildings</li> <li>The site does not contain an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not border a conservation area</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure future development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site is within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is evidence of potential contamination upon the site (unspecified).</li> <li>Mitigation - consider site contamination survey and remediation</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE			<u> </u>	
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	x	x	<ul> <li>The site borders flood risk zone 2</li> <li>The site is viable for SUDs</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	x	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This area is not identified as deprived</li> <li>Local services need improving</li> <li>In the long term development will increase the demand for more services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	• Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> </ul>

			• No	evidence of noise constraints identified.
RESOURCE USE AND WASTE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	??	? ? • No		information on constraints
TOWN CENTRES	I	-	T	
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x x	x	• Re	e sites location increases the use of private transport sidents are likely to commute into the nearest large towns Hitchin and evenage for work, and other trips
Summary				
Strengths	Weakness	es		Potential mitigation
The site does not border a watercourse	_	This is a greenfield site		Retain as many trees on the site as possible
Affordable housing	-	agricultural land grade 3		Improve public transport
Site provides access to green space	St Paul's Walden is in a rural location. The nearest village is Whitwell 400 m away		t village is	Improve facilities and services Encourage and improve alternative transport
Site does not contain a wildlife site	Site is located within a source protection zone		a source	Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc
The site has the potential to support the rural economy;	The sites location is likely to promote commuting			Ensure the densities of the development are in keeping with the local area
SUDs are viable		No information on resource constraints		Undertake land contamination survey
Site is not within an area of archaeological interest	Site borders a flood risk zone		risk zone	Consider flood prevention
Site does not border a conservation area	Evidence of land contamination		itamination	
	Site is oppo Monument	Site is opposite an Ancient Monument		
	Site is locat Buildings	Site is located near to Listed Buildings		

	nded by Historic rdens
--	---------------------------

### Therfield

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Locat				outh of Haywood Lane Therfield	
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?		ct on	Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	-	Long term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	V	<b>√</b>	V	<ul> <li>The site has potential to support the rural economy; number of proposed dwellings on the site is high</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERN	S		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	×	x	×	<ul> <li>The development is located on a greenfield site</li> <li>The site is located upon agricultural land grade 3</li> </ul>	
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Green space is within 400m</li> <li>Public rights of way nearby</li> </ul>	
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	×	<ul> <li>Housing Background Paper indicates a good regular bus service within the village</li> <li>The site is located within 400m of a bus stop</li> <li>However, village location is likely to lead to the increased use of private cars</li> <li>Mitigation – Encourage sustainable transport initiatives</li> </ul>	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION					
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>Site is not designated as being of ecological importance</li> <li>Trees and hedgerows are present around the perimeter of the site</li> <li>The development would be unlikely to enhance biodiversity within the area but present vegetation could be incorporated into the development.</li> <li>Mitigation - retain existing trees and hedgerows where possible</li> </ul>	

3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This site lies within the Therfield Reed Plateau – a landscape conservation area</li> <li>The landscape is common and impact of development on the landscape is low</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is located within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site is not located within a conservation area</li> <li>Site is located near listed buildings</li> <li>Mitigation – undertake an archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is situated within a source protection zone</li> <li>Site does not border a water course</li> <li>There is no evidence of contamination upon the site</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>Flood risk is not identified as a problem within this area.</li> <li>The site is identified as unsuitable Drift/Suitable solid for SUDS according to the SUDS viability plan from NHDC. (Drift = Alluvium/river terrace deposits, Solid= London Clay, Lambeth group, Bagshot Beds, Chalk)</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	• The site has potential to support local services within the village
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	~	V	V	<ul> <li>Site and dwelling quantity is large enough to provide affordable housing</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas</li> <li>Development is relatively small; long term impacts unlikely to be significant</li> <li>No evidence of noise constraints identified.</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	TE			
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	?	?	?	No information on constraints
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Therfield's location makes use of private cars likely</li> <li>Residents are likely to commute into the nearest large town for work,</li> </ul>

	an	d for other trips
Summary		
	-	
Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential mitigation
Not designated as ecologically sensitive location.	This is a greenfield site	Retain as many trees on the site as possible
No evidence of land	agricultural land grade 3	Improve public transport
contamination	Site is located within a source protection zone	Encourage and improve alternative transport
The site does not border a		SUDS
watercourse	The sites location is likely to	
	promote commuting	Undertake an archaeological survey
Affordable housing		
	Site is within an area of	Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development
Site is not within a	archaeological interest	and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design
conservation area		etc
<b>e</b>	Site is near to listed buildings	
Site provides access to green		
space	No information on resource	
	constraints	
SUDs are suitable upon solid		
geology	SUDs are unsuitable upon drift	
	geology materials	

# Wymondley

Type of Site and Number: 120 Residential Site Reference and Location: Land West of Gypsy Lane, Wymondley				
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long	
term         term         term           ECONOMIC ACTIVITY         ECONOMIC ACTIVITY				
1 Achieve sustainable	x/√	x/√	$\checkmark$	Local villages do not have adequate facilities; however this site is on

levels of prosperity and economic growth				<ul> <li>the edge of Hitchin.</li> <li>The proposed development has potential to support services in Hitchin and in the long run demand for services within the local area</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>			
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS						
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	×	x	×	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is bordered by public rights of way</li> </ul>			
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>Site is bordered by a public right of way</li> </ul>			
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is boldered by a public right of way</li> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>There are regular bus services</li> <li>Site is on the edge of Hitchin and on the outskirts of Great Wymondley</li> <li>Great Wymondley does not have adequate facilities such as food shops or a school</li> <li>There is a school within Little Wymondley linked via a public rights of way, however it is not within 400m</li> <li>This location encourages private transport use.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>			
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION						
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>			
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Landscape character falls within Wymondley and Titmore Green LCA (recommendation improve and conserve)</li> </ul>			
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site does not contain a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>			
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>			
CLIMATE CHANGE			1				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse	Х	X	X	Part of the site borders flood zone 2			

gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change				<ul> <li>Suitability for SUDS is uncertain</li> <li>Mitigation: undertake flood prevention measures</li> <li>Consider SUDs</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY		1	1	
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?/ x	?/ x	?/ x	<ul> <li>This town is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>However there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area.</li> <li>There is no school or doctor's surgery</li> <li>However development is likely to bring demand for an increase in services</li> </ul>
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>This site provides access to affordable and decent housing</li> <li>Housing Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development as a reason for allowing a site within this area</li> </ul>
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is borders the railway track</li> <li>Noise and vibration is an issue, landscaping design should be incorporated as mitigation</li> </ul>
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ΓE	_		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	X	<ul> <li>Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Water supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for pressure boosters</li> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation – Alternative energy resources</li> </ul>
TOWN CENTRES				
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is located on the outskirts of Hitchin however is further than 800m from the town centre</li> <li>Site would increase commuting via private transport due to the lack of local facilities and services</li> <li>Access to public rights of way</li> </ul>
Summary				
Strengths		knesse		Potential mitigation
Not in an area of archaeological interest Not in a conservation area	class land	sified as . Does n	ot minim	agricultural
Does not contain listed		-	does not	Ensure that the tree line and hedgerows are retained and

buildings	adequate facilities	Improve initial utilities prior to development
Site is not contaminated	Distinctively unusual Landscape, impact of development is	Undertake an ecological assessment. Incorporate as much
Provides access to affordable housing	moderate	vegetation as possible
Site is not in a source	Identified constraints on utilities	Ensure development includes landscaping design to mitigate the noise and vibration from railway
protection zone	Viability for SUDS is uncertain	Undertake flood prevention measures
Site would support the local	Site borders railway	Consider the use of SUDs
economy	Site borders part of a flood zone	Consider the use of SODs
No Wildlife designations	2	
Site is within 400m of a bus stop	Planned houses will not be 800m from the town centre	
Access to green space	Site is on the outskirts of Hitchin and Wymondley, this will encourage commuting	

Type of Site and Numbe Site Reference and Lo				Stevenage Road, Little Wymondley, Wymondley	
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term		
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	x/√	x/√	V	<ul> <li>Wymondley does not have adequate facilities, however this site is on the edge of Hitchin.</li> <li>The proposed development has potential to support services in Hitchin and in the long run demand for services within Wymondley will increase facilities within this area</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS					

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to	× √	×	×	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is bordered by public rights of way</li> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> </ul>
green spaces				Site is bordered by a public right of way
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>There are regular bus services</li> <li>Site is within Little Wymondley where there is a school but no food shops</li> <li>This location encourages private transport use.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>
3(a) Protect and enhance	?/√	?/√	?/√	This site is a greenfield site.
biodiversity	= / V	= / V	- <b>/ v</b>	<ul> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Landscape character falls within Arlesley – Great Wymondley LCA (improve and conserve)</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site borders an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>The site does not contain a conservation area.</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> <li>Mitigation - Archaeological surveys</li> <li>Mitigation - Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	V	~	<ul> <li>Site is not situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change <b>A JUST SOCIETY</b>	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Site borders flood zone 2</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS is uncertain</li> <li>Mitigation: undertake flood prevention measures</li> <li>Consider SuDS</li> </ul>

<ul><li>5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly</li><li>5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing</li></ul>	?/√	?/√	?/√	Ho     Th     Ho     Th     Ho     Th     Ho     rea     ide	is town is not identified as a deprived area wever there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area. ere is a school within walking distance but no shops wever development is likely to bring demand for an increase in services is site provides access to affordable and decent housing using Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development as a ason for allowing a site within this area entifies increasing affordable development as a reason for allowing a site
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	0	0	• Th	hin this area e site is unlikely to provide any harmful impact upon health y create noise impact during construction.
RESOURCE USE AND WAST		1	T	· · · ·	
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	X	X	<ul> <li>Wa</li> <li>pre</li> <li>En</li> <li>Mit</li> </ul>	chin has identified constraints regarding utilities ater supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for essure boosters ergy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric) tigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development tigation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x	fro • Sit fac	e is located on the outskirts of Hitchin however is further than 800m m the town centre e would increase commuting via private transport due to the lack of local ilities and services cess to public rights of way
Summary					
Strengths		knesse			Potential mitigation
Not in a conservation area Does not contain listed buildings	class land	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land Does not minimise development on greenfield land			Improve public transport links Improve the level of facilities Ensure that the tree line and hedgerows are retained and enhanced.
Site is not contaminated	adec	nondley o Juate fac	loes not ilities	have	Archaeological surveys
Provides access to affordable housing	Site		an area o al interes		Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc
Site is not in a source protection zone	Disti	nctively	unusual	Landscape,	Improve initial utilities prior to development

	impact of development is	
Site would support the local	moderate	Undertake an ecological assessment. Incorporate as much vegetation as
economy		possible
No Wildlife designations	Identified constraints on utilities	Undertake flood provention measures
No Wildlife designations	Viability for SUDS is uncertain	Undertake flood prevention measures
Site is within 400m of a bus	Viability for SODS is uncertain	Consider the use of SuDS
stop	Site borders part of a flood zone	
500	2	
Access to green space		
	Planned houses will not be	
	800m from the town centre	
	Site is on the outskirts of	
	Hitchin and Wymondley, this will	
	encourage commuting	

	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short term	Med Long term term			
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	×/√	×/√	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Wymondley does not have adequate facilities, however this site is on the edge of Hitchin.</li> <li>The proposed development has potential to support services in Hitchin and in the long run demand for services within Wymondley will increase facilities within this area</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>	
LAND USE AND DEVELOP	MENT PA	TTERNS			
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is bordered by public rights of way</li> </ul>	

2(b) Provide access to green spaces	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	V	<ul><li>There is green space located nearby</li><li>Site is bordered by a public right of way</li></ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>There are regular bus services</li> <li>Site is within Little Wymondley where there is a school but no food shops</li> <li>This location encourages private transport use.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Landscape character falls within Wymondley and Titmore Green LCA (recommendation improve and conserve)</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site borders an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site borders listed buildings</li> <li>The site does not contain a conservation area.</li> <li>Mitigation – Archaeological surveys</li> <li>Mitigation – Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is situated on the border of a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is potentially contaminated with landfill on the South of the site</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is a landfill adjacent to the West of the site</li> <li>Mitigation: undertake a land contamination survey and consider remediation</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	?/X	?/X	?/X	<ul> <li>Site borders flood zone 2</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS is uncertain</li> <li>Mitigation: undertake flood prevention measures</li> <li>Consider SUDs</li> <li>Site is large enough to consider CHP?</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY				
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This town is not identified as a deprived area</li> <li>However there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area.</li> </ul>

