ED96: Table of Actions – Week 7

Matters 10 & 11

Matter 10/11 – STEVENAGE (GREAT ASHBY, GRAVELEY (INCLUDING NORTH OF STEVENAGE) AND KNEBWORTH

Action	Date on which Action Completed	Examination Doc Reference No.
NHDC to propose amendment to Policy SP16 to include reference to making provision for a 2FE primary school either on site or nearby		
NHDC to propose amendments to Policy SP16, SP18 and GA1 to take account of the amendments proposed by Stevenage Borough Council in respect of having regard to the Mobility Strategy and Transport Strategy		
NHDC to propose amendments to Policy SP18 and GA1 to refer to measures to discourage/manage traffic along Back Lane. NHDC to include reference to consultation with Stevenage Borough Council as to the proposed measures		
NHDC to propose amendments to Policy SP16, SP18 and GA1 to include reference to 'medical' and 'retail' as well as social infrastructure. NHDC to also include reference in supporting text explaining the GP and retail need identified across 3 sites		
NHDC to propose amendment to Policy SP18(k) to include 'to respect the residential safeguarding zone' immediately following 'the pylon lines'		

Matter 11 – ICKLEFORD, LOWER STONDON, LITTLE WYMONDLEY, CODICOTE			
Action	Date on which Action Completed	Examination Doc Reference No.	
In respect of Policy IC2, NHDC to:			
 (a) propose modification to require safe access to the site in the form of a crossing point (b) correct typo - "Consider and mitigate against any adverse impacts upon key features of interest" 			
NHDC to propose amendment to Policy IC3 and/or supporting text to explain school provision options and preferences. This should include possible retention of Ickleford School in its existing format and location, and possibility of a new 1FE school on IC3			
NHDC to propose amendment to Policies IC1, IC2 and IC3 requiring detailed drainage strategy similar to that set out in Policy KB2			
NHDC to propose amendment to wording of LS1 to incorporate supporting text at paragraph 13.244 (facilitation of access) in the policy itself			
NHDC to propose amendment to wording of WY1 bullet point 3 to:			
 (a) clarify that the site is not required to bring about an amelioration of pre-existing flooding issues, although this might be a collateral benefit of the development (b) reconsider wording proposed in LP3 in relation to addressing flood risk and surface water issues. Stevenage BC and Hertfordshire County Council in capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority to be consulted 			
NHDC to propose amendment to wording of Policy CD5 and supporting text (and to consider inclusion of similar wording in Policies CD1, CD2 and CD3) to explain timing of new school provision in site CD5 and the fact that it will serve CD1, CD2 and CD3			
NHDC to check existence of PRoW across site CD3 that is not currently reflected on the Submission Proposals Map			
NHDC to insert wording proposed at paragraph 6 of NHDC Codicote statement into Policies CD1, CD2, CD3 and CD5 themselves instead of supporting text			

${\sf Matter~11-ST~IPPOLYTS,~REED,~SANDON,~THERFIELD,~WESTON,~WHITWELL,~OAKLANDS,~OFFLEY,~PIRTON~AND~PRESTON}$

Action	Date on which Action Completed	Examination Doc Reference No.
NHDC to amend Proposals Map to make it clear that the eastern edge of site SI1 abuts London Road		
NHDC to propose amendment to Policy SI1 to require that the partial hedgerow that forms the southwest boundary of the site is completed		
NHDC to propose amendments to Policy TH1 to:		
(a) Remove bullet point 1 (b) Remove requirement of consent from the Environment Agency. Suggested replacement wording:		
"Any infiltration drainage SuDS (or other features) must have regard to Environment Agency groundwater bore holes"		
(c) Include criterion regarding nearby listed buildings and their setting		
(d) Require open space to southeast portion of site TH1, in order to prevent coalescence between Therfield and Hay Green		
(e) Require the retention of the recreation ground, either through its exclusion from the settlement boundary or by wording in the policy		
(f) Amend the boundary around the southeast of the settlement (Hay Green) on the Proposals Map to more tightly follow the limit of existing development to the north of Meadow Way		
NHDC to make English Heritage's response to Policy TH1 at Preferred Options an examination document		
NHDC to propose amendment to Policy WE1 to require that the western hedgerow is maintained and/or strengthened		
NHDC to work with site promoter to ensure safe and suitable access to site WE1, with particular attention to the lack of footpath along Hitchin Road between Friars Road and Weston		

Matter 11 – ST IPPOLYTS, REED, SANDON, THERFIELD, WESTON, WHITWELL, OAKLANDS, OFFLEY, PIRTON AND PRESTON

Date on which Action Completed	Examination Doc Reference No.

around the Pottersheath site

Action	Date on which Action Completed	Examination Doc Reference No.
	Action Completed	Reference No.
NHDC to provide note from ORS with information as to the adjustment made to national household formation rates to arrive at the total future need of 4		
NHDC to propose modification to Policy SP8 and HS7 in order to allocate Pottershead Road as a site		
NHDC to modify Plan to correct reference to 'Pulmore Water' to 'Pulmer Water' (Policy HS7, para 8.42, para 8.44, para 13.78, Policy CD5)		
NHDC to propose modification to Plan to inset the Pottersheath Road and Pulmer Water sites from the Green Belt (insofar as the land is used meet a specific need for gypsies and travellers (on basis that certain parts of Pulmer Water are not occupied by gypsies and travellers) and to set out in a note the exceptional circumstances for so doing		
NHDC to provide a site capacity assessment for the Pottersheath Road site		
NHDC to provide SA of the Pottersheath Road site		
NHDC to split Policy HS7 into two sections, with the first section to relate to the site allocations and the second section to relate to the criteria-based approach for determining applications		
NHDC to prepare an accompanying note for the Inspector:		
 (a) Explaining the scope of the planning permission granted for use of the land to the north of the Pulmer Water site (b) Discussing whether the permission allows for removal 		
of the 9 identified non-travellers from this site (c) If the need cannot be accommodated on this site		
alone, explaining why not		
(d) Clarifying the scope of the use authorised by the CLEUD on land to the south of the site		
(e) Explaining the location of the Green Belt boundary		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	