				• Ho	ere is a school within walking distance but no shops wever development is likely to bring demand for an increase in rvices
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	$\checkmark$	V	√	• Ho as	is site provides access to affordable and decent housing using Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development a reason for allowing a site within this area identifies increasing ordable development as a reason for allowing a site within this area
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	• No	e is borders the A602 ise is an issue, landscaping design should be incorporated as tigation
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAST</b>	'E				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Wa</li> <li>pre</li> <li>En</li> <li>Mit</li> </ul>	cchin has identified constraints regarding utilities ater supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for essure boosters ergy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric) cigation – Improve initial utilities prior to development cigation – Alternative energy resources
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	?/x	80 • Sit loc	e is located on the outskirts of Hitchin however is further than Om from the town centre e would increase commuting via private transport due to the lack of al facilities and services cess to public rights of way
Summary		•	•		
Strengths	Wea	knesse	5		Potential mitigation
Not in a conservation area Provides access to affordable housing	class land	This site is a greenfield s classified as grade 3 agr land Does not minimise development on greenfie		agricultural se	Improve public transport links Improve the level of facilities Ensure that the tree line and hedgerows are retained and
Site would support the local economy		nondley o Juate fac	does not ilities	have	enhanced.
No wildlife designations	Site	borders	listed bu	ildings	Archaeological surveys Ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development
Access to green space			an area o al interes		and incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc

Site is within 400m of a bus		
stop	Site is on the border of a source protection zone	Improve initial utilities prior to development
	Distinctively unusual Landscape, impact of development is	Undertake flood prevention measures
	moderate	Consider the use of SUDs
	Identified constraints on utilities	Undertake land contamination and remediation
	Viability for SUDS is uncertain	Noise is an issue due to the A602, landscaping design should be incorporated as mitigation
	Site borders part of a flood zone 2	
	Land is potentially contaminated	
	Planned houses will not be 800m from the town centre	
	Site is on the outskirts of Hitchin and Wymondley, this will encourage commuting	
	Site is borders A602, creating noise issues	

Type of Site and Number Site Reference and Lo				odds Green, Wymondley	
	What is the predicted effect on each SA objective?			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures	
SA Objectives	Short	Med	Long		
	term	term	term		
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	
1 Achieve sustainable	x/√	x/√	$\checkmark$	Wymondley does not have adequate facilities.	
levels of prosperity and				<ul> <li>Demand for services within Wymondley will increase facilities within</li> </ul>	

economic growth				this area
LAND USE AND DEVELOPM	IENT PA	TERNS		
2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value? 2(b) Provide access to green spaces	× √	×	×	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is bordered by public rights of way</li> <li>There is green space located nearby</li> <li>Site is bordered by a public right of way</li> </ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>There are regular bus services</li> <li>Site is on the edge of Little Wymondley where there is a school but no food shops</li> <li>This location encourages private transport use.</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve public transport</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	TION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Landscape character falls within Wymondley and Titmore Green LCA (recommendation improve and conserve)</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>Site dose not border an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>The site does not contain a conservation area.</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Site is not situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE		1		
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	$\checkmark$	V	V	<ul> <li>The site does not border a flood zone</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS is uncertain</li> <li>Mitigation: undertake flood prevention measures</li> <li>Consider SUDs</li> </ul>
A JUST SOCIETY			•	
5(a) Share benefits of	?/ √	?/√	?/√	This town is not identified as a deprived area

prosperity fairly				• There is a school within w	lot of services and facilities within this area. valking distance but no shops likely to bring demand for an increase in
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	x	x	x	<ul> <li>housing</li> <li>This site provides access</li> <li>Housing Background Pape as a reason for allowing a</li> </ul>	rovide access to affordable and decent to affordable and decent housing er identifies increasing affordable development a site within this area identifies increasing is a reason for allowing a site within this area
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	X	0	0	<ul> <li>The site is unlikely to prov</li> <li>May create noise impact of</li> </ul>	vide any harmful impact upon health during construction.
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ГЕ		-		
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	x	x	<ul><li>pressure boosters</li><li>Energy supplies need rein</li></ul>	ufficient to support development; need for nforcement (gas, electric) al utilities prior to development
TOWN CENTRES		•			
7 Promote sustainable urban living	x	x	x	800m from the town cent	nuting via private transport due to the lack of s
Summary					
Strengths		knesses		Potential mitigation	
Not in a conservation area Does not contain listed buildings	class land	site is a sified as g Does no elopment	grade 3 a t minimis	cultural Improve the level of f	
Site is not contaminated	Disti impa	nctively i act of dev	unusual I	dscape, enhanced.	ine and hedgerows are retained and
Site is not in a source protection zone	mod	erate		Improve initial utilitie	s prior to development

	Wymondley does not have	Consider the use of SUDs
Site does not border an area of	adequate facilities	
archaeological interest	Identified constraints on utilities	
Site is not contaminated	Identified constraints on utilities	
Site is not containinated	Viability for SUDS is uncertain	
Site does not border a flood		
zone	Planned houses will not be	
	800m from the town centre	
Site would support the local		
economy	Site is on the outskirts of	
No Wildlife designations	Hitchin and Wymondley, this will encourage commuting	
No whalle designations	cheodrage commuting	
Site is within 400m of a bus	Too small to provide access to	
stop	affordable housing	
Access to green space		

	What is effect o objectiv			Justification for assessment and any mitigation measures
SA Objectives	Short term	Med term	Long term	
				ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
1 Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth	×/√	×/√	V	<ul> <li>Wymondley does not have adequate facilities, however this site is on the edge of Hitchin.</li> <li>The proposed development has potential to support services in Hitchin and in the long run demand for services within Wymondley will increase facilities within this area</li> <li>Provides good access to services and support retail services</li> </ul>

2(a) Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	x	x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>Grade 3 agricultural land</li> <li>Mitigation: Encourage planting to be incorporated once development it built.</li> <li>Site is bordered by public rights of way</li> </ul>
2(b) Provide access to green spaces	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>There is green space located nearby</li><li>Site is bordered by a public right of way</li></ul>
2 (c) Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles	?/x	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>There are regular bus services</li> <li>Site is on the edge of Hitchin and on the outskirts of Great Wymondley</li> <li>Great Wymondley does not have food shops or a school</li> <li>There is a school within Little Wymondley linked via a public rights of way, however it is not within 400m</li> <li>This location encourages private transport use.</li> <li>Mitigation – Improve public transport</li> </ul>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTEC</b>	CTION			
3(a) Protect and enhance biodiversity	?/ x	?/ x	?/ x	<ul> <li>This site is a greenfield site.</li> <li>No designated wildlife sites fall within this site</li> <li>However the site is bordered by a wildlife site.</li> <li>Ensure sensitive construction measures</li> <li>Undertake an ecological survey</li> <li>Mitigation: ensure that if developed in the future the tree line and hedgerows retained and enhanced.</li> </ul>
3(b) Protect and enhance landscapes	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Landscape character falls within Wymondley and Titmore Green LCA</li> <li>Landscape described as distinctively unusual</li> <li>Impact of built development would have a moderate affect</li> </ul>
3(c) Conserve and where appropriate, enhance the historic environment	0	0	0	<ul> <li>The site does not contain a conservation area.</li> <li>Site is not within an area of archaeological interest</li> <li>Site does not include and is not located near to ancient monuments or listed building</li> </ul>
3(d) Reduce pollution from any source	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is not situated within a source protection zone.</li> <li>This site is not contaminated</li> <li>This site does not border a watercourse</li> <li>There is no landfill site within 250m</li> </ul>
CLIMATE CHANGE				
4(a) Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the District's ability to adapt to climate change	x	X	X	<ul> <li>The site is within flood zone 2</li> <li>Suitability for SUDS is uncertain</li> <li>Mitigation: undertake flood prevention measures</li> <li>Consider SUDs</li> </ul>

A JUST SOCIETY					
5(a) Share benefits of prosperity fairly	?/√	?/√	?/√	<ul><li>However</li><li>There is a</li></ul>	n is not identified as a deprived area there are not a lot of services and facilities within this area. a school within walking distance but no shops development is likely to bring demand for an increase in
5(b) Increase access to decent and affordable housing	V	V	V	<ul> <li>Housing as a reas</li> </ul>	provides access to affordable and decent housing Background Paper identifies increasing affordable development on for allowing a site within this area identifies increasing e development as a reason for allowing a site within this area
5(c) Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities	x	x	x	<ul> <li>Noise and</li> </ul>	ne site borders the railway track d vibration is an issue, landscaping design should be ated as mitigation
<b>RESOURCE USE AND WAS</b>	ΓE				
6(a) Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	x	X	<ul> <li>Hitchin has identified constraints regarding utilities</li> <li>Water supply deemed insufficient to support development; need for pressure boosters</li> <li>Energy supplies need reinforcement (gas, electric)</li> <li>Mitigation - Improve initial utilities prior to development</li> <li>Mitigation - Alternative energy resources</li> </ul>		
TOWN CENTRES					
7 Promote sustainable urban living	?/x	?/x	<ul> <li>?/x</li> <li>Site is located on the outskirts of Hitchin however is further than 800m from the town centre</li> <li>Site would increase commuting via private transport due to the lack of local facilities and services</li> <li>Access to public rights of way</li> </ul>		
Summary				1	
Strengths		knesse			ntial mitigation
Not in an area of archaeological interest	class	This site is a greenfield site, classified as grade 3 agricultural land Does not minimise		gricultural	ove public transport links ove the level of facilities
Not in a conservation area Does not contain listed buildings	Wyn	nondley	opment on greenfield land ondley does not have uate facilities		re that the tree line and hedgerows are retained and nced.
Site is not contaminated	Dist	nctively	unusual	Impr andscape,	ove initial utilities prior to development

	impact of development is	Ensure development includes landscaping design to mitigate the
Provides access to affordable housing	moderate	noise and vibration from railway
nousing	The site is bordered by a wildlife	Undertake flood prevention measures
Site is not in a source	site.	
protection zone	Identified constraints on utilities	Consider the use of SUDs
Site would support the local	Identified constraints on utilities	Ensure construction measures are sensitive to wildlife site
economy	Viability for SUDS is uncertain	
		Undertake an ecological survey
Site is within 400m of a bus	Site borders railway	
stop	Site falls within flood zone 2	
Access to green space		
	Planned houses will not be	
	800m from the town centre	
	Site is on the outskirts of	
	Hitchin and Wymondley, this will	
	encourage commuting	

Site 229 - Land south of Wymondley Bury, Little Wymondley

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	X / √	<ul> <li>Wymondley does not have adequate facilities, the site is more related to Stevenage</li> <li>Site would provide support for local facilities and services</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with	х	<ul><li>This is a Greenfield site</li><li>Site located upon grade 3 agricultural land</li></ul>

high environmental and amenity value?		
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of green space</li> <li>Mitigation - develop access links to the proposed Stevenage greenway (North Herts GI Plan) on the western boundary</li> </ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	х	<ul> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not within 800m of station</li> <li>The location doesn't really relate to any particular settlement, which will encourage private transport use</li> <li>Mitigation – improve public transport links</li> </ul>
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0	<ul> <li>Site located in Wymondley and Titmore Green Landscape Character Area (215), which has low - moderate sensitivity, The landscape capacity for incremental small scale development is considered to low to moderate. The landscape capacity for small urban extensions is considered to be low</li> <li>LUC's landscape assessment for SW Hitchin development identifies this area as having moderate sensitivity</li> <li>LUC's landscape sensitivity assessment for this specific area states that Overall landscape sensitivity to residential and mixed use development is judged to be low-moderate. This is due to the eroded character created by the pylon line, urban fringe uses (paddocks) and the close presence of large scale transport infrastructure such as the A602,</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment, the eastern boundary, which is patchy in character, would need reinforcing through additional native planting. Enhancement of landscape structure generally should be used to secure greater landscape and access links to the proposed Stevenage greenway (North Herts Gl Plan) on the western boundary</li> </ul>

3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	x	<ul> <li>Site contains area of archaeological significance and is proximate to Wymondley Bury Grade I Listed building</li> <li>Mitigation – archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	x	<ul> <li>Site is within Source Protection Zone 1</li> <li>Possibly contaminated, landfill within 250m</li> <li>Adjacent to watercourse</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	0	<ul> <li>Not in a flood risk area</li> <li>May be some issues with SuDS viability</li> <li>Mitigation – contaminated land survey</li> </ul>
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	√/?	<ul> <li>Wymondley is not a deprived area although some areas of Stevenage are</li> <li>Could support the rural economy</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas, although the site is some distance from the main core of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to the A602 and close to the railway line.</li> <li>Mitigation – noise and vibration should be considered by site design and landscaping</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		

6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X / ?	<ul> <li>Hitchin is identified as having constraints, however the site doesn't really relate to Hitchin</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	х	<ul> <li>Site is in a rural location on the edge of both Hitchin and Stevenage</li> <li>Site is likely to increase commuting via private transport dues to lack of facilities and services</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site within 400m of green space	Greenfield site on grade 3 agricultural land	Ecology assessment, retension of trees and hedges onsite
Site within 400m of bus stop	Site does not currently relate to any particular settlement	Landscape assessment
Will support local services and rural		
economy	Site contains archaeological site and in close proximity to listed building	Noise and vibration considerations within design
Site will provide affordable housing		5
	Limited capacity in landscape for	Archaeological survey
Not in a Flood risk area	development	
		Historic built environment features considered within design
		Improve public transport links
		Contaminated land survey
		Develop links to Stevenage Greenway

Site 230 – Land east of Wymondley Bury

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement	
Economic Activity			
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	x / √	<ul> <li>Wymondley does not have adequate facilities, the site is more related to Stevenage</li> <li>Site would provide support for local facilities and services</li> </ul>	
Land use and development patterns			
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	<ul> <li>This is a Greenfield site</li> <li>Site located upon grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>	
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	Site is within 400m of green space	
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	x	<ul> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not within 800m of station</li> <li>The location doesn't really relate to any particular settlement, which will encourage private transport use</li> <li>Mitigation – improve public transport links</li> </ul>	
Environmental protection			
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>	
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0	<ul> <li>Site located in Wymondley and Titmore Green Landscape Character Area (215), which has low - moderate sensitivity, the landscape capacity for incremental small scale development is considered to low</li> </ul>	

		<ul> <li>to moderate</li> <li>The landscape capacity for small urban extensions is considered to be low</li> <li>LUC's landscape assessment for SW Hitchin development identifies this area as having moderate sensitivity</li> <li>LUC's landscape sensitivity assessment for this specific area states that Overall landscape sensitivity to residential and mixed use development is judged to be <b>low-moderate</b>. This is due to the eroded character created by the pylon line, urban fringe uses (paddocks) and the close presence of large scale transport infrastructure such as the A602,</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment and retain and vegetated boundaries as much as possible, particularly those of intact hedgerow and trees</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	X / ?	<ul> <li>Site is directly adjacent to an area of archaeological significance and is proximate to Wymondley Bury Listed building</li> <li>Mitigation – archaeological survey</li> <li>Mitigation – ensure development is sensitive to local buildings and development incorporates the local character including size, materials, design etc</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	х	<ul> <li>Site is not within a Source Protection Zone, although within an are of high groundwater vulnerbility</li> <li>possibly contaminated, landfill within 250m</li> <li>Adjacent to watercourse</li> <li>Mitigation – contaminated land survey</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change A just society	0	<ul> <li>Not in a flood risk area</li> <li>May be some issues with SuDS viability</li> </ul>

<ul><li>5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?</li><li>5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?</li></ul>	√ / ? √	<ul> <li>Wymondley is not a deprived area although some areas of Stevenage are</li> <li>Could support the rural economy</li> <li>The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding loca residential areas, although the site is some distance from the mair of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to the A602 and the railway line.</li> <li>Mitigation – noise and vibration should be considered by site desig landscaping</li> </ul>	
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X / ?	<ul> <li>Hitchin is identified as having constraints, however the site doesn't really relate to Hitchin.</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	x	<ul> <li>Site is in a rural location on the edge of both Hitchin and Stevenage</li> <li>Site is likely to increase commuting via private transport dues to lack of facilities and services</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site within 400m of green space	Greenfield site on grade 3 agricultural land	Ecology assessment, retension of trees and hedges onsite
Site within 400m of bus stop	Site does not currently relate to any particular settlement	Landscape assessment
Will support local services and rural economy	Limited capacity in landscape for development	Noise and vibration considerations within design
Site will provide affordable housing Not in a Flood risk area	development	Archaeological survey

Historic built environment features considered within design
Improve public transport links
Contaminated land survey

#### Site 231 – Land West of Todds Green

SA Objective: Will the site	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	X / √	<ul> <li>Todds Green does not have adequate facilities, the site is more related to Stevenage</li> <li>Site would provide support for local facilities and services</li> </ul>
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x	<ul> <li>This is a Greenfield site</li> <li>Site located upon grade 3 agricultural land</li> </ul>
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>Site is within 400m of green space</li> <li>Mitigation - develop access links to the proposed Stevenage greenway (North Herts GI Plan) on the western boundary</li> </ul>
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	x	<ul> <li>Within 400m of bus stop</li> <li>Not within 800m of station</li> <li>The location doesn't really relate to any particular settlement, which will encourage private transport use</li> <li>Mitigation – improve public transport links</li> </ul>

Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?/√	<ul> <li>Site does not conflict with any designated areas of ecological interest, however, development of a greenfield site is unlikely to enhance biodiversity unless development includes green features within design</li> <li>Vegetation present in the form of trees, hedgerows and grass areas</li> <li>Mitigation – ecological survey and seek to maintain existing trees and hedgerows</li> </ul>
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	0	<ul> <li>Site located in Wymondley and Titmore Green Landscape Character Area (215), which has low - moderate sensitivity, the landscape capacity for incremental small scale development is considered to low to moderate</li> <li>The landscape capacity for small urban extensions is considered to be low</li> <li>LUC's landscape assessment for SW Hitchin development identifies this area as having moderate sensitivity</li> <li>NHDC landscape sensitivity and capacity study identifies this area as having low/moderate sensitivity and moderate/moderate-high capacity</li> <li>Mitigation – landscape assessment</li> </ul>
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	x	<ul> <li>Site includes an area of archaeological significance</li> <li>Mitigation –archaeological survey</li> </ul>
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	x	<ul> <li>Site is within Source Protection Zone 1</li> <li>Possibly contaminated, landfill within 250m</li> <li>Adjacent to watercourse</li> <li>Mitigation – contaminated land survey</li> </ul>
Climate change		
4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Improve the Districts ability to adapt to climate change	0	<ul> <li>Not in a flood risk area</li> <li>May be some issues with SuDS viability</li> </ul>

A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	√/?	<ul> <li>Todds Green is not a deprived area although some areas of Stevenage</li> <li>Could support the rural economy</li> </ul>
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>The estimated number of dwellings will provide a proportion of affordable housing.</li> </ul>
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	X / 0	<ul> <li>Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas, although the site is some distance from the main core of the village. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption.</li> <li>Site is adjacent to the A602 and the railway line.</li> <li>Mitigation – noise and vibration should be considered by site design and landscaping</li> </ul>
Resource use and waste		
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	X / ?	<ul> <li>Hitchin is identified as having constraints, however the site doesn't really relate to Hitchin.</li> </ul>
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	х	<ul> <li>Site is in a rural location on the edge of both Hitchin and Stevenage</li> <li>Site is likely to increase commuting via private transport dues to lack of facilities and services</li> </ul>

Key positive effects	Key negative effects	Potential mitigation
Site within 400m of green space	Greenfield site	Ecology assessment, retention of trees and
		hedges onsite
Site within 400m of bus stop	Grade 3 agricultural land	
		Landscape assessment
Will support local services and rural	Site does not currently relate to any	
economy	particular settlement	Noise and vibration considerations within

Site will provide affordable housing Not in a Flood risk area	Limited capacity in landscape for development	design Archaeological survey Historic built environment features considered within design Improve public transport links Contaminated land survey
		Link to Stevenage Greenway

# **Strategic site options appraised in 2013**

The following strategic site options were appraised in February 2013 and not taken forward as preferred options in the lists of sites.

### **Option 4: South West of Hitchin**

SA Objective: Will the policy	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\sqrt{}$	The development is likely to include a neighbourhood centre and separate employment land, providing jobs and services to support the local community.

Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	хх	The development is located on a greenfield site, which includes some highly valued landscapes and environments including Oughtonhead Common Site is located upon grade 3 agricultural land
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	$\checkmark$	A development of this size and scale would provide new greenspace provision and would therefore bring greater access to these facilities. Access to the countryside would be further away for existing residents. Greenspace within 400m. Rights of Way run through the site. Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace.
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	?	A development of this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities and services to meet requirements of a new population and reduce the need to travel, that said not all residents are likely to work in Hitchin and so commuting is likely. The site is on the far side of Hitchin from the train station. Parts of the site are within 200m of a bus stop, however new bus stops will be required as part of the development. The potential traffic impacts from the site are unclear. The proposed bypass associated with the development could alleviate transport issues through the town (especially HGV), having a positive impact on noise and air pollution in the town although traffic associated with large number of homes is likely to increase the level of local traffic. Potential unknown impact associated with Luton airport expansion, adding west to east movements.
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	хх	Site contains Oughton Head Lane SSSI. Site also contains Priory Park / Pirton Road Allotments and The Willows County Wildlife sites and is adjacent to Oughton Head / Charlton Mill Pool. There is potential for a significant negative impact. Mitigation – detailed consideration of SSSI and wildlife sites to ensure that sites
		do not become fragmented as some sites are likely to be completely surrounded by development. Habitat study required to consider how to mitigate impact on the

		wildlife. Retain existing trees and hedgerows and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through development.
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	хх	A site this size is likely to have a significant landscape impact. The site is located mainly within Landscape Character Area 214 Langley Valley. The 2011 landscape evaluation for this area <sup>10</sup> describes development of greater than 5ha as not appropriate, and the capacity for urban extensions development as low. The site borders the AONB to west. The 2012 LUC study <sup>11</sup> identifies areas of high sensitivity north of the A505 and of moderate to high sensitivity between the A505 and the B656.
		Mitigation: development should be restricted toward the boundary of the AONB. Production of landscape assessments will aid with design.
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	хх	The development is likely to negatively impact on the Charlton Conservation area. The site also adjoins Hitchin Conservation area and the boundary incorporates the curtilage of the Priory. Site also contains several designated archaeological areas, including Foxholes Archaeological Area. Mitigation – Archaeological survey of site and surrounding area / Ensure that development is in keeping with local character, e.g. building materials, style, height.
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	хх	Parts of the site are above Source Protection Zones 1, 2 and 3 and the site is likely to be affected by contamination, based on it containing a landfill. The site borders a major Public Water Supply at Oughton Head (situated just north of the development). Environment Agency have not identified any absolute constraints associated with the urban extension, although identify the requirement for additional work in relation to capacity of the Hitchin STW.
Climate change		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See ww.north-herts.gov.uk/214\_2011-2.pdf <sup>11</sup>Land south west of Hitchin: Landscape sensitivity study December 2012, see http://www.north-herts.gov.uk/south\_west\_of\_hitchin\_all.pdf

4a. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve the districts ability to adapt to climate change?	V	Site contains parts of the River Hiz at Wellhead, and so contains some land classified as functional floodplain. SuDS likely to be viable according to the SuDS viability Plan. Significant opportunities for sustainable energy , given the size of the development Mitigation – Sequential and exception tests for flood risk areas
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	х	Concentrating this scale of development in one area of the district would not spread the benefits of development widely across the district, however this site does provide the opportunity to help tackle deprivation in Hitchin. Affordable housing will open up opportunities for those not able to afford market housing
5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\sqrt{}$	Development would provide 6000 – 7400 dwellings in North Hertfordshire, with a proportion being affordable.
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	х	Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption. Development on a greenfield site will result in a loss of tranquillity for the area. New development will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution. These impacts will need to be managed with appropriate mitigation measures
Resource use and waste		
6a. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible.	?	Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. Environment Agency have not identified any absolute constraints associated with the urban extension, although identify the requirement for additional work in relation to capacity of the Hitchin STW. A large new housing development will put increased pressure on the sewerage and water supply infrastructure. Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development and use of SuDs
Town centres		
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	Χ?	Site is located on the edge of Hitchin, but has the potential to complement local services and facilities in the town centre, although development a new centre could also compete. On the positive side, the development would be of an

	appropriate size to offer facilities such as schools, shops, community centres which would promote sustainable living.		
Key positive effects	Key negative effe	effects Potential mitigation	
<ul> <li>A site this size is likely to be able to provide significant facilities within the development, including employment.</li> <li>Opportunity for greenspace provision</li> <li>Site is likely to be able to support additional bus services.</li> <li>The proposed bypass associated with the development could allev transport issues through the town (especially HGV),having a positiv impact on noise and air pollution the town .</li> <li>Development would provide 6000- 7-dwellings in North Hertfordshire, including significant affordable housin</li> <li>Significant opportunities for sustaina energy, given the size of the development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>and a SSSI, and is adjace Head / Charlton Mill Po</li> <li>Site contains several desi archaeological areas, and conservation areas.</li> <li>The site borders a major Supply at Oughton Head.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>side of Hitchin</li> <li>n, and it is likely</li> <li>d commuting by</li> <li>of high landscape</li> <li>the AONB.</li> <li>r of wildlife sites</li> <li>acent to Oughton</li> <li>Pool.</li> <li>esignated</li> <li>ind borders two</li> <li>or Public Water</li> <li>ad.</li> <li>pove Source</li> <li>and 3 and the site</li> <li>aminated land.</li> <li>f the River Hiz</li> <li>ed as functional</li> <li>hase would be</li> <li>nding local</li> <li>is size would</li> <li>creased light, air</li> </ul>	uding ow to NB. ss. d risk ion

### **Option 7: Rush Green**

SA Objective: Will the policy	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	0	The site would essentially create a free-standing rural settlement, in the form of a new village. This site would essentially provide a large workforce in a settlement without any employment development and no proximate employment land.
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	Development of this site would improve the existing environmental value of the land, based on its current use as a scrap yard. The site currently has little amenity value and is classified as brown field.
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	?	There is little opportunity for onsite green space provision, based on the size of the site. There is a small amenity greenspace within 400m, but this is too small to serve the development. Rights of way run close to the site, and provide access to open countryside.
2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	XX	The remoteness of the site means that all the majority of movement would be by car. It is unlikely that a site of this size and in this location would deliver the required services and facilities to meet the needs of an entire settlement. Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures as this site is considered particularly unsustainable in transport terms.
Environmental protection		
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	?	Site is adjacent to Rush Green Airfield Wildlife Site. Development of the site for residential purposes will be preferable to the existing land use therefore creating a potential improvement in biodiversity value, although brownfield sites provide unusual habitat opportunities for some species.

		Mitigation – detailed ecological surveys and appropriate mitigation measures to ensure that the impact on ecology is minimised and opportunities are maximised.
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	Х	A site of this size and scale in this location is likely to have a significant visual impact on landscape. The physical environment would be improved through development of the site however the sites location on the valley floor will be prominent from certain directions. A degree of buffering and screening is present from the existing use, however in visual terms the site is located in Landscape Character Area 214 Langley Valley, which has moderate to high sensitivity. The site is likely to be classified as low sensitivity, but surrounded by areas of high sensitivity.
		Mitigation – Existing screening should be maintained and improved. Landscape assessments to minimise the impact on the countryside and aid with design.
3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	0	Site is not located within an area of archaeological interest or conservation and unlikely to have any impact on the setting of the historic built environment.
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	X	Site is likely to be contaminated and part is above Source Protection Zone 3. It also contains a watercourse. Site provides the opportunity to reduce potential pollution through remediation.
		Mitigation – Remediation of the site will be an important part of the sites potential development Mitigation - Further discussion with EA and Water companies
Climate change		
4. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve the districts ability to adapt to climate change?	X	Site contains Flood zone 2 and 3 as a tributary of the River Purwell flows through the site. There are opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development. Mitigation - Sequential and exception tests for flood risk areas
A just society		
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	0	Site is unlikely to support rural economy based on the lack of existing facilities. Only a petrol station in close proximity.

5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	√ ?	Site would provide 350 dwellings, with the opportunity to deliver affordable housing in a rural location, although cost of remediation may have a negative impact on the proportion.			
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	0	Site is located away from existing settlements, no likely impact on existing population. Site is adjacent to an airfield which may create some ambient noise.			
<i>Resource use and waste</i>					
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible	Χ?	Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. Site would likely drain to Hitchin STW but may require significant investment regarding connection although information needed from IDP re Hitchin WWTW. Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development			
Town centres	Town centres				
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	le X X The site is not proximate to an existing urban area offering services and facilities to support growth. The development constitutes a new village in a rural location.				
Key positive ef		Key negative effects Potential mitigation			
<ul> <li>Provides opportunity for existing scrapyard.</li> <li>Rights of way run throu the site, and provide ac countryside.</li> <li>Site would provide 35 with the opportunity affordable housing in location.</li> <li>Opportunities for susta</li> </ul>	gh and clo cess to op 50 dwellir to deliver a rural	<ul> <li>this location would deliver the required services and facilities to meet the needs of an entire settlement. The lack of facilities and remoteness of the site means that residents would be highly dependent on cars.</li> <li>Site is likely to be contaminated and part is above Source Protection Zone</li> <li>this location would deliver the required improved;</li> <li>inclusion of sustainable transport measures;</li> <li>ecological surveys and maximise opportunities;</li> <li>remediation important part of site delivery;</li> <li>sequential and exception tests for flood</li> </ul>			

	urban area offering services and	
	facilities to support growth.	

# **Option 9: North East of Stevenage**

Note: part of this was taken forward as GA2

SA Objective: Will the policy	Score	Justification for assessment including short medium and long term issues and recommendations for mitigation / improvement
Economic Activity		
1. Achieve sustainable levels of prosperity and economic growth?	$\checkmark$	Site would include provision for employment and neighbourhood centres which will provide employment. Likely to be more beneficial to Stevenage's economic prosperity than North Herts.
Land use and development patterns		
2a. Minimise the development of greenfield land and other land with high environmental and amenity value?	x x	The site is located on Greenfield land. Weston Park is also adjacent. Site is located on Grade 3 agricultural land, although includes roads and some houses.
2b. Provide access to green spaces?	?	The large, edge of town location of this site is likely to provide opportunities for additional greenspace, however it is developing greenspace in the first instance . There is greenspace located within 400m of the site. Rights of Way run through the site. Access to the countryside would be further away for existing residents.
		Mitigation – apply green space standards to ensure positive benefit for greenspace. There is a possible link into Stevenage Greenway and existing Level 1 Green Links north and west.

2c. Deliver more sustainable location patterns and reduce the use of motor vehicles?	x x	with the town centre. It is a further distance away than Great Ashby. It is still likely that a significant proportion of new residents would work outside Stevenage and travel by car, even if some employment was provided for in the development.	
Environmental		Mitigation - inclusion of sustainable transport measures.	
protection			
3a. Protect and enhance biodiversity?	x x	Site includes Legeside Plantation / Harbourclose Wood / Claypits wood / tilekin Wood / Tilkiln Farm / Brooches Wood / New Spring Wood/ Claypit Hills Spring Wood Wildlife site. There is a significant number of ecological sites within this area. Mitigation – detailed consideration of Wildlife site within any plan to ensure that sites do not become fragmented as some sites are likely to be completely surrounded by development undertake habitat study to consider how to mitigate impact on the wildlife sites, retain existing trees and hedgerows, and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through the development.	
3b. Protect and enhance landscapes?	x x	A site of this size and in this location is likely to have a significant effect on landscape character. The site is located in LCA area 220 Weston Plateau Parklands, which is considered to be of moderate sensitivity, based on the enclosed quiet nature of the character. The evaluation of this LCA <sup>12</sup> describes developments of greater than 5ha as not appropriate. The requirement for a dual carriageway to serve this major development would be visible from the surrounding area, this will have a particularly negative impact on landscape. Mitigation – landscape assessments to minimise the impact on the countryside and enhance existing landscape features.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See http://www.north-herts.gov.uk/220\_2011.pdf

3c. Conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the historic environment?	xx	Site contains Chesfield Archaeological Area. A northern link road to the development area could have a significant impact on the setting of the Graveley Conservation Area, Manor Farm (grade II listed), the Church of St Etheldreda (grade II* listed and a scheduled monument), Tile Kiln Farm (grade II listed) and Dane End Farm and Cottages (grade II listed) without appropriate mitigation measures. Tile Kiln and Dane End Farm may also be located within or adjacent to the development area, where again location of development, buffers and other mitigation measures would need to be considered. Mitigation – Archaeological and historical surveys	
3d. Reduce pollution from any source?	x	<ul> <li>Possible contamination onsite. Site above SPZ 3 or a Principal Aquifer Class H1, H2, H3, HU, I1, I2</li> <li>Development on a greenfield site will result in a loss of tranquillity for the area. New development will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution. These impacts will need to be managed with appropriate mitigation measures.</li> <li>Rye Meads Water Cycle Study addresses impacts of the growth of Stevenage on the Rye Meads Sewage Treatment Works (and therefore on groundwater quality). This identified possible solutions up to 2021, however additional work was required past this date as the Water Framework Directive is likely to place more stringent controls on the water quality. In addition, measure such as water efficiency and SUDs will be important.</li> </ul>	
Climate change			
4. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve the districts ability to adapt to climate change	V	Surface water flooding identified as an issue for the site. There are constraints on the use of SuDS. Significant opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development.	
A just society			
5a. Share benefits of prosperity fairly?	$\checkmark$	Site is poorly related to North Herts needs, but is likely to provide affordable housing to those living in Stevenage.	

5b. Increase access to decent and affordable housing?	$\sqrt{}$	Site would provide 2000 - 5700 houses in North Hertfordshire, although an extension to Stevenage. A proportion will be affordable houses.	
5c. Improve conditions and services that engender good health and reduce health inequalities?	х	Initial construction phase would be disruptive for surrounding local residential areas. There would need to be controls to minimise this disruption. Health provision is likely to be provided within or adjacent to the development area. Development of this large greenfield site will inevitably involve increased light, air and noise pollution.	
<i>Resource use and waste</i>			
6. Use natural resources efficiently; reuse, use recycled where possible?	х	Limiting the impact on natural resources will be important as without this large scale development has the potential to have a significant impact. Measures could include SUDs, recycling, sewage infrastructure, sustainable construction and building methods. There are significant constraints on sewerage infrastructure in Stevenage. A large new housing development will put increased pressure on the sewerage and water supply infrastructure, although the Rye Meads Water Cycle Study identifies potential solutions. Mitigation – ensure water efficiency in new development	
Town centres			
7. Promote sustainable urban living?	х	The site is poorly related to North Hertfordshire. The location of the development also means that it is also poorly related to Stevenage. It is further north than Great Ashby, where residents are already separated from the Town's centre. Services in this area could compete with services in the town without appropriate controls. Travel by car is likely to be increased by this development. Sustainable transport measures would need to be a priority in this location.	
		On the positive side, North East Stevenage would be of an appropriate size to offer facilities such as schools, shops, community centres which would promote sustainable living.	

	Key positive effects		Key negative effects		Potential mitigation	
•	A site of this size is likely to be able to	٠	This is a greenfield site, agricultural land		• Apply greenspace standards to ensure	
	provide significant facilities within the		grade 3.			

<ul> <li>development;</li> <li>The development would provide 2000 - 5700 dwellings in North Hertfordshire including significant affordable housing; and</li> <li>There will be significant opportunities for sustainable energy, given the size of the development.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The 2011 Landscape Study describes developments of greater than 5ha as not appropriate for this area;</li> <li>Designated wildlife sites are included in the development area</li> <li>Designated archaeological sites within the proposed site</li> <li>Setting of historic assets impacted by possible access road</li> <li>Possible contamination on site, and located in Source Protection Zone 2.</li> <li>Surface water flooding an issue and there are constraints on the use of SuDS</li> <li>There are significant constraints on sewerage infrastructure in Stevenage.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>net benefit for greenspace</li> <li>Additional bus stops will be required to support sustainable transport, particularly into Stevenage</li> <li>a landscape study and mitigation measures will be needed;</li> <li>undertake habitat study to consider how to mitigate impact on the wildlife site, retain existing trees and hedgerows, and seek opportunities to benefit biodiversity through the development;</li> <li>archaeological survey of site and surrounding area;</li> <li>improvements to Rye Meads STW as outlined in Water Cycle Study; and</li> <li>High levels of water efficiency in new homes and SuDS.</li> </ul>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------